Ca	se 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE Doc	ument 192-	2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 1 of 44 .
34	1		2 1 110d 07/13/17 1 age 1 01 44 3
		1	FEDERAL OTIONATIONS
1		2	FEDERAL STIPULATIONS
SOUTI	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT HERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	3	
JOSE	GUZMAN,	4	
4	PLAINTIFF,	5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and
5	-against- Case No.:	6	between the counsel for the respective
6	1:16-EV-03499	7	parties herein that the sealing, filing and
CRED:	S. HARRIS AND ASSOCIATES, LLC, LR IT 13, LLC, MEL S. HARRIS, DAVID MAN, KERRY H. LUTZ, TODD FABACHER	8	certification of the within deposition be
MICHA	WALL YOUNG, SAMSERV, INC, JOHN ANDINO, WILLIAM MLOTOK,	9	waived; that the original of the deposition
10	DEFENDANTS.	10	may be signed and sworn to by the witness
	x	11	before anyone authorized to administer an
12	DATE: March 10, 2017	12	oath, with the same effect as if signed
13	TIME: 12:40 P.M.	13	before a Judge of the Court; that an
14		14	unsigned copy of the deposition may be used
15	EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL of the	15	with the same force and effect as if signed
16 Defe	ndant, LR CREDIT, by a witness, ROCCO	16	by the witness, 30 days after service of
17 NITTO	OLI, taken by the Plaintiff, pursuant	17	the original & 1 copy of same upon counsel
18 to a	Court Order and to the Federal Rules	18	for the witness.
19 of C	ivil Procedure, held at the offices of	19	
20 Herbe	ert Smith Freehills, New York, LLP, 450	20	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that
21 Lexi	ngton Avenue, 14th Floor, New York, New	21	all objections except as to form, are
22 York	, before Tracy Anne Valenti, a Notary	22	reserved to the time of trial.
	ic of the State of New York.	23	reserved to the time of that.
24		24	* * *
25		25	
	PEARANCES:	1	4 R. NITTOLI
		2	ROCCO NITTOLI, called as a
	V OFFICE OF AHMAD KESHAVARZ	3	
	torneys for the Plaintiff PSE GUZMAN	4	witness, having been first duly sworn by a
16			witness, having been first duly sworn by a Notary Public of the State of New York, was
	Court Street	5	Notary Public of the State of New York, was
Br	o Court Street ooklyn, New York 11241 T. AHMAD KESHAVARZ		Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows:
Br	ooklyn, New York 11241	5	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY
Br	ooklyn, New York 11241	5 6 7	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ:
Br BY	ooklyn, New York 11241	5 6 7 8	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the
Br BY HEF At	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant	5 6 7 8 9	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record.
Br BY HEF At LR 45	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC OLEXINGTON DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY O	5 6 7 8 9	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli.
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne	REPORT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC	5 6 7 8 9 10	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address?
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant to CREDIT 13, LLC to Lexington Avenue w York, New York 10017	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan,
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC COLEXINGTON LVC COLEXINGTON COLE	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747.
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant C CREDIT 13, LLC D Lexington Avenue W York, New York 10017 C SCOTT BALBER, ESQ.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir.
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC OLEXINGTON AVENUE W YORK, New York 10017 CSCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon.
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC COLEXINGTON AVENUE RW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 COUNTY SCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK WALLEY WANK NEW YORK 10005	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CORDIT 13, LLC OLEXINGTON AVENUE RWYORK, New York 10017 CORDIT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant AMSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK RWYORK, New York 10005 CORDIT BALBER SQ.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before?
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC COLEXINGTON AVENUE RW YORK, NEW YORK 10017 COUNTY SCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK WALLEY WANK NEW YORK 10005	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. Yes.
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne BY	REBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant COMENT OF THE PROOF OF THE	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. Yes. Q. In what type of matters?
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne BY	REBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant COMENT OF THE PROOF OF THE	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. Yes. Q. In what type of matters? A. Debtor or uncollected debt
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne BY	REBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant COMENT OF THE PROOF OF THE	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. Yes. Q. In what type of matters? A. Debtor or uncollected debt case.
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne BY	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC OLEXINGTON AVENUE WYORK, NEW YORK 10017 SCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK Wall Street WYORK, New YORK 10005 STEFFREY S. LICHTMAN, ESQand- ANDREW C. LEVITT, ESQ.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. Yes. Q. In what type of matters? A. Debtor or uncollected debt case. Q. Where do you work?
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne BY	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC GO Lexington Avenue EW York, New York 10017 CSCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK Wall Street EW York, New York 10005 CSCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK CSCOTT BALBER CSCOTT B	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. Yes. Q. In what type of matters? A. Debtor or uncollected debt case. Q. Where do you work? A. Leucadia National Corporation.
HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne BY	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC GO Lexington Avenue EW York, New York 10017 CSCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK Wall Street EW York, New York 10005 CSCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK CSCOTT BALBER CSCOTT B	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. Yes. Q. In what type of matters? A. Debtor or uncollected debt case. Q. Where do you work? A. Leucadia National Corporation. Q. What is your title there?
Br BY HEF At LR 45 Ne BY O'H At SA 82 Ne BY	RBERT SMITH FREEHILLS NY LLP torneys for the Defendant CREDIT 13, LLC GO Lexington Avenue EW York, New York 10017 CSCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK Wall Street EW York, New York 10005 CSCOTT BALBER, ESQ. ARE PARNAGIAN, LLP torneys for the Defendant MSERV, INC. and WILLIAM MLOTOK CSCOTT BALBER CSCOTT B	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Notary Public of the State of New York, was examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. KESHAVARZ: Q. Please state your name for the record. A. Rocco Nittoli. Q. What is your address? A. 12 Pemberton Drive, Matawan, New Jersey 07747. Q. Good afternoon, sir. A. Good afternoon. Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. Yes. Q. In what type of matters? A. Debtor or uncollected debt case. Q. Where do you work? A. Leucadia National Corporation.

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₅ Docum	ent 192	2-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 2 of 44 7
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	Q. If you had your deposition	2	meetings, either on the phone or in person,
3	taken before, you probably know the rules,	3	in preparation for your testimony?
4	but I am just going to go over them	4	A. One meeting. They were both
5	briefly. If I ask a question that you	5	present.
6	don't understand, will you please ask me to	6	Q. What documents did you review
7	rephrase it?	7	in preparation for your testimony today, if
8	A. Yes.	8	any?
9	Q. If I ask a question and you	9	A. I reviewed the complaint and
10	don't ask me to rephrase it, is it	10	the materials that were produced as part of
11	reasonable for me to assume you understood	11	the discovery. I think that was it.
12	the question?	12	Q. When did you review those
13	A. Yes.	13	documents?
14	Q. During the course of the	14	A. At the preparation meeting.
15	deposition, you may nod or shake your head	15	Q. So let's go back to where we
16	or something uh-huh, which is normal	16	started. You indicated you are the vice
17	conversation, but since the court reporter	17	president and treasurer at Leucadia; is
18	is taking notes and she's very mean, will	18	that right, sir?
19	you please try to verbalize your answer?	19	A. Yes, sir.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. How long had you been working
21	Q. What steps did you take to	21	at Leucadia?
22	prepare for your deposition today?	22	A. At Leucadia, it's been
23	A. I met with Counsel.	23	approximately eight-and-a-half years.
24	Q. By Counsel, you mean Scott	24	Q. Can you just tell me briefly
25	Balber? DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com	25	what Leucadia is?
	5	D	NAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com 7
	6		8
1	6 R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
1 2		1 2	-
	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI A. Yes.	2	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation
2	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in	2 3	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today?	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did.	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments.
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else?	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today? A. Approximately two hours.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a ballpark background idea how many people,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today? A. Approximately two hours. Q. When was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a ballpark background idea how many people, if you know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today? A. Approximately two hours. Q. When was that? A. Monday, this week.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a ballpark background idea how many people, if you know? A. Approximately 100.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today? A. Approximately two hours. Q. When was that? A. Monday, this week. Q. How long was your meeting with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a ballpark background idea how many people, if you know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today? A. Approximately two hours. Q. When was that? A. Monday, this week. Q. How long was your meeting with Mr. Balber in preparation for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a ballpark background idea how many people, if you know? A. Approximately 100. Q. Do you know, roughly speaking,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today? A. Approximately two hours. Q. When was that? A. Monday, this week. Q. How long was your meeting with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a ballpark background idea how many people, if you know? A. Approximately 100. Q. Do you know, roughly speaking, what the income is or the assets are for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today? A. Approximately two hours. Q. When was that? A. Monday, this week. Q. How long was your meeting with Mr. Balber in preparation for your deposition today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a ballpark background idea how many people, if you know? A. Approximately 100. Q. Do you know, roughly speaking, what the income is or the assets are for Leucadia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI A. Yes. Q. Did you meet anyone else in preparation for your deposition today? A. Yes, I did. Q. With who else? A. In-house attorney. Q. In-house at Leucadia? A. At Leucadia, yes. Q. Who is that? A. Jennifer Kane. Q. Did you meet with anyone else in preparation for our deposition today? A. No, sir. Q. How long was your meeting with Miss Kane in preparation for your deposition today? A. Approximately two hours. Q. When was that? A. Monday, this week. Q. How long was your meeting with Mr. Balber in preparation for your deposition today? A. They were in the same meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI A. Leucadia National Corporation is an investment holding company. It acquires and disposes of various investments. Q. Generally speaking, what type of investments? A. Could be financial investments, could be operating assets. We have investments in a timber company, commercial mortgage company, auto dealership. In the past we've had investments in wineries, hotels, casinos, banks. Q. Quite a variety? A. Yes. Q. About how many people work at Leucadia? I am just trying to get a ballpark background idea how many people, if you know? A. Approximately 100. Q. Do you know, roughly speaking, what the income is or the assets are for Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Objection to the

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₉ Docum	ent 1	192-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 3 01 44 11
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	if you can understand the question.	2	Q. I believe you answered the
3	A. Approximately 40 billion	3	question, but I didn't write it down, so I
4	dollars in assets.	4	apologize, I am asking it again. About how
5	Q. 40 billion dollars in assets?	5	much money did Leucadia invest for this
6	A. Yes.	6	
1			eight-year period in consumer credit
7	Q. Do you know what the annual	7	portfolios?
8	income of Leucadia is, roughly speaking?	8	MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked
9	A. It is north of five billion.	9	and answered.
10	Annual income?	10	A. Approximately 70 million.
11	Q. Yes.	11	Q. Was that investment part of the
12	A. I do not know the annual	12	investment with Rushmore and LR Credit, is
13	income.	13	that that portfolio of debts or do you mean
14	MR. BALBER: You mean revenue?	14	something different?
15	THE WITNESS: Revenue.	15	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
16	Q. Over four billion dollars in	16	of the question. You can answer.
17	revenue a year?	17	A. It was with Rushmore was a
18	A. Over five billion in revenue.	18	company investor and it was solely with
19	Q. Thank you.	19	them.
20	When you say Leucadia is an	20	MR. BALBER: For the record, I
21	investment holding company, how much of	21	want to designate the transcript
22	that holding company was holding defaulted	22	confidential as of the terms of the
23	debts?	23	protective order.
24	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	24	MR. KESHAVARZ: I think the
25	of the question. If you understand	25	terms were that you have to review
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	9		11
	10		12
1	10 R. NITTOLI	1	12 R. NITTOLI
1 2		1 2	
	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer.	2	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers.
2	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question?	3	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential.
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of defaulted consumer credit debts; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of defaulted consumer credit debts; is that right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. I'm showing you what's been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of defaulted consumer credit debts; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And for what eight-year period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, LR Credit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of defaulted consumer credit debts; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And for what eight-year period did Leucadia invest in portfolios of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, LR Credit doc and production pages Bates stamped 91
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of defaulted consumer credit debts; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And for what eight-year period did Leucadia invest in portfolios of defaulted consumer debts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, LR Credit doc and production pages Bates stamped 91 through 114. Can you identify what is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of defaulted consumer credit debts; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And for what eight-year period did Leucadia invest in portfolios of defaulted consumer debts? A. From approximately 2003 through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, LR Credit doc and production pages Bates stamped 91 through 114. Can you identify what is that document, sir?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of defaulted consumer credit debts; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And for what eight-year period did Leucadia invest in portfolios of defaulted consumer debts? A. From approximately 2003 through approximately 2011.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, LR Credit doc and production pages Bates stamped 91 through 114. Can you identify what is that document, sir? A. This is the joint venture
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI the question, you can answer. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. You talked about investments and timber and wineries and hotels. I am just trying to get an idea about how much of Leucadia is in the investment for the purchase of defaulted debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. No foundation. A. We, over approximately an eight-year window period, we invested about 70 million dollars. Q. In what? A. In defaulted credit card debts. Q. These are for portfolios of defaulted consumer credit debts; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And for what eight-year period did Leucadia invest in portfolios of defaulted consumer debts? A. From approximately 2003 through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI and designate page numbers. MR. BALBER: Designating all the pages of the deposition transcript confidential. MR. LICHTMAN: Can we also have a stipulation that an objection by one party is an objection by all, so we don't clutter the transcript? Is that okay? MR. KESHAVARZ: Fine by me. MR. BALBER: Me, too. MR. LICHTMAN: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as Exhibit 1 and 2. (Whereupon, the aforementioned documents were marked as Plaintiff's Exhibits 1 and 2 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, LR Credit doc and production pages Bates stamped 91 through 114. Can you identify what is that document, sir?

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLF ₃ Docum	ent 19	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 4 of 44 ₁₅
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	general liability and limited liability	2	A. It is spelled out in the
3	agreement of LR Credit LLC and it appears	3	operating agreement. Rushmore, which is
4	that it includes attachments including a	4	our company investor, would present
5	legal collection agreement.	5	portfolios of consumer debt for us to
6	Q. Is it your understanding that	6	review and if we decide that we wanted to
7	those are the attachments that are part of	7	invest in that, we would make an investment
8	the agreement that's Exhibit B, Exhibit 2?	8	with our co-investor.
9	MR. BALBER: Take a minute to	9	Q. Roughly speaking, was LR to
10	look at it.	10	invest 95 percent of the money for the
11	Q. Take your time.	11	portfolio and Rushmore to invest
12	A. I don't know what Exhibit 2 is,	12	approximately 5 percent for the portfolio?
13	so other than it is marked Exhibit 2.	13	A. LR Credit would invest 95,
14	MR. BALBER: I think the only	14	Rushmore would invest 5 percent.
15	question is whether this is all, this	15	Q. What is the relationship
16	entire is one document.	16	between LR Credit and Leucadia?
17	THE WITNESS: Right.	17	A. LR credit is a subsidiary of L
18	MR. BALBER: If it belongs	18	credit, which is a subsidiary of PLRC,
19	together.	19	which is a wholly owned subsidiary of
20	Q. That's exactly right, because	20	Leucadia.
21	if you look between the pages, it says	21	Q. So each of these entities that
22	Exhibit 1 or Attachment 1 or Attachment 2.	22	you talked about, LR Credit, L Credit,
23	Do you know if all of those pages are part	23	PLRC, are these basically just investment
24	of the same agreement?	24	mechanisms?
25	A. I don't know if there is pages	25	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	13		15
	14		16
1	14 R. NITTOLI	1	16 R. NITTOLI
1 2	R. NITTOLI	1 2	R. NITTOLI
1 2 3		1 2 3	R. NITTOLI of the question.
2	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original	2	R. NITTOLI of the question.
3	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more.	2	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level.
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level.
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer debt, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going. Q. Let me make sure I get the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer debt, right? A. Leucadia didn't. LR Credit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going. Q. Let me make sure I get the hierarchy correct. There is an entity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer debt, right? A. Leucadia didn't. LR Credit. Q. LR Credit did. Excuse me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going. Q. Let me make sure I get the hierarchy correct. There is an entity known as LR Credit, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer debt, right? A. Leucadia didn't. LR Credit. Q. LR Credit did. Excuse me. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going. Q. Let me make sure I get the hierarchy correct. There is an entity known as LR Credit, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer debt, right? A. Leucadia didn't. LR Credit. Q. LR Credit did. Excuse me. A. Okay. Q. Tell me about how that worked.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going. Q. Let me make sure I get the hierarchy correct. There is an entity known as LR Credit, correct? A. Yes. Q. And LR Credit is a joint
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer debt, right? A. Leucadia didn't. LR Credit. Q. LR Credit did. Excuse me. A. Okay. Q. Tell me about how that worked. MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going. Q. Let me make sure I get the hierarchy correct. There is an entity known as LR Credit, correct? A. Yes. Q. And LR Credit is a joint venture between L Credit and Rushmore Recovery Management; is that correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer debt, right? A. Leucadia didn't. LR Credit. Q. LR Credit did. Excuse me. A. Okay. Q. Tell me about how that worked. MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going. Q. Let me make sure I get the hierarchy correct. There is an entity known as LR Credit, correct? A. Yes. Q. And LR Credit is a joint venture between L Credit and Rushmore Recovery Management; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI missing or there is more. Q. So is that the original agreement by which that govern this investment that Leucadia had over an eight-year period? Is that Exhibit 2, the original agreement for that? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. I don't even see Leucadia on the agreement, but answer the question if you can answer it. A. Can you repeat it again? Q. Let me ask you more generally. You told me about this eight-year period about where Leucadia invested about 70 million dollars in charged off consumer debt, right? A. Leucadia didn't. LR Credit. Q. LR Credit did. Excuse me. A. Okay. Q. Tell me about how that worked. MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Generally speaking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. No. They were companies that were established to invest in the consumer debt down at the LR Credit level. Q. Are there employees on these entities that you went through? A. I'm not sure of that. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know what PLRC stands for? A. I do not. Q. I am trying to do a venn diagram of all the entities. MR. BALBER: It is not a venn diagram, but keep going. Q. Let me make sure I get the hierarchy correct. There is an entity known as LR Credit, correct? A. Yes. Q. And LR Credit is a joint venture between L Credit and Rushmore Recovery Management; is that correct? A. Correct.

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₇ Docum	ent 1	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 5 of 44 ₁₉
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	managed by the principals of Mel Harris &	2	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
3	Associates; is that right?	3	of the question.
4	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	4	A. I don't understand the
5	of the question.	5	question.
6	A. I don't know that to be totally	6	Q. Fair enough.
7	accurate.	7	Why are there LC Credit
8	Q. Rushmore is operated by Mel	8	entities 1 through 23? Why is the
9	Harris, Michael Young, David Waldman and	9	arrangement between the companies
10	Kerry Lutz; is that right?	10	structured in that manner?
11	A. I know those names. I'm not	11	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
12	familiar with the management, how it	12	of the question.
13	operates.	13	A. I don't know.
14	Q. Now, in this hierarchy, I am	14	Q. You said you were the vice
15	trying to find out where PLRC fits.	15	president/treasurer at Leucadia for the
16	A. PLRC is 100 percent owned	16	last eight years?
17	downstream subsidiary and it established L	17	A. Correct.
18	Credit and it has a 90 percent interest in	18	Q. What are your major
19	L Credit and two individuals have a ten	19	responsibilities?
20	percent interest in L Credit.	20	A. I oversee the cash management
21	Q. Who are those two individuals?	21	function of Leucadia National Corporation,
22	A. Zalman Jacobs and Louis	22	the parent company. I am also the chief
23	Mederos.	23	compliance officer and I oversee the audit
24	Q. And then PLRC is a wholly owned	24	department.
25	subsidiary of Leucadia; is that right?	25	Q. What do you mean by the chief
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	17		19
1	18	1	20
1	R. NITTOLI	1	20 R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream	2	R. NITTOLI compliance officer?
2 3	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held.	2	20 R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company ,
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held?	2 3 4	20 R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct.	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer.
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O;	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris &
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC? A. Correct. Q. There are a number of LR Credit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC? A. Correct. Q. There are a number of LR Credit entities, something like 1 through 25 or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Read it back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC? A. Correct. Q. There are a number of LR Credit entities, something like 1 through 25 or something along those lines; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Read it back. (Whereupon, the referred-to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC? A. Correct. Q. There are a number of LR Credit entities, something like 1 through 25 or something along those lines; is that right? A. I believe it's 1 through 24 1 through 23. Sorry. Q. Is each of these LR Credit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Read it back. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) MR. BALBER: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC? A. Correct. Q. There are a number of LR Credit entities, something like 1 through 25 or something along those lines; is that right? A. I believe it's 1 through 24 1 through 23. Sorry. Q. Is each of these LR Credit numbered entities a holding company for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Read it back. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Thank you for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC? A. Correct. Q. There are a number of LR Credit entities, something like 1 through 25 or something along those lines; is that right? A. I believe it's 1 through 24 1 through 23. Sorry. Q. Is each of these LR Credit numbered entities a holding company for a different set of portfolios?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Read it back. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Thank you for the readback.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI A. Through downstream subsidiaries. It's not directly held. Q. But indirectly held? A. Indirectly, correct. Q. And LR Credit, LLC has I believe what are called subcos, S-U-B-C-O; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. LR Credit has set up a limited liability company for purchase of consumer debt. Q. Such as LR Credit 13, is that one of the entities set up by LR Credit, LLC? A. Correct. Q. There are a number of LR Credit entities, something like 1 through 25 or something along those lines; is that right? A. I believe it's 1 through 24 1 through 23. Sorry. Q. Is each of these LR Credit numbered entities a holding company for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI compliance officer? A. We are a public company, Leucadia National, and under the Dodd Frank Act, it needs to have a compliance officer. So any complaints that are received are sent in through two private dedicated lines. One is for anonymous and one comes directly to me. And I open up an investigation and determine whether there is any merit to whatever the complaint is. Q. Would that include allegations of violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act for debts that Mel Harris & Associates were collecting on behalf of LR Credit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Read it back. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Thank you for the

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE Docum	ent 192-2	2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 6 of 44 ₂₃
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	A. It could include that, yes.	2	correct?
3	Q. And did it include that?	3	A. That's my understanding.
4	A. No, sir.	4	Q. Those are portfolios for
5	MR. BALBER: So we are clear by	5	charged off consumer debts; is that
6	you asking "did it include that," did	6	correct?
7	you mean were there any such	7	A. That's my understanding, yes.
8	complaints?	8	Q. Do you know what the face value
9	MR. KESHAVARZ: I am just	9	of the portfolios that LR Credit purchased
10	wondering	10	was?
11	MR. BALBER: Your question is	11	A. No, sir.
12	not a question. I don't want to know	12	Q. Do you know, roughly speaking,
13	what you are wondering. I want you	13	was the amount purchased for these
14	to ask the question in a way the	14	portfolios five or ten cents on the dollar
15	witness can answer.	15	or do you know?
16	Q. As part of your duties at	16	MR. BALBER: Objection to the
17	Leucadia, did your duties involve	17	form of the question.
18	involvement in any way regarding claims of	18	A. I don't know.
19	violations of the Fair Debt Collection	19	Q. Who would know?
20	Practices Act by Mel S. Harris and	20	A. A gentleman by the name of
21	Associates for collecting on debts owned by	21	Zalman Jacobs reviewed, I believe, the
22	LR Credit?	22	first 20, 21 portfolios.
23	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	23	Q. And how many total portfolios
24	of the question.	24	were reviewed?
25	A. No, sir.	25	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		MOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	21		23
		-	
	22		24
1		1	
1 2	R. NITTOLI	1 2	R. NITTOLI of the question.
_	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes	2	R. NITTOLI of the question.
3	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is?	2	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know.
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation?	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know?
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit. Q. Is Mr. Jacobs an employee at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about it. A. In my role as treasurer, I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit. Q. Is Mr. Jacobs an employee at Leucadia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about it. A. In my role as treasurer, I was responsible to oversee a weekly transfer of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit. Q. Is Mr. Jacobs an employee at Leucadia? A. He left Leucadia sometime in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about it. A. In my role as treasurer, I was responsible to oversee a weekly transfer of money to collection fee component. A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit. Q. Is Mr. Jacobs an employee at Leucadia? A. He left Leucadia sometime in 2008 or 2009.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about it. A. In my role as treasurer, I was responsible to oversee a weekly transfer of money to collection fee component. A distribution to our co-investor and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit. Q. Is Mr. Jacobs an employee at Leucadia? A. He left Leucadia sometime in 2008 or 2009. Q. Was he an employee there? Was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about it. A. In my role as treasurer, I was responsible to oversee a weekly transfer of money to collection fee component. A distribution to our co-investor and a distribution to LR Credit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit. Q. Is Mr. Jacobs an employee at Leucadia? A. He left Leucadia sometime in 2008 or 2009. Q. Was he an employee there? Was he an officer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about it. A. In my role as treasurer, I was responsible to oversee a weekly transfer of money to collection fee component. A distribution to our co-investor and a distribution to LR Credit. Q. If I understand correctly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit. Q. Is Mr. Jacobs an employee at Leucadia? A. He left Leucadia sometime in 2008 or 2009. Q. Was he an employee there? Was he an officer? A. I don't know his compensation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Q. Do you know what the Sykes litigation is? A. Yes, sir. Q. Were you involved in any way regarding the Sykes litigation? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I was deposed in that matter. Q. Were you involved in any other way? A. I don't understand the question. Q. In what capacity were you deposed in the Sykes litigation? Maybe that would be the better way to go about it. A. In my role as treasurer, I was responsible to oversee a weekly transfer of money to collection fee component. A distribution to our co-investor and a distribution to LR Credit. Q. If I understand correctly, Rushmore would bring potential portfolios	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. I do not know. Q. The 23 LR Credit entities, I was wondering if there was one portfolio per LR Credit entity or do you know? A. I don't know that. I don't know. Q. Mr. Jacobs is the person who owns ten percent ownership interest in LR Credit; is that right? MR. BALBER: Objection. Mischaracterizes the witness's prior testimony. A. He and his partner have a ten percent investment in L Credit. Q. Is Mr. Jacobs an employee at Leucadia? A. He left Leucadia sometime in 2008 or 2009. Q. Was he an employee there? Was he an officer? A. I don't know his compensation structure.

1	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE Docum	ent 1	.92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 7 of 44 ₂₇
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	had the ten percent ownership, tell me that	2	Rushmore and L Credit. And L Credit is
3	person's name again.	3	owned by PLRC and Zalman Jacobs and Louis
4	A. Louis Mederos.	4	Mederos.
5	Q. Did Mr. Mederos work at	5	Q. PLRC is, through a bunch of
6	Leucadia?	6	intermediaries, a fully owned subsidiary of
7	A. I do not know the structure of	7	Leucadia?
8	their employment arrangement.	8	A. Correct.
9	Q. What was the relationship of	9	Q. Does LR Credit LLC have any
10	Mr. Mederos with Leucadia?	10	employees?
11	A. Both Mr. Mederos and Mr.	11	A. No.
12	Jacobs would evaluate investments and in	12	Q. Did it ever have any employees
13	some instances bring those investments to	13	during this eight-year period?
14	Leucadia for consideration.	14	A. Not to my knowledge.
15	Q. So Rushmore would bring the	15	Q. Did it have corporate officers?
16	potential portfolios to Mr. Jacobs and	16	A. Yes.
17	Mr. Mederos would make a decision about	17	Q. Who are the corporate officers?
18	whether to recommend Leucadia to invest in	18	A. The corporate officers were
19	those portfolios; is that right?	19	Joseph Orlando, Philip Canella.
20	A. I'm not aware if Mr. Mederos	20	Q. With a K or C?
21	was involved in any L Credit, LR Credit	21	A. With a C. Laura Ulbrandt,
22	numbered portfolio, but Mr. Jacobs was.	22	U-L-B-R-A-N-D-T. Myself, Rocco Nittoli,
23	Q. So Mr. Jacobs would be the	23	and there may be one or two others I don't
24	person who would act as the intermediary	24	know offhand.
25	between Rushmore and Leucadia about whether	25	Q. What was your role as an
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	25		27
	26		
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
1 1			R. NITTOLI
1 2			
2 3	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit	2	officer at LR Credit, LLC?
2	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended?	2	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president.
2 3 4	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR
2 3 4 5	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes	2 3 4 5	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a
2 3 4	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may	2 3 4	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia;
2 3 4 5 6 7	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes	2 3 4 5 6 7	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	officer at LR Credit, LLC? A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship between LR Credit 13 and LR Credit LLC? A. LR Credit 13 is a subco of LR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with the financing of LR Credit 13?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship between LR Credit 13 and LR Credit LLC?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with the financing of LR Credit 13? A. I don't understand what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship between LR Credit 13 and LR Credit LLC? A. LR Credit 13 is a subco of LR Credit, which is LR Credit is owned by L Credit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with the financing of LR Credit 13? A. I don't understand what you mean by financing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship between LR Credit 13 and LR Credit LLC? A. LR Credit 13 is a subco of LR Credit, which is LR Credit is owned by L Credit. Q. LR Credit I think was owned by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with the financing of LR Credit 13? A. I don't understand what you mean by financing. Q. You just said literally the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship between LR Credit 13 and LR Credit LLC? A. LR Credit 13 is a subco of LR Credit, which is LR Credit is owned by L Credit. Q. LR Credit I think was owned by L Credit and Rushmore; is that right, or is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with the financing of LR Credit 13? A. I don't understand what you mean by financing. Q. You just said literally the opening of bank accounts for LR Credit 13;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship between LR Credit 13 and LR Credit LLC? A. LR Credit 13 is a subco of LR Credit, which is LR Credit is owned by L Credit. Q. LR Credit I think was owned by L Credit and Rushmore; is that right, or is it only L Credit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with the financing of LR Credit 13? A. I don't understand what you mean by financing. Q. You just said literally the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship between LR Credit 13 and LR Credit LLC? A. LR Credit 13 is a subco of LR Credit, which is LR Credit is owned by L Credit. Q. LR Credit I think was owned by L Credit and Rushmore; is that right, or is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with the financing of LR Credit 13? A. I don't understand what you mean by financing. Q. You just said literally the opening of bank accounts for LR Credit 13; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Leucadia should purchase consumer credit portfolios that Rushmore recommended? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the witness' prior testimony. He may answer. A. That is correct. Q. Do you know whose idea it was to set up this arrangement for Leucadia to fund purchases of consumer credit debts? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Leucadia didn't fund them, LR Credit funded them. But I'm not I do not know who set the structure up. Q. What is the relationship between LR Credit 13 and LR Credit LLC? A. LR Credit 13 is a subco of LR Credit, which is LR Credit is owned by L Credit. Q. LR Credit I think was owned by L Credit and Rushmore; is that right, or is it only L Credit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I was vice president. Q. You were vice president of LR Credit, LLC at same time that you were a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia; is that right? A. Yes. Q. How did your role as a vice president at LR Credit, LLC relate to your role as a vice president and treasurer at Leucadia? A. I was responsible for opening bank accounts for LR Credit and the number LR Credit 13 I believe we opened a bank account for. Q. Other than opening the bank account, did you have any involvement with the financing of LR Credit 13? A. I don't understand what you mean by financing. Q. You just said literally the opening of bank accounts for LR Credit 13; is that right?

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₉ Docum	ent 19	22-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 8 of 44 ₃₁
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	Q. I apologize if you answered	2	Q. Roughly speaking, do you know
3	this already. What other activities did	3	how much money Leucadia got for putting in
4	you take on as the vice president of LR	4	70 million dollars for investments?
5	Credit, other than opening up the bank	5	A. Well, that's for all the LR
6	accounts for LR Credit 13?	6	numbered programs. Not just 13.
7	A. If we made an investment, I	7	Q. Right.
8	would wire money to purchase that	8	A. The total was probably 120
9	investment and each week as collections	9	million dollars, just over 120 million
10	came in, we were responsible to wire the	10	dollars.
11	money to the collection agency, Mel Harris,	11	Q. Over the eight years?
12	the co-investors share to Rushmore and the	12	A. Correct.
13	portion of the collections that were	13	Q. And that was the revenue
14	related to LR Credit.	14	generated by the 70 million dollars
15	Q. Let me make sure I understand	15	investment?
16	then.	16	A. Correct. One collection. It
17	One of your jobs would be to	17	wasn't over eight years. It was probably
18	wire money from what?	18	over ten years, maybe eleven. Collections
19	A. From LR Credit 13's bank	19	came in even if we stopped being the
20	account to its investors and to the	20	other questions dealt with when we were
21	collection agency.	21	buying portfolios.
22	Q. The collection agency being Mel	22	Q. How would you describe this
23	S. Harris and associates?	23	agency, LR Credit, L Credit LLC to
24	A. Correct.	24	Rushmore? What term would you use to
25	Q. And the investors being L	25	describe that?
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	29		31
	30		32
			32
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
1 2		1 2	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand
_	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore?	2	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either.
2	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct.	2	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year period to purchase defaulted consumer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again? Q. Sure. At what point in time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year period to purchase defaulted consumer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again? Q. Sure. At what point in time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year period to purchase defaulted consumer credit loans, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again? Q. Sure. At what point in time did Leucadia cease obtaining money for its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year period to purchase defaulted consumer credit loans, correct? A. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again? Q. Sure. At what point in time did Leucadia cease obtaining money for its 70 million dollar investment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year period to purchase defaulted consumer credit loans, correct? A. Right. Q. Debts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again? Q. Sure. At what point in time did Leucadia cease obtaining money for its 70 million dollar investment? A. Roughly, January of 2016.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year period to purchase defaulted consumer credit loans, correct? A. Right. Q. Debts? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again? Q. Sure. At what point in time did Leucadia cease obtaining money for its 70 million dollar investment? A. Roughly, January of 2016. Q. Why was that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year period to purchase defaulted consumer credit loans, correct? A. Right. Q. Debts? A. Correct. Q. What type of return on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again? Q. Sure. At what point in time did Leucadia cease obtaining money for its 70 million dollar investment? A. Roughly, January of 2016. Q. Why was that? MR. BALBER: Why was what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Credit, LLC and Rushmore? A. Correct. Q. And also Jacobs and Mederos or no? A. They did not receive any distributions. They had an equity investment. If this investment was profitable, they would be entitled to ten percent of it. Q. During this eight-year investment period, what were the revenues generated during that time? MR. BALBER: By anybody in particular or Q. So Leucadia put in 70 million dollars of investment over the eight-year period to purchase defaulted consumer credit loans, correct? A. Right. Q. Debts? A. Correct. Q. What type of return on the investment would Leucadia get for that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: I don't understand that question even remotely. A. I don't either. Q. When you said Leucadia invested 70 million dollars over eight years, did Leucadia continue to generate income even after the eight-year investment? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. We continued to collect money. I wouldn't describe it as income. Q. Okay. So when did Leucadia cease collecting money as part of its 70 million dollar investment? A. I believe it was in can you repeat the question again? Q. Sure. At what point in time did Leucadia cease obtaining money for its 70 million dollar investment? A. Roughly, January of 2016. Q. Why was that? MR. BALBER: Why was what? MR. BALBER: Why was what? Q. Why did Leucadia cease to

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RL \mathbb{F}_3 Docum	ent .	192-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 9 of 44 35
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	dollar investment?	2	numbered programs had less of an investment
3	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	3	by Rushmore than the latter programs. As
4	of the question.	4	the program went on, the rate, the
5	A. Why did it cease?	5	percentages changed.
6	Q. Yes.	6	Q. Rushmore increased its
7	A. As part of our Sykes action, we	7	investment from about 5 percent to about 10
8	agreed with Plaintiff that we would no	8	percent over time; is that right?
9	longer accept collections and that date was	9	A. I don't know the details. I'm
10	approximately January of 2016.	10	not in the accounting department, but the
11	Q. That you would no longer accept	11	terms varied as the program matured.
12	new collection, any collection?	12	Q. But the large majority of the
13	A. Any collection.	13	funding for LR Credit, LLC still came from
14	Q. What happened to the investment	14	L Credit, correct?
15	portfolios, the 70 million dollar	15	MR. BALBER: Object to the
16	investment portfolios after January 2016?	16	form.
17	What happened to those?	17	A. To the extent that our
18	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	18	investment, whatever our share was, yes.
19	of the question.	19	Q. The investments, the funding
20	A. Monies were returned if cash	20	for the LR Credit venture, most of that
21	came in, the lock box was closed so cash	21	funding came from Leucadia; is that right?
22	couldn't be accepted, and if any checks	22	MR. BALBER: What is the LR
23	came in, they were returned back to the	23	Credit venture? You used ten
24	debtor.	24	different terms. You can answer the
25	Q. Were the LR Credit entities 1	25	question if you know what he's
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	33		35
	24		
	34		36
1	R. NITTOLI	1	36 R. NITTOLI
1 2		1 2	
_	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism	2	R. NITTOLI talking about.
2	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to	2	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia?	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit.
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios.
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs?
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question. Q. You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct? A. I don't know. I wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question. Q. You can answer. A. I don't understand what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct? A. I don't know. I wasn't involved in the operations of Mel Harris.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question. Q. You can answer. A. I don't understand what you mean.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct? A. I don't know. I wasn't involved in the operations of Mel Harris. Q. Is it true that's part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question. Q. You can answer. A. I don't understand what you mean. Q. What are the multiple programs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct? A. I don't know. I wasn't involved in the operations of Mel Harris. Q. Is it true that's part of the Sykes settlement, that the Defendants were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question. Q. You can answer. A. I don't understand what you mean. Q. What are the multiple programs that have different terms that you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct? A. I don't know. I wasn't involved in the operations of Mel Harris. Q. Is it true that's part of the Sykes settlement, that the Defendants were going to vacate over 100,000 judgments that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question. Q. You can answer. A. I don't understand what you mean. Q. What are the multiple programs that have different terms that you were referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct? A. I don't know. I wasn't involved in the operations of Mel Harris. Q. Is it true that's part of the Sykes settlement, that the Defendants were going to vacate over 100,000 judgments that were entered in the name of various LR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question. Q. You can answer. A. I don't understand what you mean. Q. What are the multiple programs that have different terms that you were referring to? A. The early the beginning LR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct? A. I don't know. I wasn't involved in the operations of Mel Harris. Q. Is it true that's part of the Sykes settlement, that the Defendants were going to vacate over 100,000 judgments that were entered in the name of various LR Credit numbered entities?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI through 23 essentially a funding mechanism for revenues generated from collection to go to Leucadia? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't believe so, no. Q. How would you describe that relationship? A. It was a typical corporate structure to account for the multiple programs that had different terms. Q. What do you mean? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He means what he said. If you don't understand the question, ask a better question, but "what do you mean" is not a question. Q. You can answer. A. I don't understand what you mean. Q. What are the multiple programs that have different terms that you were referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI talking about. A. The investment in the L Credit programs came from LR Credit. Q. What do you mean by the L Credit programs? A. The numbered portfolios. Q. Approximately how many accounts did LR Credit purchase? A. I don't know that number. Q. Is it over 10,000? A. Based on what I have read, it would appear that way. Q. Because Mel Harris got over 100,000 judgments on behalf of the various LR Credit numbered entities, right? MR. BALBER: That's question. Q. Is that correct? A. I don't know. I wasn't involved in the operations of Mel Harris. Q. Is it true that's part of the Sykes settlement, that the Defendants were going to vacate over 100,000 judgments that were entered in the name of various LR

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₃₇ Docume	nt 19	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 10 of 44 ₃₉
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.	2	A. I believe that to be correct,
3	MR. BALBER: Objection.	3	yes.
4	A. Based on what I had seen from	4	Q. The attorney has to do whatever
5	the Sykes matter, that number seems	5	the client tells it to do, correct?
6	reasonable, but I have no knowledge of what	6	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
7	the number was.	7	of the question. Calls for legal
8	Q. What's your understanding of	8	conclusion. Come on.
9	what the number was?	9	A. I don't know the answer to
10	MR. BALBER: He said he has no	10	that.
11	knowledge.	11	Q. Okay.
12	MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you.	12	MR. BALBER: You should ask
13	Objects to the form of the question.	13	Mr. Guzman that question.
14	MR. BALBER: Move on. He has	14	Q. Let me show you Plaintiff's
15	answered the question.	15	Exhibit Number 1. Please review that and
16	Q. It's your understanding that	16	let me know when you are done, please.
17	there are approximately 100,000 jobs that	17	It's LR Credit document production 1
18	were entered in the name of the various LR	18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19	Credit numbered entities that were vacated	19	through 45. A. I am through reviewing it.
20		20	3
21	as part of the Sykes settlement; is that	21	Q. Is that the only evidence that LR Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owed LR
22	your understanding?	22	
	A. I do not know the details,		Credit 13 the debt for which they sued him
23	terms of the Sykes settlement.	23	on?
24	Q. You have no idea?	24	A. I don't have knowledge of that.
25	A. I do not.	25	I don't have knowledge if it is the only.
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	37		39
	38		40 D. NITTOLI
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney	2	R. NITTOLI I don't know.
	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the	2	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true?	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding.	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for the collection lawsuits that Mel Harris	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it was sued upon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for the collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed in the name of the LR Credit numbered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it was sued upon? A. I believe there is a contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for the collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed in the name of the LR Credit numbered entities, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it was sued upon? A. I believe there is a contract with the seller. There is a data file as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for the collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed in the name of the LR Credit numbered entities, right? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it was sued upon? A. I believe there is a contract with the seller. There is a data file as you mentioned and there may be other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for the collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed in the name of the LR Credit numbered entities, right? A. That is correct. Q. And so the various LR Credit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it was sued upon? A. I believe there is a contract with the seller. There is a data file as you mentioned and there may be other documents that go along with it. I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for the collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed in the name of the LR Credit numbered entities, right? A. That is correct. Q. And so the various LR Credit numbered entities is the client and Mel S.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it was sued upon? A. I believe there is a contract with the seller. There is a data file as you mentioned and there may be other documents that go along with it. I just don't know. I'll not involved not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for the collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed in the name of the LR Credit numbered entities, right? A. That is correct. Q. And so the various LR Credit numbered entities is the client and Mel S. Harris & Associates is the attorney for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it was sued upon? A. I believe there is a contract with the seller. There is a data file as you mentioned and there may be other documents that go along with it. I just don't know. I'll not involved not involved in the analysis of a purchase.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI Q. Mel S. Harris was the attorney who filed the collection lawsuits under the name of the various LR Credit numbered entities; is that true? A. That's my understanding. Q. The various LR Credit numbered entities had the right to control the litigation of Mel Harris on behalf of the LR Credit entities; is that true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't know the answer to that question. Q. Mel Harris is the attorney for the various LR Credit numbered entities for the collection lawsuits that Mel Harris filed in the name of the LR Credit numbered entities, right? A. That is correct. Q. And so the various LR Credit numbered entities is the client and Mel S. Harris & Associates is the attorney for the client, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI I don't know. Q. So generally speaking, when LR Credit purchased portfolios that have debts arranged by Rushmore, those portfolios generally were just the spreadsheet of data; is that right? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. That's my understanding and there may be other documents as well. I don't know. Q. But generally speaking, it was just a spreadsheet of data; is that right? A. I really don't know. Q. What evidence does LR Credit 13 have that Mr. Guzman owed the debt that it was sued upon? A. I believe there is a contract with the seller. There is a data file as you mentioned and there may be other documents that go along with it. I just don't know. I'll not involved not involved in the analysis of a purchase. Q. Who was the seller for the debt DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₄₁ Docume	nt 1	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 11 of 44 43
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	upon which LR Credit 13 sued Mr. Guzman?	2	taken.
3	A. I have no idea.	3	Q. Do you know if there are any
4	Q. Do you know if LR Credit 13 is	4	steps that are taken by LR Credit or LR
5	just one portfolio of debts that Leucadia	5	Credit 13 to determine the validity of the
6	purchased or is it multiple portfolios or	6	information for the accounts it purchases?
7	do you have any idea?	7	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
8	A. I don't know. I don't have any	8	of the question.
9	idea.	9	A. I do not know what steps are
10	Q. Other than the data file given	10	taken.
11	to Mel Harris as part of the portfolio	11	Q. Do you know if the contracts
12	purchased, to your knowledge, is there any	12	for the sale of portfolios upon which the
13	other specific pieces of evidence that Mel	13	LR Credit numbered entities sued, do you
14	Harris is given that the debtors they are	14	know if those contracts generally waive any
15	suing owes the debt?	15	warranties as to the accuracy of the
16	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	16	information in the portfolios?
17	of the question. Assumes facts not	17	A. I have no knowledge of that.
18	in evidence.	18	Q. Do you know if generally there
19	A. I have no knowledge of what	19	are multiple sales of portfolios of debts
20	information Mel Harris has.	20	that LR Credit entities sue under?
21	Q. That Mel Harris is given by LR	21	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
22	Credit?	22	of the question.
23	MR. BALBER: Objection. No	23	A. I don't understand the
24	foundation. And mischaracterizes the	24	question.
25	witnesses testimony.	25	Q. Fair enough.
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	41		43
	42		44
1	42 R. NITTOLI	1	44 R. NITTOLI
1 2		1 2	**
	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead.	2	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of
2	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was	2	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct?
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction.	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than that, it's great.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us. Q. Proposed transactions are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than that, it's great. A. I have no idea.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us. Q. Proposed transactions are the proposed portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, right? A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than that, it's great. A. I have no idea. Q. What steps, if any, does LR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us. Q. Proposed transactions are the proposed portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than that, it's great. A. I have no idea. Q. What steps, if any, does LR Credit or LR Credit 13 take to verify that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us. Q. Proposed transactions are the proposed portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, right? A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than that, it's great. A. I have no idea. Q. What steps, if any, does LR Credit or LR Credit 13 take to verify that the information in the portfolios it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us. Q. Proposed transactions are the proposed portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, right? A. Okay. Q. Is that true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than that, it's great. A. I have no idea. Q. What steps, if any, does LR Credit or LR Credit 13 take to verify that the information in the portfolios it purchases is correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us. Q. Proposed transactions are the proposed portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, right? A. Okay. Q. Is that true? A. They brought proposals for us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than that, it's great. A. I have no idea. Q. What steps, if any, does LR Credit or LR Credit 13 take to verify that the information in the portfolios it purchases is correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us. Q. Proposed transactions are the proposed portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, right? A. Okay. Q. Is that true? A. They brought proposals for us to consider.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Q. Go ahead. A. I have no knowledge of what was given in this particular transaction. Q. Generally speaking, the transactions upon which Mel Harris filed suit on behalf of the LR Credit numbered entities, generally speaking, other than the spreadsheet, do you know of any other specific evidence that is transferred? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: Assumes facts not in evidence, calls for speculation and compound question. Other than that, it's great. A. I have no idea. Q. What steps, if any, does LR Credit or LR Credit 13 take to verify that the information in the portfolios it purchases is correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Rushmore finds portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Yes. Q. Rushmore purchases those portfolios from sellers that have generally purchased those debts after they are in default? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. First of all, Rushmore to my knowledge, didn't I don't know what they purchased. They brought proposed transactions to us. Q. Proposed transactions are the proposed portfolios of debts for LR Credit to purchase, right? A. Okay. Q. Is that true? A. They brought proposals for us to consider. Q. Those portfolios of debts that

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₄₅ Docume	∤111C ±	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 12 of 44 47
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	to purchase, were those generally	2	that it purchases, correct?
3	portfolios from the original creditor?	3	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
4	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	4	of the question.
5	of the question.	5	A. Correct.
6	A. I don't have knowledge of that.	6	Q. Do you know how long the
7	Q. Does LR Credit 13 or LR Credit	7	contracts with the sellers of the
8	LLC or Leucadia keep the documents that are	8	portfolios purchased by LR Credit 13, by LR
9	the sales, contracts for the portfolios	9	Credit and Leucadia, do you know how long
10	that they purchase?	10	those contracts are retained for?
11	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	11	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
12	of the question. Compound.	12	of the question.
13	A. Leucadia should have or LR	13	A. I do not.
14	Credit should have copies of the purchase	14	Q. Do you know if there is a
15	agreements.	15	destruction policy for these types of
16	Q. That's the next question I was	16	documents?
17	going to ask. Who is the depository for	17	MR. BALBER: Also known as a
18	the documents for the purchase of these	18	retention policy. You can answer if
19	portfolios that we've been discussing?	19	you know what he's talking about.
20	A. It is my understanding that	20	A. I don't know.
21	they used outside counsel.	21	Q. We talked about information
22	Q. Mel Harris?	22	that LR Credit would obtain in the
23	A. No. LR Credit used outside	23	portfolios that are purchased.
24	counsel to review, if we considered a	24	Does LR Credit generally obtain
25	purchase, they used outside counsel to	25	the card member agreements for the alleged
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	45		47
	46	١.	48
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	review the agreements and they should have	2	consumer credit card debts?
3	copies of them.	3	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
4	Q. The outside counsel or LR	I 4	
_	01140	l .	of the question. I don't know the
5	Credit?	5	answer to that question.
6	A. LR Credit.	5	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card
6 7	A. LR Credit.Q. So if I wanted to try to get	5 6 7	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the
6 7 8	A. LR Credit.Q. So if I wanted to try to getthe contracts for the sale of the	5 6 7 8	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the
6 7 8 9	A. LR Credit.Q. So if I wanted to try to getthe contracts for the sale of theportfolios that allegedly included	5 6 7 8 9	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio?
6 7 8 9 10	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to	5 6 7 8 9	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to
6 7 8 9 10 11	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that	5 6 7 8 9 10	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question.
6 7 8 9 10 11	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would. Q. So LR Credit has the same right	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would. Q. So LR Credit has the same right to the documents related to the portfolios	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would. Q. So LR Credit has the same right to the documents related to the portfolios of debts that LR Credit has?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I have no knowledge of their
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would. Q. So LR Credit has the same right to the documents related to the portfolios of debts that LR Credit has? MR. BALBER: Right meaning	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I have no knowledge of their process.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would. Q. So LR Credit has the same right to the documents related to the portfolios of debts that LR Credit has? MR. BALBER: Right meaning legal right? The witness is not able	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I have no knowledge of their process. Q. Or the terms of the purchase
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would. Q. So LR Credit has the same right to the documents related to the portfolios of debts that LR Credit has? MR. BALBER: Right meaning legal right? The witness is not able to answer that question.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I have no knowledge of their process. Q. Or the terms of the purchase contracts, in terms of that issue?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would. Q. So LR Credit has the same right to the documents related to the portfolios of debts that LR Credit has? MR. BALBER: Right meaning legal right? The witness is not able to answer that question. Q. LR Credit 13 could get any	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I have no knowledge of their process. Q. Or the terms of the purchase contracts, in terms of that issue? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. LR Credit. Q. So if I wanted to try to get the contracts for the sale of the portfolios that allegedly included Mr. Guzman's account, I would be able to get those documents from LR Credit; is that right? A. I believe that would be true, yes. Q. Would those documents also be held by LR Credit 13? A. Yes, they would. Q. So LR Credit has the same right to the documents related to the portfolios of debts that LR Credit has? MR. BALBER: Right meaning legal right? The witness is not able to answer that question.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	answer to that question. Q. Same question for credit card statements, do they generally get the credit card statements as part of the purchase of the portfolio? A. I do not know the answer to that question. Q. Do you know, as part of the purchase agreements for the portfolio, whether LR Credit, LR Credit number 13 or Mel Harris are prohibited from asking the original creditor for card member agreements, for credit card statements? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. I have no knowledge of their process. Q. Or the terms of the purchase contracts, in terms of that issue?

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₄₉ Docume	nt 1	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 13 of 44 ₅₁
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	A. I have no knowledge of the	2	7, it says "Defendants have defaulted in
3	purchase contracts.	3	payment under the terms of the agreement
4	Q. When I was going through	4	has incurred thereby agreed upon reasonable
5	Exhibit 1, and you can go through it in as	5	attorneys fees of 15 percent, to wit:
6	much detail as you'd like, I didn't see a	6	\$1,130.35." Did I read paragraph 7
7	date of last payment as part of the data	7	correctly?
8	fields.	8	MR. BALBER: Actually, you
9	Do you know one way or the	9	didn't.
10	another whether portfolios of debts that LR	10	A. No.
11	purchases includes the data field for date	11	Q. Would you read paragraph 7
12	last payment?	12	Exhibit 3 into the record?
13	A. I don't know that answer.	13	MR. BALBER: You are going to
14	Q. Who would know the answer to	14	have him read a document into the
15	that question?	15	record?
16	A. Someone at Rushmore.	16	MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes.
17	Q. Anyone else, to your knowledge?	17	A. "Defendants having defaulted in
18	A. No one that I can think of, no.	18	payment under the terms of the agreement
19	Q. Fair enough.	19	has incurred thereby agreed upon reasonable
20	And same question as to the	20	attorney fees of 15 percent, to wit:
21	charge-off date, do you know if LR Credit	21	\$1,130.35."
22	obtained the data field for a charge-off	22	Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any
23	date for the portfolios of debts and	23	evidence that Mr. Guzman had an agreement
24	purchases?	24	by which he agreed to pay reasonable
25	A. I have no knowledge of that.	25	attorneys fees of 15 percent?
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	49		51
	50		52
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this	2	A. I have no knowledge of that.
	Plaintiff's 3.	3	Q. Does LR 13 have any knowledge
3	riamin 5 c.	I	3
3 4	(Whereupon, the aforementioned	4	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First
		4 5	3
4	(Whereupon, the aforementioned	1 _	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First
4 5	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's	5 6 7	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of
4 5 6 7 8	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the	5 6 7 8	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3?
4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to	5 6 7 8 9	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this
4 5 6 7 8 9	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you	5 6 7 8 9	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that. Q. Will you assume with me this	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir. A. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that. Q. Will you assume with me this is, in fact, a copy of the collections	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir. A. Okay. Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that. Q. Will you assume with me this is, in fact, a copy of the collections lawsuit filed by LR Credit against	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir. A. Okay. Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that it is the assignee and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that. Q. Will you assume with me this is, in fact, a copy of the collections lawsuit filed by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? Will you assume that that's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir. A. Okay. Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that it is the assignee and purchaser of a debt owed by Mr. Guzman to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that. Q. Will you assume with me this is, in fact, a copy of the collections lawsuit filed by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? Will you assume that that's true?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir. A. Okay. Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that it is the assignee and purchaser of a debt owed by Mr. Guzman to First U.S.A. Bank?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that. Q. Will you assume with me this is, in fact, a copy of the collections lawsuit filed by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? Will you assume that that's true? A. It appears to be that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir. A. Okay. Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that it is the assignee and purchaser of a debt owed by Mr. Guzman to First U.S.A. Bank? A. I don't have any knowledge of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that. Q. Will you assume with me this is, in fact, a copy of the collections lawsuit filed by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? Will you assume that that's true? A. It appears to be that. Q. Okay. Now, going down to item	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir. A. Okay. Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that it is the assignee and purchaser of a debt owed by Mr. Guzman to First U.S.A. Bank? A. I don't have any knowledge of that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Given your answers to the questions, I'm pretty sure I am going to know the answer to the questions, but you just go through them. Sir, I am showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3, Guzman document production number 3. Do you know if this is a copy of the collections complaint by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? A. I do not know that. Q. Will you assume with me this is, in fact, a copy of the collections lawsuit filed by LR Credit against Mr. Guzman? Will you assume that that's true? A. It appears to be that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that Mr. Guzman owed any money to First U.S.A. Bank as alleged in paragraph 3 of Exhibit 3? A. I don't have any knowledge of that. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 4. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 4 is affidavit of merit one-page document, Bates stamped Guzman 6. Please review and let me know when you are done, sir. A. Okay. Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that it is the assignee and purchaser of a debt owed by Mr. Guzman to First U.S.A. Bank? A. I don't have any knowledge of

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₅₃ Docume	nt 1	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 14 of 44 ₅₅
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any	2	A. Can you repeat again?
3	evidence that Mr. Young is quote, "fully	3	Q. Sure. Did LR Credit or
4	and personally familiar with, and have	4	Leucadia take any steps to determine
5	personal knowledge of the facts and	5	whether Mel Harris & Associates violated
6	circumstances relating to the within	6	the FDCPA prior to hiring Mel Harris?
7	action"?	7	A. I don't know the answer to
8	A. I think you should ask	8	that.
9	Mr. Young. I have no idea.	9	Q. Did any of the LR Credit
10	Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any	10	entities, the numbered entities, or LR
11	evidence that that statement is true, that	11	Credit LLC or Leucadia take any steps to
12	Mr. Young has personal knowledge of the	12	monitor whether Mel Harris was complying
13	facts in the Complaint?	13	with the FDCPA in collecting on the
14	MR. BALBER: Read the question	14	debts
15	back.	15	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
16	(Whereupon, the referred-to	16	of the question.
17	question was read back by the	17	Q owned by the LR Credit
18	Reporter.)	18	numbered entities?
19	A. I have no knowledge of that.	19	MR. BALBER: Have you
20	Q. You are the corporate	20	established that the witness knows
21	representative of LR Credit 13 here,	21	what the FDCPA is? You can ask the
22	correct?	22	all questions you want.
23	A. Yes.	23	MR. KESHAVARZ: This is one of
24	Q. One of the topics you are here	24	the topics he is here to testify to.
25	to testify to is the evidence that LR	25	If he doesn't know
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	53		55
	54		56
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt	2	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well
2	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right?	2	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer.
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer.
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking,	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this.
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris &	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris & Associates violated the FDCPA prior to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris & Associates violated the FDCPA prior to retaining Mel S. Harris to file collection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. Do you know who the person was,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris & Associates violated the FDCPA prior to retaining Mel S. Harris to file collection lawsuits?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. Do you know who the person was, if any, that was the contact between LR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris & Associates violated the FDCPA prior to retaining Mel S. Harris to file collection lawsuits? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. Do you know who the person was, if any, that was the contact between LR Credit and Mel Harris?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris & Associates violated the FDCPA prior to retaining Mel S. Harris to file collection lawsuits? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Hopelessly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. Do you know who the person was, if any, that was the contact between LR Credit and Mel Harris? A. LR Credit is Rushmore. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris & Associates violated the FDCPA prior to retaining Mel S. Harris to file collection lawsuits? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Hopelessly compounded. You can answer if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. Do you know who the person was, if any, that was the contact between LR Credit and Mel Harris? A. LR Credit is Rushmore. It's Rushmore and L Credit. So I'm not sure I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris & Associates violated the FDCPA prior to retaining Mel S. Harris to file collection lawsuits? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Hopelessly compounded. You can answer if you can.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. Do you know who the person was, if any, that was the contact between LR Credit and Mel Harris? A. LR Credit is Rushmore. It's Rushmore and L Credit. So I'm not sure I fully understand your question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Credit 13 has that Mr. Guzman owes the debt to LR Credit 13, right? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. So that's why I am asking, that's why I have been asking one at a time. So the question is, does LR Credit 13 have any evidence that Mr. Young, in fact, has personal knowledge that Mr. Guzman owes LR Credit 13 the debt upon which LR Credit 13 is suing him for? A. I don't understand the legal definition of what personal knowledge means. Q. Did LR Credit, Leucadia, or any of the LR Credit entity numbers take any steps to determine whether Mel Harris & Associates violated the FDCPA prior to retaining Mel S. Harris to file collection lawsuits? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Hopelessly compounded. You can answer if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: You know full well he's not a lawyer. Answer whatever you answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: He's the corporate representative for this. A. I have no knowledge. Q. It's fine you have no knowledge, but when I go to trial, I don't want LR Credit to suddenly have knowledge about something he has no knowledge of. MR. BALBER: LR Credit is not a party to the case. MR. KESHAVARZ: Does LR Credit 13 have knowledge that he is saying now it doesn't have? Let's take a short break. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. Do you know who the person was, if any, that was the contact between LR Credit and Mel Harris? A. LR Credit is Rushmore. It's Rushmore and L Credit. So I'm not sure I

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₅₇ Docume	nt 1	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 15 of 44 ₅₉
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	Q. Are there any employees at L	2	Credit 13 cease using Mel S. Harris &
3	Credit?	3	Associates as their attorney to file
4	A. No.	4	collection lawsuits?
5	Q. There are only corporation	5	A. I don't know the exact date of
6	officers?	6	when that happened.
7	A. Correct.	7	Q. Do you know approximately?
8	Q. Are those the two corporate	8	A. I do not.
9	officers that have a ten percent ownership	9	Q. Let me ask you a slightly
10	interest in LR Credit?	10	different phrased question.
11	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	11	Do you know approximately at
12	of the question. Mischaracterizes	12	what point LR Credit and LR Credit number
13	the witness' testimony.	13	13 stopped using Mel S. Harris to collect
14	Q. Go ahead.	14	debts on their behalf either by litigation
15	A. You're referring to Zalman	15	or in some other manner?
16	Jacobs and Louis Mederos, they weren't	16	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
17	officers. They were investors in L Credit.	17	of the question.
18	Q. Jacobs and Mederos were	18	A. Well, in September of 2015 they
19	investors in LR Credit or L Credit?	19	closed their doors.
20	A. L Credit.	20	Q. So LR Credit and LR Credit 13
21	Q. They had a ten percent	21	continued to use Mel Harris as their
22	ownership in L Credit?	22	attorneys up until September 2015; is that
23	A. Correct.	23	right?
24	Q. Did they have any ownership	24	A. Correct.
25	interest in LR Credit?	25	Q. LR Credit and LR Credit 13 did
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	57		59
	58		60
	D. AUTTOLI		D. NUTTOU
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	A. Not directly.	2	not terminate their relationship with Mel
_	A. Not directly.Q. Just indirectly through L		not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September
3 4	A. Not directly.Q. Just indirectly through L	2 3 4	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015?
2 3 4 5	A. Not directly.Q. Just indirectly through Lcredit?A. Correct.	2 3 4 5	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L 	2 3 4 5 6	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than	2 3 4 5 6 7	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number 13 continued to use Mel Harris as their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is, why didn't LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number 13 continued to use Mel Harris as their attorney to collect debts all the way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is, why didn't LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not fire Mel Harris prior to September 2015 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number 13 continued to use Mel Harris as their attorney to collect debts all the way through January 2016; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is, why didn't LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not fire Mel Harris prior to September 2015 and just you have another debt collection law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number 13 continued to use Mel Harris as their attorney to collect debts all the way through January 2016; is that right? A. This is not correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is, why didn't LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not fire Mel Harris prior to September 2015 and just you have another debt collection law firm do the work?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number 13 continued to use Mel Harris as their attorney to collect debts all the way through January 2016; is that right? A. This is not correct. Q. In what way is that not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is, why didn't LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not fire Mel Harris prior to September 2015 and just you have another debt collection law firm do the work? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number 13 continued to use Mel Harris as their attorney to collect debts all the way through January 2016; is that right? A. This is not correct. Q. In what way is that not correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is, why didn't LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not fire Mel Harris prior to September 2015 and just you have another debt collection law firm do the work? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number 13 continued to use Mel Harris as their attorney to collect debts all the way through January 2016; is that right? A. This is not correct. Q. In what way is that not correct? A. Mel Harris ceased operations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is, why didn't LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not fire Mel Harris prior to September 2015 and just you have another debt collection law firm do the work? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Mel Harris had a significant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Not directly. Q. Just indirectly through L credit? A. Correct. Q. Who are the other owners of L Credit, LLC? MR. BALBER: Other than who? MR. KESHAVARZ: Other than Jacobs and Mederos. A. We've been through this. The 90 percent is owned by PLRC. Q. PLRC is a wholly owned subsidiary indirectly of Leucadia? A. Correct. Q. LR Credit and LR Credit number 13 continued to use Mel Harris as their attorney to collect debts all the way through January 2016; is that right? A. This is not correct. Q. In what way is that not correct? A. Mel Harris ceased operations sometime in September of 2015.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	not terminate their relationship with Mel S. Harris & Associates prior to September of 2015? A. LR credit had it was an investment vehicle, had no ability to collect consumer debt. It had no access to the files, to the detail records. There would be no way for LR Credit 13 to continue to do any collecting activity or accounting at a time when the Sykes matter was coming to a conclusion and it was important that monies were not by debtor were continued to be accounted for as part of that settlement. Q. I guess what I am wondering is, why didn't LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not fire Mel Harris prior to September 2015 and just you have another debt collection law firm do the work? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Mel Harris had a significant infrastructure, a database that we had no

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₆₁ Docume	nt 1	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 16 of 44 63
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	firm without having the computer records	2	Q. I think higher than the rate to
3	that were in Mel Harris's possession.	3	Mel Harris?
4	Q. Just prior to the Sykes class	4	A. Yes. And at that point was
5	action settlement, there were thousands of	5	just accounting for money that was coming
6	accounts that were owned by the various LR	6	in the door. There was no active
7	Credit entities that were then transferred	7	collection.
8	to the Einstein Law Firm to collect upon;	8	Q. I guess what I am wondering is,
9	is that correct?	9	the Sykes lawsuits began around 2009,
10	A. Can you repeat the question?	10	right?
11	Q. Sure. Prior to the Sykes	11	A. Correct.
12	settlement, actually approximately	12	Q. And then there is a ruling on
13	September 2015, true that there were	13	class certification in 2012, right?
14	thousands of accounts for the various LR	14	A. Correct.
15	Credit entities that were transferred from	15	MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this as
		_	
16	Mel Harris to Einstein & Associates to	16	Plaintiff's Exhibit 5.
17	collect upon; is that true?	17	(Whereupon, the aforementioned
18	A. Correct.	18	document was marked as Plaintiff's
19	Q. If LR Credit and LR Credit	19	Exhibit 5 for identification as of
20	number 13 could have the files transferred	20	this date by the Reporter.)
21	from Mel Harris to Einstein & Associates	21	MR. LICHTMAN: What is it that
22	around September 2015, why did they not	22	you are marking?
23	attempt to do so earlier, before September	23	Q. I'm showing you what's been
24	2015?	24	marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, Bates
25	A. Mike Young offered that he knew	25	stamped Guzman 199 to 240. It's the Order
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	61		63
	62	,	64
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and	2	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes
1 -	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with	2	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case.
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin.
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	People at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms? A. I don't know them offhand, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record. Q. I am going to ask him as we go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms? A. I don't know them offhand, but they were significantly higher than what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record. Q. I am going to ask him as we go through it one at a time. When I tried to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms? A. I don't know them offhand, but they were significantly higher than what we were paying Mel Harris.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record. Q. I am going to ask him as we go through it one at a time. When I tried to read it, you objected.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms? A. I don't know them offhand, but they were significantly higher than what we were paying Mel Harris. Q. So you paid a larger collection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record. Q. I am going to ask him as we go through it one at a time. When I tried to read it, you objected. MR. BALBER: I corrected your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms? A. I don't know them offhand, but they were significantly higher than what we were paying Mel Harris. Q. So you paid a larger collection fee to Einstein to collect on the debts of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record. Q. I am going to ask him as we go through it one at a time. When I tried to read it, you objected. MR. BALBER: I corrected your I objected. I corrected your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms? A. I don't know them offhand, but they were significantly higher than what we were paying Mel Harris. Q. So you paid a larger collection fee to Einstein to collect on the debts of the various LR Credit numbered entities?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record. Q. I am going to ask him as we go through it one at a time. When I tried to read it, you objected. MR. BALBER: I corrected your inability to read. He's not reading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms? A. I don't know them offhand, but they were significantly higher than what we were paying Mel Harris. Q. So you paid a larger collection fee to Einstein to collect on the debts of the various LR Credit numbered entities? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record. Q. I am going to ask him as we go through it one at a time. When I tried to read it, you objected. MR. BALBER: I corrected your inability to read. He's not reading it into the record. Ask whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI people at a different collection agency and if we could come to financial terms with them, he would introduce us so that we can do a transition from what was left at Mel Harris. We really had no choice. We weren't given I can bring someone to you if you pay them a fee. Q. What do you mean by that sentence? A. I can bring you a collection agency that will continue to service your business if you are willing to come to fee terms with them. Q. And did you do that? A. We did come to an agreement, yes. Q. What were the fee terms? A. I don't know them offhand, but they were significantly higher than what we were paying Mel Harris. Q. So you paid a larger collection fee to Einstein to collect on the debts of the various LR Credit numbered entities?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI granting class certification in the Sykes case. MR. LICHTMAN: By Judge Chin. Q. If you could turn to the second page. If you turn to the second page, on the first full paragraph where it says the motion is granted. A. Yes. Q. Can you read that paragraph into the record, please? MR. BALBER: No. It's not happening. You are not reading it into the record. Q. Go ahead. MR. BALBER: You have the paragraph on the document. He is not reading it into the record. Q. I am going to ask him as we go through it one at a time. When I tried to read it, you objected. MR. BALBER: I corrected your inability to read. He's not reading

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₆₅ Docume	nt 19	2-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 17 of 44 67
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	question you want. He's not reading	2	vast majority of them and claimed to but
3	it.	3	apparently did not have personal knowledge
4	Q. Are you going to refuse to read	4	of the facts to which he was attesting.
5	that paragraph into the record?	5	The record also shows that on hundreds of
6	A. Yes.	6	occasions, the Defendant process servers
7	Q. Read page 2 of the Order to	7	purported to serve process at two or more
8	yourself and let me know when you are done,	8	locations at the same time. As discussed
9	sir.	9	more fully below, Defendants unitary course
10	MR. BALBER: This is the	10	of conduct purportedly to obtain default
11	treasurer of a publicly traded	11	judgments in a fraudulent manner presents
12	company. You had him wait two hours	12	common questions of law and fact that can
13	because you showed up late to the	13	be resolved most efficiently on a class
14	deposition.	14	wide basis."
15	MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not	15	Did I read that paragraph
16	true.	16	correctly?
17	MR. BALBER: Let me finish.	17	A. Yes.
18	MR. KESHAVARZ: Make an	18	Q. My question is: Why did LR
19	objection to the form.	19	Credit and LR Credit 13 continue to do
20	MR. BALBER: I am making a	20	business and continue to have Mel Harris
21	record for your disrespectful lowbrow	21	collect on their debts after Judge Chin
22	behavior.	22	ruled on September 14, 2014, that the
23	MR. KESHAVARZ: You are the one	23	evidence before the court established the
24	who is yelling.	24	facts that I just read into the record?
25	MR. BALBER: A treasurer of a	25	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	65		67
	66		68
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	publicly-traded company who waited	2	of the question.
3	two hours for you to show up at the	3	Q. Why did you continue to use
4	deposition. He is not reading things	4	them?
5	to the record for your amusement.	5	MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. What
6	MR. KESHAVARZ: I was here.	6	was the date of the ruling?
7	There was an issue with the Court	7	Q. If you go back one page, the
8	Reporter not showing up until 12:30.	8	first page, it is stamped September 4,
9		۱ ـ	
	MR. BALBER: And you were an	9	2012.
10	MR. BALBER: And you were an hour late.	9 10	, , ,
	-		2012.
10	hour late.	10	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am
10 11	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not	10 11	2012. A. I'm not an attorney, so to
10 11 12	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true.	10 11 12	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier,
10 11 12 13	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a	10 11 12 13	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed
10 11 12 13 14	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5?	10 11 12 13 14	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put
10 11 12 13 14 15	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this paragraph correctly into the record. "The	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the debts or accounts.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this paragraph correctly into the record. "The motion is granted. The record before the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the debts or accounts. Q. Better position than what?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this paragraph correctly into the record. "The motion is granted. The record before the Court establishes that Defendant obtain	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the debts or accounts. Q. Better position than what? A. Than someone else.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this paragraph correctly into the record. "The motion is granted. The record before the Court establishes that Defendant obtain tens of thousands of default judgments in	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the debts or accounts. Q. Better position than what? A. Than someone else. Q. Because someone else would
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this paragraph correctly into the record. "The motion is granted. The record before the Court establishes that Defendant obtain tens of thousands of default judgments in consumer debt actions based on thousands of	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the debts or accounts. Q. Better position than what? A. Than someone else. Q. Because someone else would charge you a higher collection fee than Mel
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this paragraph correctly into the record. "The motion is granted. The record before the Court establishes that Defendant obtain tens of thousands of default judgments in consumer debt actions based on thousands of affidavits attesting to the merits of the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the debts or accounts. Q. Better position than what? A. Than someone else. Q. Because someone else would charge you a higher collection fee than Mel Harris is what you are saying?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this paragraph correctly into the record. "The motion is granted. The record before the Court establishes that Defendant obtain tens of thousands of default judgments in consumer debt actions based on thousands of affidavits attesting to the merits of the action that were generated en masse by a	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the debts or accounts. Q. Better position than what? A. Than someone else. Q. Because someone else would charge you a higher collection fee than Mel Harris is what you are saying? A. No. Didn't say that.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hour late. MR. KESHAVARZ: That's not true. Q. In any case, have you had a chance to read page two of Exhibit 5? A. Yes. Q. Tell me if I am reading this paragraph correctly into the record. "The motion is granted. The record before the Court establishes that Defendant obtain tens of thousands of default judgments in consumer debt actions based on thousands of affidavits attesting to the merits of the action that were generated en masse by a sophisticated computer program and signed	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I'm not an attorney, so to evaluate strength of that statement, I am not qualified to. But as I said earlier, there was a belief that they possessed significant computer information that put them in a better position to continue to be able to do the accounting for each of the debts or accounts. Q. Better position than what? A. Than someone else. Q. Because someone else would charge you a higher collection fee than Mel Harris is what you are saying? A. No. Didn't say that. Q. Then what do you mean by than

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₆₉ Docume	nt 19	2-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 18 of 44 ₇₁
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	A. Their data, there's risk in	2	the judge's opinion in the class
3	transferring data to another processor	3	certification Order among other
4	without their cooperation. They were	4	things.
5	this is what they did for a living. They	5	MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.
6	were licensed and they were experts at	6	Q. You may answer.
7	this. We hired them with an expectation.	7	A. As I said, I believe they had
8	That's all there is to it.	8	capable IT systems to allow them to take
9	Q. You hired them with an	9	data from a purchaser, from a seller and
10	expectation of what?	10	install it, load onto their system and
11	A. They were competent	11	begin a collection process.
12	professionals, knowledgeable. They had	12	Q. Did LR Credit or LR Credit 13
13	sophisticated programs and there was	13	even look to see if another debt collection
14	concern that we could not easily offer that	14	law firm could do the collection for your
15	to another collection agency. When I say	15	accounts before September 2015?
16	off-load, I mean transfer successfully	16	A. I don't know what was done at
17	without losing control of the debtor	17	that time, back in 2005, 2003.
18	records.	18	Q. You are the corporate
19	Q. My understanding, correct me if	19	representative for LR Credit 13 today,
20	I'm wrong, I talked to you about the	20	correct?
21	repository for information. Is it true at	21	A. Correct.
22	that time repository for the information	22	Q. Why didn't LR Credit 13 look
23	for the LR Credit account, and Credit 13	23	for a new collection lawyer?
24	accounts, LR Credit is the repository for	24	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
25	that information; is that true or not?	25	of the question.
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	69		71
	70		72
1	70 R. NITTOLI	1	72 R. NITTOLI
1 2		1 2	
	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question.	2	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the
3	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the	2	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it.
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio.	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments?
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial agreement to transfer the files from Mel S.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it. We lost money on this deal. We didn't make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial agreement to transfer the files from Mel S. Harris & Associates to another debt	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it. We lost money on this deal. We didn't make money.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial agreement to transfer the files from Mel S. Harris & Associates to another debt collection law firm, Einstein & Associates,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it. We lost money on this deal. We didn't make money. Q. So were you afraid you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial agreement to transfer the files from Mel S. Harris & Associates to another debt collection law firm, Einstein & Associates, why could you not do that when Judge Chin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it. We lost money on this deal. We didn't make money. Q. So were you afraid you would lose more money if you transferred it to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial agreement to transfer the files from Mel S. Harris & Associates to another debt collection law firm, Einstein & Associates, why could you not do that when Judge Chin made the findings of systematic false	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it. We lost money on this deal. We didn't make money. Q. So were you afraid you would lose more money if you transferred it to another debt collector?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial agreement to transfer the files from Mel S. Harris & Associates to another debt collection law firm, Einstein & Associates, why could you not do that when Judge Chin made the findings of systematic false affidavits of serves and false affidavits	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it. We lost money on this deal. We didn't make money. Q. So were you afraid you would lose more money if you transferred it to another debt collector? A. We never looked at it that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial agreement to transfer the files from Mel S. Harris & Associates to another debt collection law firm, Einstein & Associates, why could you not do that when Judge Chin made the findings of systematic false affidavits of serves and false affidavits of merit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it. We lost money on this deal. We didn't make money. Q. So were you afraid you would lose more money if you transferred it to another debt collector? A. We never looked at it that way. Q. How do you look at it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I misunderstood your question. The information I was referring to were the documents to support the purchase agreement that was provided in buying that portfolio. You are referring to the underlying documents relating to transactions, credit card statements, things of that nature. That is not what I meant. Q. What did you mean? A. I meant the purchase agreement and whatever documentation was provided at that time when we purchased that portfolio. Q. If you were able to come to terms with Mel Harris & Associates in September 2005, come to a financial agreement to transfer the files from Mel S. Harris & Associates to another debt collection law firm, Einstein & Associates, why could you not do that when Judge Chin made the findings of systematic false affidavits of serves and false affidavits of merit? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI Q. After Judge Chin said that the record before the court establishes A. I already answered it. Q. Tens of thousands of sewer service judgments? MR. BALBER: Object. Mischaracterizes the judge's opinion, witness' testimony. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. We believed there was significant execution risk of moving a portfolio to another debt collector. Q. Were you afraid you wouldn't make as much money; is that accurate? A. It had nothing to do with it. We lost money on this deal. We didn't make money. Q. So were you afraid you would lose more money if you transferred it to another debt collector? A. We never looked at it that way. Q. How do you look at it? A. Optional risk.

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₇₃ Docume	nt T	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 19 of 44 ₇₅
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	A. We had a litigation going on	2	MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark
3	that was important that we maintained the	3	Plaintiff's Exhibit 7.
4	database of who paid what and who still had	4	(Whereupon, the aforementioned
5	a remaining balance.	5	document was marked as Plaintiff's
6	Q. In 2012, LR Credit 13 and LR	6	Exhibit 7 for identification as of
7	Credit knew that there was this ruling that	7	this date by the Reporter.)
8	there were thousands of false affidavits of	8	Q. I am showing you what's been
9	service; is that true or no?	9	marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 entitled
10	MR. BALBER: I am going to	10	Declaration of Nicholas Egleson, stamped on
11	continue my objection because this is	11	the top as Page 32 of 57 through 57 of 57.
12	complete absurd nonsense and I think	12	Please review this document and let me know
13	we should get the Magistrate Judge on	13	when you are done, sir.
14	the phone.	14	MR. LICHTMAN: Do you have an
15	MR. LICHTMAN: Note my	15	extra copy for me?
16	objection as well. Totally	16	MR. KESHAVARZ: No.
17	mischaracterizing what Judge Chin	17	
18		18	MR. LICHTMAN: Did you know I
19	said, among other things.	19	was going to be here?
	MR. KESHAVARZ: Read back.		MR. BALBER: So you are not
20	(Whereupon, the referred-to	20	marking the Complaint, just the
21	question was read back by the	21	attachment to the Complaint?
22	Reporter.)	22	MR. KESHAVARZ: That's exactly
23	A. I don't understand the	23	correct.
24	question.	24	Actually, here you go, sir.
25	MR. KESHAVARZ: Read again,	25	There is an extra copy of the
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	73		75
١.	74	١.	76
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI please.	2	R. NITTOLI document.
	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to	2	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay.
2	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.)	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7?
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR credit 13 or LR Credit take any steps to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR credit 13 or LR Credit take any steps to determine whether the statements the judge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7. A. Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR credit 13 or LR Credit take any steps to determine whether the statements the judge made about false affidavits, serving false	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7. A. Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review the document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR credit 13 or LR Credit take any steps to determine whether the statements the judge made about false affidavits, serving false affidavits of merit, did they take any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7. A. Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review the document? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR credit 13 or LR Credit take any steps to determine whether the statements the judge made about false affidavits, serving false affidavits of merit, did they take any steps to determine if those statements were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7. A. Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review the document? A. Yes. Q. If you go down to page 39 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR credit 13 or LR Credit take any steps to determine whether the statements the judge made about false affidavits, serving false affidavits of merit, did they take any steps to determine if those statements were true?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7. A. Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review the document? A. Yes. Q. If you go down to page 39 of 57.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR credit 13 or LR Credit take any steps to determine whether the statements the judge made about false affidavits, serving false affidavits of merit, did they take any steps to determine if those statements were true? MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7. A. Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review the document? A. Yes. Q. If you go down to page 39 of 57. A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI please. (Whereupon, the referred-to question was read back by the Reporter.) A. That would be true. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mark this Plaintiff's Exhibit 6. (Whereupon, the aforementioned document was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 for identification as of this date by the Reporter.) (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) Q. After the order and class certification that's Exhibit 5, did LR credit 13 or LR Credit take any steps to determine whether the statements the judge made about false affidavits, serving false affidavits of merit, did they take any steps to determine if those statements were true? MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. MR. BALBER: Same objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI document. MR. LICHTMAN: Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? MR. BALBER: Do you want him to read the 25 pages or Q. Have you had a chance to review Exhibit 7? A. Yes, sir. MR. BALBER: When you say review, look and identify, because this is has been clearly 30 seconds. Q. Take as much time as you like, sir, and let me know when you are done reviewing Exhibit 7. A. Okay. Q. Have you had a chance to review the document? A. Yes. Q. If you go down to page 39 of 57. A. Yes. Q. The affirmation is signed July

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₇₇ Docume	∤''' ±	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 20 01 44 ₇₉
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	A. It would appear to be correct.	2	instances when Mr. Andino claimed to be at
3	Q. And the declaration purports to	3	two or more locations at the same time
4	be an analysis of the Samserv database?	4	alleging service; is that true?
5	A. It would appear to be.	5	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
6	Q. And it contends that there's	6	of the question.
7	been an analysis of the Samserv database	7	MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.
8	for affidavits of service used in obtaining	8	A. I have no idea what the
9	defaults against, on behalf of the various	9	database showed.
10	LR Credit entities; is that right?	10	Q. But LR Credit knew, as of July
11	MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.	11	31, 2011, that there was this declaration
12	MR. BALBER: Same objection.	12	with the analysis of Samserv's database
13	A. It would appear.	13	showing multiple locations at the same time
14	Q. And I will represent to you	14	where a process server claimed to be there;
15	this was one of the declarations that were	15	is that right?
16	used to form the basis of Judge Chin's	16	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
17	Order.	17	of the question.
18	MR. BALBER: You are going to	18	A. I don't know how to interpret
19	make that representation?	19	what it means.
20	MR. KESHAVARZ: I just did.	20	Q. Well, that's what it says.
21	MR. BALBER: I'm assuming you	21	MR. BALBER: Then why are you
22	spoke to Judge Chin and got his input	22	asking him? What are you doing? You
23	on the issue?	23	are putting a document in front of
24	MR. KESHAVARZ: (Indicating).	24	him and you have not established he
25	MR. BALBER: I am sure he would	25	has ever seen the document before.
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	77		79
	78		80
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	be surprised to hear that.	2	You are putting it in front of him
3	Q. I represent to you these were	3	and asking him what? I don't
4	documents filed in support of class	4	understand what the exercise is
5	certification upon which Exhibit 5's Order	5	about.
	·		
6	is based.	6	Q. Me question is this: If LR
7	Leucadia and LR Credit were	6 7	Credit had this declaration on July 31,
7	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right?	6 7 8	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service
7 8 9	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct.	6 7 8 9	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different
7 8 9 10	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40	6 7 8 9 10	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit
7 8 9 10 11	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57?	6 7 8 9 10	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether
7 8 9 10 11	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in Mr. Guzman's lawsuit and he is the process	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without getting both sides of the data, talk to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in Mr. Guzman's lawsuit and he is the process server that we allege filed a false	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without getting both sides of the data, talk to the employees. This just looks like it is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in Mr. Guzman's lawsuit and he is the process server that we allege filed a false Affidavit of Merit.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without getting both sides of the data, talk to the employees. This just looks like it is one-sided. He looked at data, put a chart
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in Mr. Guzman's lawsuit and he is the process server that we allege filed a false Affidavit of Merit. Let me ask you this, based on	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without getting both sides of the data, talk to the employees. This just looks like it is one-sided. He looked at data, put a chart together.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in Mr. Guzman's lawsuit and he is the process server that we allege filed a false Affidavit of Merit. Let me ask you this, based on the Egleson's database analysis of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without getting both sides of the data, talk to the employees. This just looks like it is one-sided. He looked at data, put a chart together. Q. Let's look at other side.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in Mr. Guzman's lawsuit and he is the process server that we allege filed a false Affidavit of Merit. Let me ask you this, based on the Egleson's database analysis of Samserv's records, is it true that the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without getting both sides of the data, talk to the employees. This just looks like it is one-sided. He looked at data, put a chart together. Q. Let's look at other side. My question is this, did LR
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in Mr. Guzman's lawsuit and he is the process server that we allege filed a false Affidavit of Merit. Let me ask you this, based on the Egleson's database analysis of Samserv's records, is it true that the analysis alleges that there were 327	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without getting both sides of the data, talk to the employees. This just looks like it is one-sided. He looked at data, put a chart together. Q. Let's look at other side. My question is this, did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 take any steps after
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Leucadia and LR Credit were parties to the Sykes lawsuit, right? A. Correct. Q. Sir, if you go down to page 40 of 57? A. Okay. Q. If you go down one, two, three, four, five lines where it says Andino, do you see that? A. Correct, yes. Q. You may or may not know this, but Mr. Andino is one of the Defendants in Mr. Guzman's lawsuit and he is the process server that we allege filed a false Affidavit of Merit. Let me ask you this, based on the Egleson's database analysis of Samserv's records, is it true that the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Credit had this declaration on July 31, 2011, that documented multiple service attempts at the same time, different locations, why did LR Credit or LR Credit 13 not take any steps to determine whether the allegation in the declaration were true? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. A. Did Mr. Egleson even interview or talk to Mr. Andino? I don't know how you can interpret what the data is without getting both sides of the data, talk to the employees. This just looks like it is one-sided. He looked at data, put a chart together. Q. Let's look at other side. My question is this, did LR

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₈₁ Docume	nt 192	2-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 21 of 44 83
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	the July 31, 2011 Egleson affidavit to look	2	knows this is really silly. Why
3	into whether the allegations in the	3	don't you call the judge. Let's call
4	affirmation are true?	4	the judge. I am instructing him not
5	MR. BALBER: By the way, if	5	to answer unless you call the judge.
6	anything you know is a result of	6	MR. KESHAVARZ: Go ahead.
7	MR. KESHAVARZ: No.	7	MR. BALBER: You are instructed
8	MR. BALBER: Excuse me, shush.	8	not to answer.
9	If anything that you know is as	9	MR. KESHAVARZ: Call the judge.
10	a result of your communications with	10	MR. BALBER: I am not calling
11	Counsel in connection with the class	11	the judge. You are wasting my time.
12	action or otherwise, you are	12	MR. KESHAVARZ: Let's call.
13	instructed not to answer.	13	Where is the phone?
14	Now you can speak.	14	MR. BALBER: There is a phone
15	MR. LICHTMAN: Note my	15	there. There is a phone there. You
16	objection to the question.	16	have a phone.
17	Q. I am not asking about	17	(Whereupon a ruling is being
18	communications with anyone. My question is	18	sought.)
19	this: If LR Credit and LR Credit 13 knew	19	MR. BALBER: This is Scott
20	that on July 31, 2011, Mr. Egleson claims	20	Balber, Counsel for Credit LR 13.
21	he did an analysis of Samserv's database	21	I'd like the court reporter to read
22	and that database allegedly showed the same	22	back the question to the clerk.
23	process server alleged to be at more than	23	MR. KESHAVARZ: Let me state
24	one location at the same time, my question	24	the record.
25	is: What steps, if any, did LR Credit or	25	MR. BALBER: No. Let's have
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com	С	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	81		83
	82		84
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	LR Credit 13 take to determine whether the	2	the Court Reporter read the question
			the court reporter read the question
3	facts in the Egleson affirmation were true?	3	that you just asked about because
3 4	facts in the Egleson affirmation were true? What steps did you take, if any?	3 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			that you just asked about because
4	What steps did you take, if any?	4	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute
4 5	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps	4 5	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And
4 5 6	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR	4 5 6	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction.
4 5 6 7	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what	4 5 6 7	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold?
4 5 6 7 8	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those	4 5 6 7 8	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank
4 5 6 7 8 9	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not	4 5 6 7 8 9	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer.	4 5 6 7 8 9	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.)
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know the facts and the allegations are made, did	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge Ellis. I hear you have an issue
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know the facts and the allegations are made, did you take any steps to determine whether the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge Ellis. I hear you have an issue that's come up in the deposition.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know the facts and the allegations are made, did you take any steps to determine whether the allegations were true?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge Ellis. I hear you have an issue that's come up in the deposition. Who am I speaking with?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know the facts and the allegations are made, did you take any steps to determine whether the allegations were true? A. I will say it louder. I have	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge Ellis. I hear you have an issue that's come up in the deposition. Who am I speaking with? MR. KESHAVARZ: Plaintiff's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know the facts and the allegations are made, did you take any steps to determine whether the allegations were true? A. I will say it louder. I have been instructed by my counsel not to answer	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge Ellis. I hear you have an issue that's come up in the deposition. Who am I speaking with? MR. KESHAVARZ: Plaintiff's Counsel, Ahmad Keshavarz.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know the facts and the allegations are made, did you take any steps to determine whether the allegations were true? A. I will say it louder. I have been instructed by my counsel not to answer that question.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge Ellis. I hear you have an issue that's come up in the deposition. Who am I speaking with? MR. KESHAVARZ: Plaintiff's Counsel, Ahmad Keshavarz. THE COURT: What is your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know the facts and the allegations are made, did you take any steps to determine whether the allegations were true? A. I will say it louder. I have been instructed by my counsel not to answer that question. Q. I don't think he actually	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge Ellis. I hear you have an issue that's come up in the deposition. Who am I speaking with? MR. KESHAVARZ: Plaintiff's Counsel, Ahmad Keshavarz. THE COURT: What is your application?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	What steps did you take, if any? MR. BALBER: Again, if steps were taken at the direction of the LR Credit entities' Counsel, and what you know is a result of those instructions, you are instructed not to answer. MR. LICHTMAN: Objection. A. I have been instructed by my Counsel, I'm not going to answer the question. Q. I don't think that was the instruction. My question is, if you know the facts and the allegations are made, did you take any steps to determine whether the allegations were true? A. I will say it louder. I have been instructed by my counsel not to answer that question. Q. I don't think he actually instructed you that.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	that you just asked about because that's the question we have dispute about, not a different question. And the instruction. THE CLERK: Can you just hold? MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes. Thank you. THE CLERK: The Judge would like for me to get the question and see how urgent it is. Go off the record. (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) THE COURT: This is Judge Ellis. I hear you have an issue that's come up in the deposition. Who am I speaking with? MR. KESHAVARZ: Plaintiff's Counsel, Ahmad Keshavarz. THE COURT: What is your application? MR. KESHAVARZ: The application

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₈₅ Docume	nt 192-	2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 22 of 44 ₈₇
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	question about why L Credit and LR	2	assertion of the attorney/client
3	Credit 13 kept using Mel Harris as a	3	privilege, if you believe that it is
4	debt collector after there were	4	improper, you ask the other side to,
5	findings of sewer service and false	5	as best you can, give the basis for
6	affidavits. After an analysis of	6	it. If you find that it is
7	Samserv's database, and list specific	7	inappropriate, you bring it to me.
8	facts, my question is: After you got	8	If it turns out that I think the
9	the affidavit in 2011, why did you	9	privilege was asserted improperly,
10	continue to use Mel Harris as your	10	then this can be remedied in terms of
11	debt collector law firm?	11	having the witness respond to
12	MR. BALBER: That's not the	12	questions that they should not have
13	question you asked. Not even close.	13	been directed not to answer.
14	I would suggest	14	I am not sure what it is that
15	THE COURT: I gather there is a	15	you think you can do in the middle of
16	direction not to answer?	16	a deposition, specifically on that
17	MR. BALBER: Correct.	17	issue, because if the privilege is
18	THE COURT: You are asserting	18	asserted properly, then you can
19	attorney/client privilege?	19	direct the witness not to answer.
20	MR. BALBER: Right.	20	MR. KESHAVARZ: This is
21	THE COURT: That is one of	21	Plaintiff's Counsel. My request was
22	those two bases that is allowed to	22	that there be a ruling based on the
23	direct the witness not to answer, so	23	testimony now, but if the Court would
24	I'm not sure why there is an	24	like a separate application, I guess
25	application to me because I have to	25	that's the approach Plaintiff's
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com	DIA	MOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	85		87
	86		88
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to	2	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take.
2 3	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a	2 3	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it.	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but sometimes trying to figure out the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the attorney/client privilege, whether it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but sometimes trying to figure out the attorney/client privilege, the person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the attorney/client privilege, whether it is in a deposition, request to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but sometimes trying to figure out the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the attorney/client privilege, whether it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but sometimes trying to figure out the attorney/client privilege, the person asserting the privilege has to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the attorney/client privilege, whether it is in a deposition, request to produce or in some other context, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but sometimes trying to figure out the attorney/client privilege, the person asserting the privilege has to communicate with the Court to explain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the attorney/client privilege, whether it is in a deposition, request to produce or in some other context, the Court has to examine that in a way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but sometimes trying to figure out the attorney/client privilege, the person asserting the privilege has to communicate with the Court to explain why it is attorney/client privilege.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the attorney/client privilege, whether it is in a deposition, request to produce or in some other context, the Court has to examine that in a way that does not cause the privilege to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but sometimes trying to figure out the attorney/client privilege, the person asserting the privilege has to communicate with the Court to explain why it is attorney/client privilege. So while your adversary may be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the attorney/client privilege, whether it is in a deposition, request to produce or in some other context, the Court has to examine that in a way that does not cause the privilege to be, I mean, you know, you can't give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI either direct to answer or not to answer, but if it's an assertion of a privilege, I'm not sure how to get somebody to answer it. MR. KESHAVARZ: That is the application from Plaintiff's Counsel. I'm not sure how to proceed. THE COURT: If someone directs the witness not to answer and the party who is asking the question believes that a privilege is asserted improperly, then they can bring that to the Court. In the middle of a deposition is a very difficult time to decide whether something is attorney/client privilege, but sometimes trying to figure out the attorney/client privilege, the person asserting the privilege has to communicate with the Court to explain why it is attorney/client privilege. So while your adversary may be inappropriately doing it, first thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI Counsel would take. THE COURT: I would ask you to make a separate application is my point. I'm not sure how you rule on an assertion of attorney/client privilege in the middle of a deposition, because in order for someone to show me assertion of attorney/client privilege, to explain to the court why it is attorney/client privilege, how do you do that without in front of the other side? If they are improperly doing it and they are not able to show me that it should have been asserted, it is like any time someone asserts the attorney/client privilege, whether it is in a deposition, request to produce or in some other context, the Court has to examine that in a way that does not cause the privilege to be, I mean, you know, you can't give up the privilege by asserting the

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₈₉ Docume	nt 192-2	2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 23 of 44 ₉₁
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	practical matter, procedurally. If	2	instruction not to answer?
3	you can't see how this could be	3	MR. BALBER: I already have.
4	attorney/client privilege or any	4	MR. KESHAVARZ: Anything else?
5	other question that might be asked,	5	MR. BALBER: Sure. So we can
6	then you can bring that to me	6	respond to your frivolous motion, let
7	afterwards and what will happen is,	7	me make a record on this.
8	regardless of what there are two	8	Counsel has shown the witness
9	things. Either the witness will be	9	the declaration of Nicholas Egleson
10	brought back on terms the court	10	in the Sykes litigation. I don't
11	determines are appropriate or if it	11	believe it has been established the
12	turns out that it's not possible to	12	witness has ever seen it before. The
13	have the witness back, and have the	13	nature of the question is whether the
14	appropriate articles from the court	14	LR Credit 13 entity, which I know is
15	indicating that it is an	15	not a party to that case, it did
16	inappropriate assertion of the	16	anything to verify or that the
17	privilege causes certain things need	17	factual statements made or analysis
18	to be deemed admitted or precluded,	18	done by Nicholas Egleson in the
19	whatever is an appropriate remedy	19	declaration. As I noted for Counsel,
20	under the circumstances. But if he	20	the LR Credit related entities were
21	says the attorney/client privilege,	21	represented By Counsel in connection
22	you two can talk about the assertion	22	with the Sykes litigation and my
23	of the privilege. If you still	23	instruction to the witness has
24	believe that under the circumstances	24	intended to avoid having the witness
25	and under any time you assert	25	testify about communications with
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com	DIA	MOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	89		91
	00		00
	90		92
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
1 2		1 2	
_	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you	2	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says,
2 3	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the	2 3	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation.
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that.	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not to answer, you have to pay the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not to answer, you have to pay the consequences of that. So it will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not to answer, you have to pay the consequences of that. So it will be adjourned. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not to answer, you have to pay the consequences of that. So it will be adjourned. Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you a question. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not to answer, you have to pay the consequences of that. So it will be adjourned. Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you a question. You can say yes, no, whatever else you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not to answer, you have to pay the consequences of that. So it will be adjourned. Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mr. Balber, do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you a question. You can say yes, no, whatever else you want to say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not to answer, you have to pay the consequences of that. So it will be adjourned. Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mr. Balber, do you want to state the basis for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you a question. You can say yes, no, whatever else you want to say. On July 31, 2011 or shortly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI attorney/client privilege, it is a little bit hampered because the lawyer may not be able to tell you everything that makes it privileged, but he's got to make the effort and he's got to try to explore that. This is not the kind of issue that is going to be resolved by a call to the Court in the middle of a deposition. MR. KESHAVARZ: Understood, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. But everybody is advised that while the rule does allow you to direct the witness not to answer on the very specific circumstances, if you are wrong and you direct the witness not to answer, you have to pay the consequences of that. So it will be adjourned. Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you. MR. KESHAVARZ: Mr. Balber, do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	R. NITTOLI Counsel in Sykes or otherwise about evidence undertaken to determine what Egleson says his declaration says, which is part of the Sykes class action litigation. Q. LR Credit 13 was not a party to the Sykes lawsuit, correct? A. Correct. Q. However, after July 2011, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on punitive debts on behalf of LR Credit 13, correct? A. Correct. Q. And, in fact, LR Credit 13 continued to use Mel Harris to collect on alleged debt all the way through September 2015, correct? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. A. Correct. Q. Let me ask you a question. You can say yes, no, whatever else you want to say.

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₉₃ Docume	nt T	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 24 of 44 ₉₅
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	thereafter, did LR Credit 13 know that	2	accounted for.
3	there was a declaration by Mr. Egleson	3	Q. Is one reason that after LR
4	claiming to have done an analysis of the	4	Credit 13 saw the Egleson affirmation, is
5	Samserv database?	5	one reason they continued to use Mel Harris
6	A. I don't know at what point we	6	was that they were concerned that LR Credit
7	received that notice.	7	13 would not make as much money if it
8	Q. But at some point, LR Credit 13	8	attempted to transfer the accounts from Mel
9	received that notice?	9	Harris to another law firm? Is that one of
10	A. Yes.	10	the reasons?
11	Q. And that was sometime shortly	11	A. To my knowledge, that was not a
12	after July 31, 2011, correct?	12	reason.
13	A. I would believe so.	13	Q. After seeing the Egleson
14	Q. And the allegations for	14	affirmation, why did LR Credit 13 continue
15	Mr. Andino, for example, the Defendant, the	15	to have Mel Harris use Samserv as the
16	·	16	
17	Defendant in this case, Mr. Guzman's case,	17	process server?
	the allegation was that Mr. Andino claimed	18	MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.
18	to be in more than one place at the same		A. I have no knowledge of that.
19	time serving process on 327 occasions; is	19	Rushmore managed the operation of Mel
20	that correct?	20 21	Harris, the day-to-day. Who they selected,
21	MR. BALBER: Object to the form	22	I just don't know.
22	of the question. I don't understand		Q. LR Credit 13 had the Egleson
23 24	what the question is. I am sorry.	23	affirmation shortly after July 2011; is
25	Can you read it back, please?	24 25	that correct?
25	MR. LICHTMAN: Objection.	25	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com 95
			90
	0.4		06
1	94 P. NITTOLI	1	96 P. NITTOLL
1 2	R. NITTOLI	1 2	R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection.	2	R. NITTOLI of the question.
	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's	2	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct.
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges.	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this.	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else. Q. Tell me what you mean about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Objection to the form of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else. Q. Tell me what you mean about execution risk.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Objection to the form of the question. No foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else. Q. Tell me what you mean about execution risk. A. Transferring data, getting all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Objection to the form of the question. No foundation. MR. LEVITT: Objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else. Q. Tell me what you mean about execution risk. A. Transferring data, getting all the data fields properly loaded into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Objection to the form of the question. No foundation. MR. LEVITT: Objection. A. I already answered that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else. Q. Tell me what you mean about execution risk. A. Transferring data, getting all the data fields properly loaded into someone else's system. They may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Objection to the form of the question. No foundation. MR. LEVITT: Objection. A. I already answered that. Q. As to using Samserv, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else. Q. Tell me what you mean about execution risk. A. Transferring data, getting all the data fields properly loaded into someone else's system. They may have different definitions on what data fields	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Objection to the form of the question. No foundation. MR. LEVITT: Objection. A. I already answered that. Q. As to using Samserv, I don't believe you have. But anyway, what is your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else. Q. Tell me what you mean about execution risk. A. Transferring data, getting all the data fields properly loaded into someone else's system. They may have different definitions on what data fields mean versus what the Mel Harris database	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Objection to the form of the question. No foundation. MR. LEVITT: Objection. A. I already answered that. Q. As to using Samserv, I don't believe you have. But anyway, what is your answer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI MR. BALBER: Same objection. A. That's what Mr. Egleson's report alleges. Q. So I am only asking as to LR Credit 13, who is not a party in Sykes. My question is this. Why did LR Credit 13 continue to use Mel Harris to collect debts after it learned about the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked and answered. Objection to the form of the question. A. As I said, we believed there would be significant execution risk to transfer the collection to someone else. Q. Tell me what you mean about execution risk. A. Transferring data, getting all the data fields properly loaded into someone else's system. They may have different definitions on what data fields mean versus what the Mel Harris database was. There could be a delay, money was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI of the question. A. It would appear correct. Q. My question is this: If you look at item two on Exhibit 7, page one, it says that "I understand that this database is the record of all service of a process performed by Samserv for Mel Harris from January 2007 through January 2011." Did I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. So my question is: Why did LR Credit 13 instruct Mel Harris to use a process server other than Samserv after LR Credit 13 had the Egleson affirmation? MR. BALBER: Objection. Objection to the form of the question. No foundation. MR. LEVITT: Objection. A. I already answered that. Q. As to using Samserv, I don't believe you have. But anyway, what is your answer? A. Rushmore managed the day-to-day

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₉₇ Docume	nt 19	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 25 of 44 ₉₉	
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI	
2	choice, their decision. That was their	2	into whether you continued to use Samserv	
3	part of the operating agreement. I have no 3 after the Egleson affirmation?		-	
4	idea who they used and I'm not sure that	4	MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked	
5	they continued to use them.	5	and answered. Object to the form of	
6	Q. You knew shortly after July 31,	6	the question.	
7	2011, that as of at least January 2011, Mel	7	MR. LEVITT: Objection.	
8	Harris was continuing to use Samserv as the	8	8 A. I think it is has been	
9	process server for the LR Credit 13; is	9	9 answered.	
10	that true?	10	Q. You can answer.	
11	MR. BALBER: By "you," you mean	11	MR. LEVITT: It has been	
12	Rocco Nittoli?	12	answered since I came into the room.	
13	Q. You represent LR Credit 13, so	13	Q. You can answer.	
14	as a corporate representative of LR Credit	14	A. I have been advised by Counsel	
15	13?	15 not to answer.		
16	A. I don't have that information.	16	MR. KESHAVARZ: I don't know if	
17	This is what Mr. Egleson is alleging. That	17	his advice is to this question.	
18	data resides in Mel Harris' computer system	18	Read the question, please.	
19	somewhere.	19	(Whereupon, the referred-to	
20	Q. So then why didn't LR Credit 13	20	question was read back by the	
21	take any steps after it got the LR Credit	21	Reporter.)	
22	13 Egleson affirmation to determine whether	22	A. At some point, that was	
23	the allegation were true?	23	discussed and I believe a decision was made	
24	MR. BALBER: Objection.	24	not to use Samserv, but I don't know how	
25	Mischaracterizes the witness' prior	25	close it was done to July of 2011. The	
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com	
	97		99	
	98 100			
	98		100	
1	98 R. NITTOLI	1	100 R. NITTOLI	
1 2		1 2		
_	R. NITTOLI		R. NITTOLI	
2	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question.	2	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end.	
2 3	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel	2	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them	
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes.	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a	
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter.	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue to have Mel Harris use Samserv after LR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know? A. I don't recall.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue to have Mel Harris use Samserv after LR Credit 13 saw the Egleson affirmation, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know? A. I don't recall. Q. Who made that decision?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue to have Mel Harris use Samserv after LR Credit 13 saw the Egleson affirmation, did they even consider not using Samserv?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know? A. I don't recall. Q. Who made that decision? A. That was a decision that was	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue to have Mel Harris use Samserv after LR Credit 13 saw the Egleson affirmation, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know? A. I don't recall. Q. Who made that decision? A. That was a decision that was made by the LR 13, LR Credit Management.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue to have Mel Harris use Samserv after LR Credit 13 saw the Egleson affirmation, did they even consider not using Samserv? MR. LEVITT: Objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know? A. I don't recall. Q. Who made that decision? A. That was a decision that was made by the LR 13, LR Credit Management.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue to have Mel Harris use Samserv after LR Credit 13 saw the Egleson affirmation, did they even consider not using Samserv? MR. LEVITT: Objection. A. I don't know if he did continue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know? A. I don't recall. Q. Who made that decision? A. That was a decision that was made by the LR 13, LR Credit Management. Q. Who specifically?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue to have Mel Harris use Samserv after LR Credit 13 saw the Egleson affirmation, did they even consider not using Samserv? MR. LEVITT: Objection. A. I don't know if he did continue to use Samserv.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know? A. I don't recall. Q. Who made that decision? A. That was a decision that was made by the LR 13, LR Credit Management. Q. Who specifically? A. General counsel, our CFO, there	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI testimony. You can answer. A. I had been advised by Counsel not to answer that question. Q. No. LR Credit 13. LR Credit 13 wasn't a defendant in Sykes. MR. BALBER: It doesn't matter. If he has knowledge because of advice of Counsel, it doesn't matter whether the entity was a Defendant or not. Q. When you say advice of Counsel, what do you mean? A. I mean advice of Counsel. Q. Of who? A. Mr. Balber. Q. Okay. Fine. Understood. Did LR Credit 13 even consider looking into whether they should continue to have Mel Harris use Samserv after LR Credit 13 saw the Egleson affirmation, did they even consider not using Samserv? MR. LEVITT: Objection. A. I don't know if he did continue to use Samserv. Q. I am asking, did you even look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Sykes matter was a five-year, six-year window. I don't believe we were using them toward the end. Q. So you don't believe that you were having Mel Harris use Samserv as a process server close to the end, you mean close to September 2015? A. I don't know the time. This is a six, seven-year case. I don't know when that decision was made. Q. The reason I ask is that you said, "towards the end." I am just wondering what you had in your mind about when you used the term A. I don't recall. Q. So you don't know if it was closer to 2015 or 2012? You don't know? A. I don't recall. Q. Who made that decision? A. That was a decision that was made by the LR 13, LR Credit Management. Q. Who specifically? A. General counsel, our CFO, there were other attorneys in the room.	

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLE ₀₁ Docume	nt 1	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 26 of 44 ₁₀₃
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	Q. Anyone else?	2	change in the corporate officers for LR
3	A. I don't recall.	3	Credit 1 through 23 change over time
4	Q. Were you in the room?	4	because of the passage of time. My
5	A. I was in the room when it was	5	question is, when you say they may be for L
6	discussed. I was not in the room when the	6	Credit and LR Credit, do you mean it may be
7	final decision was made.	7	because of the passage of time, come and go
8	Q. The general counsel that you	8	or do you mean something else?
9	referred to meaning general counsel at	9	MR. BALBER: Object to the form
10	Leucadia?	10	of the question. And
11	A. At Leucadia.	11	mischaracterizes the witness's prior
12	Q. Do you recall what the name of	12	testimony. You can answer.
13	that general counsel is?	13	A. It also depends on the purpose
14	A. Steve Jacobs.	14	of the entity. I may have been a treasurer
15	Q. No relation to Zalman Jacobs?		
16	A. No relation to my knowledge.	16	at LR Credit, depending on the need of the
17	Q. When you said the CFO, do you	17	entity.
18	mean the CFO of Leucadia?	18	Q. Regardless of if it is CFO of
19	A. Of LR Credit 13.	19	one or the treasurer of one, whatever the
20	Q. Who was that?	20	type of corporate officers, my question is
21	A. Joseph Orlando.	21	this: Generally speaking, other than the
22	Q. Are the corporate officers for	22	fact that people change over time,
23	all of the different numbered LR Credit	23	generally speaking are the corporate
24	entities 1 through 23, they use all the	24	officers of L Credit the same as LR Credit?
25	same corporate officers?	25	MR. BALBER: Objection. Asked
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	101		103
	102		104
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list	2	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he
	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general	2	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know.
2	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar.	2	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he
2	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers?	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for the LR Credit entities 1 through 23 be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate representative based upon the service
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for the LR Credit entities 1 through 23 be the same corporate officers for LR Credit LLC?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate representative based upon the service of the notice and our objections
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for the LR Credit entities 1 through 23 be the same corporate officers for LR Credit LLC? A. They may be. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate representative based upon the service of the notice and our objections thereto.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for the LR Credit entities 1 through 23 be the same corporate officers for LR Credit LLC? A. They may be. I don't know. Q. Will they be generally the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate representative based upon the service of the notice and our objections thereto. Q. You can answer the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for the LR Credit entities 1 through 23 be the same corporate officers for LR Credit LLC? A. They may be. I don't know. Q. Will they be generally the same as for L Credit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate representative based upon the service of the notice and our objections thereto. Q. You can answer the question. One of your items to testify to on behalf
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for the LR Credit entities 1 through 23 be the same corporate officers for LR Credit LLC? A. They may be. I don't know. Q. Will they be generally the same as for L Credit? A. Again, they may be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate representative based upon the service of the notice and our objections thereto. Q. You can answer the question. One of your items to testify to on behalf of LR Credit 13 are the basis of LR Credit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for the LR Credit entities 1 through 23 be the same corporate officers for LR Credit LLC? A. They may be. I don't know. Q. Will they be generally the same as for L Credit? A. Again, they may be. Q. You talked about the possible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate representative based upon the service of the notice and our objections thereto. Q. You can answer the question. One of your items to testify to on behalf of LR Credit 13 are the basis of LR Credit 13's defenses in this case; is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI A. Perhaps. I don't have a list of the 23 entities, but that is a general they tend to be similar. Q. Generally, you think that LR Credit 1 through 23 generally have the same corporate officers? A. Perhaps over the years as new people come and go, the newer ones the later numbered ones may have a different officer or two. Q. Other than that transition, would they generally be the same? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. Objection. Asked and answered. A. It would generally be the same. Q. Would the corporate officer for the LR Credit entities 1 through 23 be the same corporate officers for LR Credit LLC? A. They may be. I don't know. Q. Will they be generally the same as for L Credit? A. Again, they may be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI and answered. And his answer is he doesn't know. Q. Don't instruct the client. You can answer. A. I already said I don't know. Q. Thank you. You are the corporate representative for the claims and defense necessary to this lawsuit, correct? MR. BALBER: I don't understand what that means. Q. You're the corporate representative to testify as to the basis of the claims and defenses in this case, correct? MR. BALBER: Object to the form of the question. He's the corporate representative based upon the service of the notice and our objections thereto. Q. You can answer the question. One of your items to testify to on behalf of LR Credit 13 are the basis of LR Credit

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RLF ₀₅ Docume	nt 19	92-2 Filed 07/19/17 Page 27 of 44 ₁₀₇	
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI	
2	correct?	2 know how the witness can possibly		
3	A. I am representing LR 13.	3 have any basis to answer that		
4	MR. KESHAVARZ: Can you mark	4	-	
5	this, please?	5	·	
6	(Whereupon, the aforementioned	6		
7	document was marked as Plaintiff's	7	_ '	
8	Exhibit 8 for identification as of	8	5 .	
9	this date by the Reporter.)	9		
10	Q. I'm showing you what's marked	10	are barred by the doctrines of laches,	
11	as Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, the notice of	11	estoppel and waiver?	
12	deposition for today. Looking at page two,	12	MR. BALBER: To the extent you	
13	item number 1, it indicates that the	13	13 know any of that as a result of	
14	corporate representative is to testify as	14 communication with Counsel, you are		
15	to the claims and defenses raised in the	15	instructed not to answer.	
16	Complaint and in the Answer in this action;	16	A. I am not going to answer and I	
17	is that correct?	17	would suggest we I told you I had a hard	
18	A. Yes. This is correct.	18	stop at 4:00.	
19	Q. My question is going to Exhibit	19	Q. I'm going one at a time.	
20	6.	20	A. An hour ago you said we'd be	
21	MR. LEVITT: What was Exhibit	21	done in 15 minutes and you were two hours	
22	6.	22	late.	
23	MR. KESHAVARZ: The Answer.	23	Q. If you could just go through	
24	MR. LEVITT: LR Credit Answer?	24	this.	
25	MR. KESHAVARZ: Yes.	25	A. Don't start something we can't	
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com	
	105		107	
	400		4.00	
١,	106		108	
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI	
2	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's	2	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00.	
	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR	2	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for	
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the	
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by	
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when	2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and	
2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done.	2 3 4 5 6 7	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the witness, who is not a lawyer, to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications with my clients are none of your	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the witness, who is not a lawyer, to give you the base for the legal affirmative defense? Is that what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications with my clients are none of your business. You are instructed not to answer.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the witness, who is not a lawyer, to give you the base for the legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications with my clients are none of your business. You are instructed not to answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: We will go	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the witness, who is not a lawyer, to give you the base for the legal affirmative defense? Is that what you are asking? MR. KESHAVARZ: Call it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications with my clients are none of your business. You are instructed not to answer.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the witness, who is not a lawyer, to give you the base for the legal affirmative defense? Is that what you are asking?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications with my clients are none of your business. You are instructed not to answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: We will go through them one at a time. MR. BALBER: Let me put this on	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the witness, who is not a lawyer, to give you the base for the legal affirmative defense? Is that what you are asking? MR. KESHAVARZ: Call it anything you want.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications with my clients are none of your business. You are instructed not to answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: We will go through them one at a time. MR. BALBER: Let me put this on the record. He's not answering any	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the witness, who is not a lawyer, to give you the base for the legal affirmative defense? Is that what you are asking? MR. KESHAVARZ: Call it anything you want. MR. BALBER: I will call it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications with my clients are none of your business. You are instructed not to answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: We will go through them one at a time. MR. BALBER: Let me put this on	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI Q. I'm showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 docket entry 50, the answer of LR Credit 13, and I turn your attention to page 13. If you can read page 13 through 16 to yourself, sir and let me know when you are done. A. Okay. Q. Going back to page 13, paragraph 98, the third affirmative defense, my question is this: What is the basis for the LR Credit 13 affirmative defense that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: You are asking the witness, who is not a lawyer, to give you the base for the legal affirmative defense? Is that what you are asking? MR. KESHAVARZ: Call it anything you want. MR. BALBER: I will call it what it is. Idiotic. Not unlike the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI finish. I have a hard stop at 4:00. Q. What is the factual basis for the third affirmative defense of the doctrines of Plaintiff's claim is barred by the doctrines of laches estoppel and waiver, what's the factual basis for that? MR. BALBER: Same instruction. A. I have been advised not to answer. MR. BALBER: It is a privileged instruction. Q. Were you instructed by Counsel as to the basis of the affirmative defenses of laches, estoppel and waiver? MR. BALBER: My communications with my clients are none of your business. You are instructed not to answer. MR. KESHAVARZ: We will go through them one at a time. MR. BALBER: Let me put this on the record. He's not answering any questions regarding any of the	

	Case 1:16-cv-03499-GBD-RL ₁₀₉ Docume	וונ <u>ד</u>	32 2 1 lied 07/13/17 1 age 20 01 44 111
1	R. NITTOLI	1	R. NITTOLI
2	the legal basis or his communications	2	MR. KESHAVARZ: Thank you for
3	with me or my work product, so that	3	your time. You are free to go unless
4	may shortcut it.	4	you have any.
5	Q. Does LR Credit 13 have any	5	MR. LEVITT: No questions.
6	policies or procedures to avoid violations	6	(Whereupon, at 4:02 P.M., the
7	of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act?	7	Examination of this Witness was
8	A. No.	8	concluded.)
9	Q. Do you believe that Mr. Guzman	9	
10	did not exercise reasonable care regarding	10	0 0 0
11	the allegation he makes in this Complaint?	11	
12	A. I have no idea. I have never	12	
13	met him.	13	
14	Q. Do you have any factual basis	14	
15	for a belief that Mr. Guzman did not	15	
16	exercise reasonable care and, therefore,	16	
17	he's liable for some of the damages sought	17	
18	by this case?	18	
19	A. I have no idea what these guys	19	
20	did.	20	
21	Q. So the answer is no?	21	
22	A. No.	22	
23	Q. For affirmative defenses	23	
24	three two, three, four, five six, seven,	24	
25	nine, ten, and eleven, do you have any	25	
	DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com		DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com
	109		111
	110	4	112
1	110 R. NITTOLI	1 2	R. NITTOLI
1 2		1 2 3	R. NITTOLI D E C L A R A T I O N
_	R. NITTOLI	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been
2	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative	2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I
2	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses?	2 3 4	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been
2 3 4	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing
2 3 4 5	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript
2 3 4 5 6	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure. Q. Sure. From the affirmative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure. Q. Sure. From the affirmative defense two through ten.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure. Q. Sure. From the affirmative defense two through ten. A. I have no change to my answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure. Q. Sure. From the affirmative defense two through ten. A. I have no change to my answer. Q. You don't have any factual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure. Q. Sure. From the affirmative defense two through ten. A. I have no change to my answer. Q. You don't have any factual basis, separate and apart from your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure. Q. Sure. From the affirmative defense two through ten. A. I have no change to my answer. Q. You don't have any factual basis, separate and apart from your conversations you had with Counsel,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure. Q. Sure. From the affirmative defense two through ten. A. I have no change to my answer. Q. You don't have any factual basis, separate and apart from your conversations you had with Counsel, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. NITTOLI factual basis for those affirmative defenses? A. I have been advised by my attorney not answer that question. MR. KESHAVARZ: Is that true in this case? MR. BALBER: The extent is if you have factual knowledge in these issues based on your communication with Counsel, you are instructed not to answer. Q. Do you have any actual knowledge, other than communication you've had with Counsel? Do you want me repeat the numbers again? A. Sure. Q. Sure. From the affirmative defense two through ten. A. I have no change to my answer. Q. You don't have any factual basis, separate and apart from your conversations you had with Counsel,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. NITTOLI DECLARATION I hereby certify that having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, I gave the above testimony. I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given by me at the time and place specified herein before. ROCCO NITTOLI Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 20

•	15 [4] - 51:5, 51:20,	450 [2] - 1:20, 2:10	69:24, 71:15, 95:8
\$	51:25, 107:21	4:00 [2] - 107:18, 108:2	accuracy [1] - 43:15
\$1,130.35 [2] - 51:6,	16 [2] - 2:5, 106:6	4:02 [1] - 111:6	accurate [2] - 17:7,
51:21	199 [1] - 63:25	1102[1]	72:15
	1:16-EV-03499 [1] - 1:6	5	acquires [1] - 8:4
0	21 00 100 [1] 1		act [1] - 25:24
07747 4.40	2	5 [4] - 15:12, 15:14,	Act [4] - 20:5, 20:14,
07747 [1] - 4:13		35:7, 113:11	21:20, 109:7
4	2 [5] - 12:15, 12:18,	5's [1] - 78:5	action [8] - 33:7, 53:7,
1	13:22, 65:7, 113:8	50 [2] - 106:3, 113:9	61:5, 66:23, 81:12, 92:6,
1 [16] - 3:17, 12:18,	20 [1] - 23:22	52 [1] - 113:10	105:16, 114:16
13:22, 18:19, 18:21,	2003 [2] - 10:24, 71:17	57 [5] - 75:11, 76:22,	actions [1] - 66:21
18:22, 19:8, 33:25,	2005 [2] - 70:16, 71:17	78:11	active [1] - 63:6
39:15, 39:17, 101:24,	2007 [1] - 96:9		activities [1] - 29:3
102:6, 102:19, 103:3,	2008 [1] - 24:20	6	activity [1] - 60:10
105:13, 113:8	2009 [2] - 24:20, 63:9	6 [2] - 52:17, 113:12	actual [1] - 110:13
10 [2] - 1:12, 35:7	2011 [15] - 10:25, 76:25,	63 [1] - 113:11	address [1] - 4:11
10,000 [1] - 36:11	79:11, 80:8, 81:2, 81:20,	. ,	adjourned [1] - 90:21
100 [2] - 8:20, 17:16	85:9, 92:10, 92:25,	7	administer [1] - 3:11
100,000 [3] - 36:15,	93:12, 95:23, 96:9, 97:7, 99:25		admitted [1] - 89:18
36:23, 37:17		7 [4] - 51:2, 51:6, 51:11,	adversary [1] - 86:23
10005 [1] - 2:15	2012 [4] - 63:13, 68:9, 73:6, 100:18	113:13	advice [4] - 98:8, 98:11,
10017 [1] - 2:11	2014 [1] - 67:22	70 [11] - 10:14, 11:10,	98:13, 99:17
105 [1] - 113:14	2015 [12] - 58:24, 59:18,	14:15, 30:16, 31:4,	advised [5] - 90:14,
11241 [1] - 2:6	59:22, 60:4, 60:18,	31:14, 32:6, 32:14,	98:3, 99:14, 108:9, 110:4
114 [1] - 12:23	61:13, 61:22, 61:24,	32:20, 32:25, 33:15	affidavit [3] - 52:16,
12 [2] - 4:12, 113:8	71:15, 92:18, 100:8,	74 [1] - 113:12	81:2, 85:9
120 [2] - 31:8, 31:9	100:18	75 [1] - 113:13	Affidavit [1] - 78:21
12:30 [1] - 66:8	2016 [4] - 32:21, 33:10,		affidavits [8] - 66:22,
12:40 [1] - 1:13	33:16, 58:19	8	70:22, 73:8, 74:19,
13 [90] - 1:7, 2:10,	2017 [2] - 1:12, 114:20	8 [1] - 113:14	74:20, 77:8, 85:6
18:14, 26:18, 26:19,	20 [1] - 112:19	82 [1] - 2:15	affirmation [11] - 76:24,
28:15, 28:19, 28:23,	21 [1] - 23:22		81:4, 82:3, 94:10, 95:4,
29:6, 31:6, 39:21, 39:22, 40:16, 41:2, 41:4, 42:20,	23 [9] - 18:22, 19:8,	9	95:14, 95:23, 96:15, 97:22, 98:20, 99:3
43:5, 45:7, 46:16, 46:24,	24:4, 34:2, 101:24,	00 :-: 47:40 50:40	affirmative [10] -
47:8, 48:14, 51:22, 52:3,	102:3, 102:6, 102:19,	90 [2] - 17:18, 58:12	106:10, 106:12, 106:19,
52:20, 53:2, 53:10,	103:3	91 [1] - 12:22	107:9, 108:4, 108:14,
53:21, 54:2, 54:3, 54:9,	24 [1] - 18:21	95 [2] - 15:10, 15:13	108:25, 109:23, 110:2,
54:11, 54:12, 56:15,	240 [1] - 63:25	98 [1] - 106:10	
			110:18
58:17, 59:2, 59:13,	25 [2] - 18:19, 76:7	Λ	110:18 aforementioned [7] -
58:17, 59:2, 59:13, 59:20, 59:25, 60:9,		A	110:18 aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11,
	25 [2] - 18:19, 76:7	A ability [1] - 60:6	aforementioned [7] -
59:20, 59:25, 60:9,	3	<u> </u>	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17,	3 (5) - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5,	ability [1] - 60:6	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19,	3 3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14,	3 3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13,	3 3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6,	3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11,	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14,	3 3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15,	3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25,	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16,	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15,	3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11,	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:5, 98:6,	3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6 32 [1] - 75:11	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11, 46:10, 69:23	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14, 4:15
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:5, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 100:22,	3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6 32 [1] - 75:11 327 [2] - 78:25, 93:19	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11, 46:10, 69:23 accounted [2] - 60:14,	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14, 4:15 afterwards [1] - 89:7
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:5, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 100:22, 101:19, 103:15, 104:24,	3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6 32 [1] - 75:11 327 [2] - 78:25, 93:19	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11, 46:10, 69:23 accounted [2] - 60:14, 95:2	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14, 4:15 afterwards [1] - 89:7 again [9] - 11:4, 14:12,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:5, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 100:22, 101:19, 103:15, 104:24, 105:3, 106:4, 106:5,	3 3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6 32 [1] - 75:11 327 [2] - 78:25, 93:19 39 [1] - 76:21	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11, 46:10, 69:23 accounted [2] - 60:14, 95:2 accounting [4] - 35:10,	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14, 4:15 afterwards [1] - 89:7 again [9] - 11:4, 14:12, 25:3, 32:17, 55:2, 73:25,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:5, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 100:22, 101:19, 103:15, 104:24, 105:3, 106:4, 106:5, 106:9, 106:12, 109:5	3 3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6 32 [1] - 75:11 327 [2] - 78:25, 93:19 39 [1] - 76:21 4 4 [4] - 52:15, 68:8,	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11, 46:10, 69:23 accounted [2] - 60:14, 95:2 accounting [4] - 35:10, 60:11, 63:5, 68:16	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14, 4:15 afterwards [1] - 89:7 again [9] - 11:4, 14:12, 25:3, 32:17, 55:2, 73:25, 82:5, 102:24, 110:16
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:5, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 100:22, 101:19, 103:15, 104:24, 105:3, 106:4, 106:5, 106:9, 106:12, 109:5 13's [2] - 29:19, 104:25	3 3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6 32 [1] - 75:11 327 [2] - 78:25, 93:19 39 [1] - 76:21 4 4 [4] - 52:15, 68:8, 113:10, 113:21	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11, 46:10, 69:23 accounted [2] - 60:14, 95:2 accounting [4] - 35:10, 60:11, 63:5, 68:16 accounts [11] - 28:14,	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14, 4:15 afterwards [1] - 89:7 again [9] - 11:4, 14:12, 25:3, 32:17, 55:2, 73:25, 82:5, 102:24, 110:16 against [4] - 1:5, 50:16,
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:5, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 100:22, 101:19, 103:15, 104:24, 105:3, 106:4, 106:5, 106:9, 106:12, 109:5 13's [2] - 29:19, 104:25 14 [1] - 67:22	3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6 32 [1] - 75:11 327 [2] - 78:25, 93:19 39 [1] - 76:21 4 [4] - 52:15, 68:8, 113:10, 113:21 40 [3] - 9:3, 9:5, 78:10	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11, 46:10, 69:23 accounted [2] - 60:14, 95:2 accounting [4] - 35:10, 60:11, 63:5, 68:16 accounts [11] - 28:14, 28:23, 29:6, 36:8, 43:6,	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14, 4:15 afterwards [1] - 89:7 again [9] - 11:4, 14:12, 25:3, 32:17, 55:2, 73:25, 82:5, 102:24, 110:16 against [4] - 1:5, 50:16, 50:21, 77:9
59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:20, 67:19, 69:23, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:17, 80:11, 80:25, 81:19, 82:2, 83:20, 85:3, 91:14, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:7, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:15, 97:20, 97:22, 98:5, 98:6, 98:17, 98:20, 100:22, 101:19, 103:15, 104:24, 105:3, 106:4, 106:5, 106:9, 106:12, 109:5 13's [2] - 29:19, 104:25	3 3 [5] - 50:3, 50:14, 52:5, 113:9 30 [2] - 3:16, 76:13 30th [1] - 114:20 31 [8] - 76:25, 79:11, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:25, 93:12, 97:6 32 [1] - 75:11 327 [2] - 78:25, 93:19 39 [1] - 76:21 4 4 [4] - 52:15, 68:8, 113:10, 113:21	ability [1] - 60:6 able [6] - 46:10, 46:22, 68:16, 70:14, 88:15, 90:4 above [1] - 112:6 absurd [1] - 73:12 accept [2] - 33:9, 33:11 accepted [1] - 33:22 access [1] - 60:7 account [6] - 28:16, 28:18, 29:20, 34:11, 46:10, 69:23 accounted [2] - 60:14, 95:2 accounting [4] - 35:10, 60:11, 63:5, 68:16 accounts [11] - 28:14,	aforementioned [7] - 12:16, 50:4, 52:11, 63:17, 74:9, 75:4, 105:6 afraid [2] - 72:14, 72:19 after [22] - 3:16, 32:8, 33:16, 44:9, 67:21, 72:2, 74:15, 80:25, 85:4, 85:6, 85:8, 92:10, 93:12, 94:9, 95:3, 95:13, 95:23, 96:14, 97:6, 97:21, 98:19, 99:3 afternoon [2] - 4:14, 4:15 afterwards [1] - 89:7 again [9] - 11:4, 14:12, 25:3, 32:17, 55:2, 73:25, 82:5, 102:24, 110:16 against [4] - 1:5, 50:16,

29:21, 29:22, 31:23, 62:2, 62:12, 69:15 ago [1] - 107:20 AGREED [2] - 3:5, 3:20 agreed [4] - 33:8, 51:4, 51:19, 51:24 agreement [16] - 13:3, 13:5, 13:8, 13:24, 14:4, 14:7, 14:10, 15:3, 51:3, 51:18, 51:23, 62:16, 70:4, 70:11, 70:17, 97:3 agreements [5] - 45:15, 46:2, 47:25, 48:13, 48:17 ahead [6] - 42:2, 42:13, 57:14, 64:15, 72:25, 83:6 Ahmad [1] - 84:21 **AHMAD** [2] - 2:4, 2:6 allegation [4] - 80:12, 93:17, 97:23, 109:11 allegations [5] - 20:12, 81:3, 82:17, 82:19, 93:14 allege [1] - 78:20 alleged [4] - 47:25, 52:5, 81:23, 92:17 allegedly [2] - 46:9, 81:22 alleges [2] - 78:25, 94:4 alleging [2] - 79:4, 97:17 allow [2] - 71:8, 90:15 allowed [1] - 85:22 along [2] - 18:20, 40:22 ALSO [1] - 2:19 among [2] - 71:3, 73:18 amount [1] - 23:13 **amusement** [1] - 66:5 analysis [10] - 40:24, 77:4, 77:7, 78:23, 78:25, 79:12, 81:21, 85:6, 91:17, 93:4 **AND** [3] - 1:7, 3:5, 3:20 **AND/OR** [2] - 113:23, 113:24 Andino [5] - 78:14, 79:2, 80:17, 93:15, 93:17 **ANDINO** [1] - 1:8 andino [1] - 78:18 **ANDREW** [1] - 2:17 **ANNE** [2] - 114:7, 114:23 Anne [1] - 1:22 annual [3] - 9:7, 9:10, **anonymous** [1] - 20:8 **another** [9] - 49:10, 60:19, 69:3, 69:15, 70:18, 71:13, 72:13, 72:21, 95:9 answer [61] - 5:19, 8:25,

10:2, 11:16, 14:10,

14:11, 21:15, 26:7, 34:19, 35:24, 38:14, 39:9, 46:23, 47:18, 48:5, 48:10, 49:13, 49:14, 50:10, 54:24, 55:7, 56:4, 71:6, 81:13, 82:10, 82:13, 82:21, 83:5, 83:8, 85:16, 85:23, 86:2, 86:3, 86:5, 86:10, 87:13, 87:19, 90:16, 90:19, 91:2, 96:23, 98:2, 98:4, 99:10, 99:13, 99:15, 103:12, 104:2, 104:5, 104:22, 106:3, 107:3, 107:15, 107:16, 108:10, 108:19, 109:21, 110:5, 110:12, 110:20 **Answer** [4] - 105:16, 105:23, 105:24, 113:12 answered [15] - 11:2, 11:9, 29:2, 37:15, 44:5, 72:4, 84:25, 92:20, 94:12, 96:20, 99:5, 99:9, 99:12, 102:16, 104:2 answering [1] - 108:23 **answers** [1] - 50:8 anybody [1] - 30:14 anyone [6] - 3:11, 6:3, 6:12, 49:17, 81:18, 101:2 anything [5] - 81:6, 81:9, 91:4, 91:16, 106:22 anyway [1] - 96:22 apart [1] - 110:22 apologize [2] - 11:4, apparently [1] - 67:3 appear [5] - 36:13, 77:2, 77:5, 77:13, 96:3 appears [2] - 13:3, 50:24 application [6] - 84:23, 84:24, 85:25, 86:7, 87:24, 88:4 approach [1] - 87:25 appropriate [3] - 89:11, 89:14, 89:19 approximately [15] -6:18, 7:23, 8:20, 9:3, 10:12, 10:24, 10:25, 11:10, 15:12, 33:10, 36:8, 37:17, 59:7, 59:11, 61:12 are there [3] - 16:6, 19:7, 57:2 around [2] - 61:22, 63:9 arranged [1] - 40:5 arrangement [3] - 19:9,

5:10, 14:13, 21:14, 34:17, 39:12, 45:17, 53:8, 55:21, 59:9, 64:19, 64:25, 78:22, 87:4, 88:3, 92:22, 100:12 **Asked** [1] - 11:8 asked [9] - 44:4, 84:3, 85:13, 89:5, 92:19, 94:11, 99:4, 102:15, 103:25 asking [13] - 11:4, 21:6, 48:15, 54:6, 54:7, 79:22, 80:3, 81:17, 86:11, 94:5, 98:25, 106:16, 106:20 assert [1] - 89:25 asserted [4] - 86:12, 87:9, 87:18, 88:16 asserting [3] - 85:18, 86:20, 88:24 assertion [6] - 86:3, 87:2, 88:6, 88:9, 89:16, 89:22 asserts [1] - 88:17 assets [4] - 8:9, 8:22, 9:4. 9:5 assignee [1] - 52:21 associates [1] - 29:23 ASSOCIATES [1] - 1:7 Associates [13] - 17:3, 20:15, 21:21, 38:24, 54:19, 55:5, 59:3, 60:3, 61:16, 61:21, 70:15, 70:18, 70:19 assume [3] - 5:11, 50:19, 50:22 assumes [2] - 41:17, 42:14 assuming [1] - 77:21 Attachment [2] - 13:22 attachment [1] - 75:21 attachments [2] - 13:4, 13:7 attempt [1] - 61:23 **attempted** [1] - 95:8 attempts [1] - 80:9 attention [1] - 106:4 attesting [2] - 66:22, attorney [10] - 6:7, 38:2, 38:16, 38:24, 39:4, 51:20, 58:18, 59:3, 68:10, 110:5 attorney/client [12] -85:19, 86:17, 86:19, 86:22, 87:2, 88:6, 88:10, 88:12, 88:18, 89:4, 89:21, 90:2 Attorneys [3] - 2:4, 2:9,

51:25, 59:22, 100:25
audit [1] - 19:23
authorized [1] - 3:11
auto [1] - 8:11
Avenue [2] - 1:21, 2:10
avoid [2] - 91:24, 109:6
aware [2] - 25:20, 74:25

B back [17] - 7:15, 20:19,

20:21, 33:23, 53:15, 53:17, 68:7, 71:17, 73:19, 73:21, 74:4, 83:22, 89:10, 89:13, 93:24, 99:20, 106:9 **background** [1] - 8:18 **balance** [1] - 73:5 Balber [3] - 5:25, 6:22, 83:20 **BALBER** [143] - 2:11, 8:24, 9:14, 9:24, 10:10, 11:8, 11:15, 11:20, 12:3, 12:12, 13:9, 13:14, 13:18, 14:8, 14:22, 14:25, 15:25, 16:15, 17:4, 18:9, 19:2, 19:11, 20:17, 20:23, 21:5, 21:11, 21:23, 22:7, 23:16, 23:25, 24:12, 26:4, 26:12, 30:14, 32:2, 32:9, 32:23, 33:3, 33:18, 34:5, 34:14, 35:15, 35:22, 36:17, 37:3, 37:10, 37:14, 38:11, 39:6, 39:12, 40:8, 41:16, 41:23, 42:11, 42:14, 42:23, 43:7, 43:21, 44:4, 44:11, 45:4, 45:11, 46:21, 47:3, 47:11, 47:17, 48:3, 48:18, 48:24, 51:8, 51:13, 53:14, 54:22, 55:15, 55:19, 56:2, 56:12, 57:11, 58:8, 59:16, 60:21, 64:12, 64:16, 64:22, 65:10, 65:17, 65:20, 65:25, 66:9, 67:25, 70:24, 71:24, 72:7, 73:10, 74:24, 75:19, 76:6, 76:11, 77:12, 77:18, 77:21, 77:25, 79:5, 79:16, 79:21, 80:14, 81:5, 81:8, 82:5, 82:25, 83:7, 83:10, 83:14, 83:19, 83:25, 85:12, 85:17, 85:20, 91:3, 91:5, 92:19, 93:21, 94:2, 94:11, 95:25, 96:16, 97:11, 97:24, 98:7, 99:4, 102:14,

attorneys [4] - 51:5,

25:8, 26:10

articles [1] - 89:14

ask [19] - 5:5, 5:6, 5:9,

103:9, 103:25, 104:11, 104:17, 106:16, 106:23, 107:12, 108:8, 108:11, 108:16, 108:22, 110:8 **balber** [2] - 90:24, 98:15 ballpark [1] - 8:18 bank [6] - 28:14, 28:15, 28:17, 28:23, 29:5, 29:19 Bank [2] - 52:5, 52:23 banks [1] - 8:13 barred [3] - 106:13, 107:10, 108:5 base [1] - 106:18 based [8] - 36:12, 37:4, 66:21, 78:6, 78:22, 87:22, 104:19, 110:10 bases [1] - 85:22 basically [1] - 15:23 basis [16] - 67:14, 77:16, 87:5, 90:25, 104:14, 104:24, 106:12, 107:3, 107:8, 108:3, 108:7, 108:14, 109:2, 109:14, 110:2, 110:22 Bates [3] - 12:22, 52:16, 63:24 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:15 before [15] - 1:22, 3:11, 3:13, 4:17, 5:3, 61:23, 66:18, 67:23, 71:15, 72:3, 79:25, 91:12, 112:11, 112:18, 114:11 began [1] - 63:9 begin [1] - 71:11 **beginning** [1] - 34:25 behalf [8] - 20:15, 36:15, 38:9, 42:7, 59:14, 77:9, 92:12, 104:23 behavior [1] - 65:22 belief [2] - 68:13, 109:15 believe [21] - 11:2, 16:9, 18:7, 18:21, 23:21, 28:15, 32:16, 34:7, 39:2, 40:19, 46:13, 71:7, 87:3, 89:24, 91:11, 93:13, 96:22, 99:23, 100:3, 100:5, 109:9 believed [2] - 72:11, 94:14 believes [1] - 86:12 belongs [1] - 13:18 below [1] - 67:9 **best** [2] - 54:4, 87:5 better [4] - 22:16, 34:17, 68:15, 68:18 between [8] - 3:6, 13:21, 15:16, 16:22,

19:9, 25:25, 26:18, 56:21

billion [5] - 9:3, 9:5, 9:9,

9:16, 9:18 bit [1] - 90:3 **blood** [1] - 114:16 both [3] - 7:4, 25:11, 80:19 **box** [1] - 33:21 break [1] - 56:17 briefly [2] - 5:5, 7:24 bring [8] - 22:24, 25:13, 25:15, 62:7, 62:11, 86:13, 87:7, 89:6 Brooklyn [1] - 2:6 brought [3] - 44:15, 44:22, 89:10 bunch [1] - 27:5 business [3] - 62:13, 67:20, 108:18 **buying** [2] - 31:21, 70:5 BY [5] - 2:6, 2:11, 2:16, 4:6, 113:20

C

call [8] - 83:3, 83:5, 83:9, 83:12, 90:9, 106:21, 106:23 called [2] - 4:2, 18:7 calling [1] - 83:10 calls [3] - 38:12, 39:7, came [8] - 29:10, 31:19, 33:21, 33:23, 35:13, 35:21, 36:4, 99:12 can you [11] - 7:24, 10:3, 12:23, 14:12, 32:16, 55:2, 61:10, 64:10, 84:7, 93:24, 105:4 can't [3] - 88:23, 89:3, 107:25 Canella [1] - 27:19 capable [1] - 71:8 capacity [1] - 22:14 card [8] - 10:16, 47:25, 48:2, 48:6, 48:8, 48:16, 48:17, 70:8 care [2] - 109:10, 109:16 Case [1] - 1:5 case [12] - 4:21, 56:13, 64:3. 66:13. 91:15. 93:16, 100:10, 104:15, 104:25, 109:18, 110:7 cash [3] - 19:20, 33:20, 33:21 casinos [1] - 8:13 cause [1] - 88:22 causes [1] - 89:17 cease [5] - 32:14, 32:19, 32:24, 33:5, 59:2

ceased [1] - 58:23

cents [1] - 23:14 certain [1] - 89:17 certification [6] - 3:8, 63:13, 64:2, 71:3, 74:16, 78:5 **certify** [3] - 112:4, 114:9, 114:14 **CERTIFY** [1] - 112:8 CFO [4] - 100:24, 101:17, 101:18, 103:18 chance [4] - 66:14, 76:4, 76:8, 76:18 change [4] - 103:2, 103:3, 103:22, 110:20 **changed** [1] - 35:5 charge [3] - 49:21, 49:22, 68:21 charge-off [2] - 49:21, charged [2] - 14:16, 23:5 chart [1] - 80:21 checks [1] - 33:22 chief [2] - 19:22, 19:25 Chin [7] - 64:4, 67:21, 70:20, 72:2, 73:17, 77:22, 113:11 Chin's [1] - 77:16 choice [2] - 62:6, 97:2 circumstances [4] -53:6, 89:20, 89:24, 90:17 Civil [1] - 1:19 claim [1] - 108:5 claimed [4] - 67:2, 79:2, 79:14, 93:17 claiming [1] - 93:4 claims [7] - 21:18, 81:20, 104:9, 104:15, 105:15, 106:13, 107:9 class [9] - 61:4, 63:13, 64:2, 67:13, 71:2, 74:15, 78:4, 81:11, 92:5 clear [1] - 21:5 clearly [1] - 76:13 clerk [1] - 83:22 CLERK [2] - 84:7, 84:10 client [4] - 38:23, 38:25, 39:5, 104:4 clients [1] - 108:17 close [4] - 85:13, 99:25, 100:7, 100:8 closed [2] - 33:21, 59:19 **closer** [1] - 100:18 **clutter** [1] - 12:9 co [3] - 15:8, 22:21, 29:12 co-investor [2] - 15:8,

collect [11] - 32:11, 58:18, 59:13, 60:7, 61:8, 61:17, 62:23, 67:21, 92:12, 92:16, 94:9 collecting [5] - 20:15, 21:21, 32:14, 55:13, 60:10 **collection** [27] - 13:5, 22:20, 29:11, 29:21, 29:22, 31:16, 33:12, 33:13, 34:3, 38:3, 38:18, 54:20, 59:4, 60:19, 62:2, 62:11, 62:22, 63:7, 68:21, 69:15, 70:19, 71:11, 71:13, 71:14, 71:23, 94:16 **Collection** [4] - 20:13, 21:19, 109:7, 113:9 collections [6] - 29:9, 29:13, 31:18, 33:9, 50:16, 50:20 collector [4] - 72:13, 72:21, 85:4, 85:11 coming [3] - 60:12, 63:5, 94:25 commercial [1] - 8:10 common [1] - 67:12 communicate [1] -86:21 communication [3] -107:14, 110:10, 110:14 communications [5] -81:10, 81:18, 91:25, 108:16, 109:2 companies [2] - 16:3, company [13] - 8:3, 8:10, 8:11, 9:21, 9:22, 11:18, 15:4, 18:12, 18:24, 19:22, 20:3, 65:12, 66:2 compensation [1] -24:23 competent [1] - 69:11 complaint [4] - 7:9, 20:11, 50:16, 113:9 Complaint [5] - 53:13, 75:20, 75:21, 105:16, 109:11 complaints [2] - 20:6, 21:8 **complete** [1] - 73:12 compliance [3] - 19:23, 20:2, 20:5 **complying** [1] - 55:12 **component** [1] - 22:20 compound [2] - 42:16, 45:12 compounded [1] -

54:24

co-investors [1] - 29:12

computer [4] - 61:2, 66:24, 68:14, 97:18 concern [1] - 69:14 **concerned** [1] - 95:6 concluded [1] - 111:8 conclusion [3] - 38:13, 39:8, 60:12 **conduct** [1] - 67:10 confidential [2] - 11:22, connection [2] - 81:11, 91:21 consequences [1] -90:20 consider [4] - 22:25, 44:23, 98:17, 98:21 consideration [1] -25:14 considered [1] - 45:24 consumer [14] - 10:18, 10:23, 11:6, 14:16, 15:5, 16:4, 18:12, 23:5, 26:2, 26:11, 30:18, 48:2, 60:7, 66:21 contact [1] - 56:21 contends [1] - 77:6 context [1] - 88:20 continue [14] - 32:7, 32:25, 60:10, 62:12, 67:19, 67:20, 68:3, 68:15, 73:11, 85:10, 94:8, 95:14, 98:18, 98:23 continued [9] - 32:11, 58:17, 59:21, 60:14, 92:11, 92:16, 95:5, 97:5, 99:2 **continuing** [1] - 97:8 contract [1] - 40:19 contracts [8] - 43:11, 43:14, 45:9, 46:8, 47:7, 47:10, 48:23, 49:3 control [2] - 38:8, 69:17 conversation [1] - 5:17 conversations [1] -110:23 cooperation [1] - 69:4 copies [2] - 45:14, 46:3 **copy** [6] - 3:14, 3:17, 50:15, 50:20, 75:15, 75:25 corporate [22] - 27:15, 27:17, 27:18, 34:10, 53:20, 56:6, 57:8, 71:18, 97:14, 101:22, 101:25, 102:7, 102:18, 102:20,

103:2, 103:20, 103:23,

104:8, 104:13, 104:18,

corporation [1] - 57:5

Corporation [3] - 4:23,

105:14, 107:6

8:2, 19:21 correct [68] - 10:20, 16:18, 16:19, 16:23, 16:24, 18:5, 18:17, 19:17, 23:2, 23:6, 26:8, 27:8, 28:25, 29:24, 30:3, 30:19, 30:22, 31:12, 31:16, 35:14, 36:18, 38:21, 38:25, 39:2, 39:5, 42:22, 44:3, 47:2, 47:5, 53:22, 57:7, 57:23, 58:5, 58:15, 58:20, 58:22, 59:24, 61:9, 61:18, 63:11, 63:14, 69:19, 71:20, 71:21, 75:23, 76:25, 77:2, 78:9, 78:16, 85:17, 92:8, 92:9, 92:13, 92:14, 92:18, 92:21, 93:12, 93:20, 95:24, 96:3, 104:10, 104:16, 105:2, 105:17, 105:18, 110:24, 110:25, 112:9 corrected [2] - 64:22, 64:23 correctly [5] - 22:23, 51:7, 66:17, 67:16, 96:10 Counsel [24] - 5:23, 5:24, 81:11, 82:7, 82:13, 83:20, 84:21, 86:7, 87:21, 88:2, 91:19, 91:21, 92:2, 98:3, 98:9, 98:11, 98:13, 99:14, 107:14, 108:13, 110:11, 110:15, 110:23, 113:16 counsel [12] - 3:6, 3:17, 45:21, 45:24, 45:25, 46:4, 82:21, 91:8, 100:24, 101:8, 101:9, 101:13 **COUNTY** [1] - 114:5 course [2] - 5:14, 67:9 COURT [9] - 1:2, 84:16, 84:22, 85:15, 85:18, 85:21, 86:9, 88:3, 90:13 court [7] - 5:17, 67:23, 72:3, 83:21, 88:11, 89:10, 89:14 Court [11] - 1:18, 2:5, 3:13, 66:7, 66:19, 84:2, 86:14, 86:21, 87:23, 88:21, 90:10 credit [16] - 10:16, 10:18, 11:6, 15:17, 15:18, 26:2, 26:11, 30:19, 48:2, 48:6, 48:8, 48:17, 58:4, 60:5, 70:7, 74:17 **CREDIT** [3] - 1:7, 1:16, 2:10

12:21, 13:3, 14:18, 14:19, 15:13, 15:16, 15:22, 16:5, 16:19, 16:21, 16:22, 17:18, 17:19, 17:20, 18:6, 18:11, 18:14, 18:15, 18:18, 18:23, 19:7, 20:16, 21:22, 22:22, 22:25, 23:9, 24:4, 24:6, 24:11, 24:16, 25:21, 26:15, 26:18, 26:19, 26:20, 26:21, 26:22, 26:23, 26:24, 26:25, 27:2, 27:9, 28:2, 28:5, 28:10, 28:14, 28:15, 28:19, 28:23, 29:5, 29:6, 29:14, 29:19, 30:2, 31:23, 33:25, 35:13, 35:14, 35:20, 35:23, 36:3, 36:4, 36:6, 36:9, 36:16, 36:25, 37:19, 38:4, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:19, 38:22, 39:17, 39:21, 39:22, 40:4, 40:16, 41:2, 41:4, 41:22, 42:7, 42:20, 43:4, 43:5, 43:13, 43:20, 44:3, 44:18, 44:25, 45:7, 45:14, 45:23, 46:5, 46:6, 46:11, 46:16, 46:18, 46:20, 46:24, 47:8, 47:9, 47:22, 47:24, 48:14, 49:21, 50:16, 50:21, 51:22, 52:20, 53:2, 53:10, 53:21, 54:2, 54:3, 54:8, 54:11, 54:12, 54:16, 54:17, 55:3, 55:9, 55:11, 55:17, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:22, 56:23, 56:24, 57:3, 57:10, 57:17, 57:19, 57:20, 57:22, 57:25, 58:7, 58:16, 58:25, 59:2, 59:12, 59:20, 59:25, 60:9, 60:17, 61:7, 61:15, 61:19, 62:24, 67:19, 69:23, 69:24, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 73:7, 74:17, 77:10, 78:7, 79:10, 80:7, 80:10, 80:25, 81:19, 81:25, 82:2, 82:7, 83:20, 85:2, 85:3, 91:14, 91:20, 92:7, 92:11, 92:13, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:6, 94:8, 95:4, 95:6, 95:14, 95:22, 96:13, 96:15, 97:9, 97:13, 97:14, 97:20, 97:21, 98:5, 98:17, 98:20, 100:22, 101:19, 101:23, 102:6, 102:19,

102:20, 102:23, 103:3, 103:6, 103:16, 103:24, 104:24, 105:24, 106:4, 106:12, 109:5, 113:8 Credit's [1] - 107:8 creditor [2] - 45:3, 48:16

D damages [1] - 109:17 data [17] - 40:7, 40:14, 40:20, 41:10, 49:7, 49:11, 49:22, 69:2, 69:3, 71:9, 80:18, 80:19, 80:21, 94:19, 94:20, 94:22, 97:18 database [13] - 60:24, 73:4, 77:4, 77:7, 78:23, 79:9, 79:12, 81:21, 81:22, 85:7, 93:5, 94:23, 96:6 **DATE** [1] - 1:12 date [14] - 12:19, 33:9, 49:7, 49:11, 49:21, 49:23, 50:7, 52:14, 59:5, 63:20, 68:6, 74:12, 75:7, 105:9 David [1] - 17:9 **DAVID** [1] - 1:7 day [7] - 94:25, 95:20, 96:24, 112:19, 114:20 day-to-day [2] - 95:20, 96:24 days [1] - 3:16 deal [1] - 72:17 dealership [1] - 8:11 dealt [1] - 31:20 debt [22] - 4:20, 14:17, 15:5, 16:5, 18:13, 39:22, 40:17, 40:25, 41:15, 52:22, 54:2, 54:11, 60:7, 60:19, 66:21, 70:18, 71:13, 72:13, 72:21, 85:4, 85:11, 92:17 **Debt** [3] - 20:13, 21:19, debtor [4] - 4:20, 33:24, 60:13, 69:17 debtors [1] - 41:14 debts [30] - 9:23, 10:9, 10:16, 10:18, 10:23, 11:13, 20:14, 21:21, 23:5, 26:11, 30:21, 40:4, 41:5, 43:19, 44:3, 44:9, 44:18, 44:24, 46:20, 48:2, 49:10, 49:23, 55:14, 58:18, 59:14, 62:23, 67:21, 68:17,

92:12, 94:9

Credit [240] - 11:12,

19:16, 27:13, 30:11,

decide [2] - 15:6, 86:16 decision [7] - 25:17. 97:2, 99:23, 100:11, 100:20, 100:21, 101:7 **Declaration** [2] - 75:10, 113:13 declaration [8] - 77:3, 79:11, 80:7, 80:12, 91:9, 91:19, 92:4, 93:3 declarations [1] - 77:15 dedicated [1] - 20:7 deemed [1] - 89:18 default [3] - 44:10, 66:20, 67:10 defaulted [8] - 9:22, 10:8, 10:16, 10:18, 10:23, 30:18, 51:2, 51:17 defaults [1] - 77:9 defendant [1] - 98:6 Defendant [8] - 1:16, 2:9, 2:14, 66:19, 67:6, 93:15, 93:16, 98:10 **Defendants** [5] - 36:22, 51:2, 51:17, 67:9, 78:18 **DEFENDANTS** [1] defense [7] - 104:9, 106:11, 106:13, 106:19, 107:9, 108:4, 110:19 defenses [7] - 104:15. 104:25, 105:15, 108:14, 108:25, 109:23, 110:3 **definition** [1] - 54:14 **definitions** [1] - 94:22 delay [1] - 94:24 department [2] - 19:24, 35:10 depending [1] - 103:16 depends [1] - 103:13 deposed [2] - 22:9, 22:15 deposition [22] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:14, 4:17, 5:2, 5:15, 5:22, 6:4, 6:13, 6:17, 6:23, 12:4, 65:14, 66:4, 84:18, 86:15, 87:16, 88:8, 88:19, 90:10, 105:12, 106:25 depository [1] - 45:17 describe [4] - 31:22, 31:25, 32:12, 34:8 **DESCRIPTION** [1] -113:7 designate [2] - 11:21, designating [1] - 12:3 destruction [1] - 47:15 detail [2] - 49:6, 60:8 details [2] - 35:9, 37:22 determine [11] - 20:10,

43:5, 54:18, 55:4, 74:18, 74:21, 80:11, 82:2, 82:18, 92:3, 97:22 **determines** [1] - 89:11 diagram [2] - 16:14, 16:16 did it [5] - 21:3, 21:6, 27:12, 27:15, 33:5 did they [4] - 57:24, 61:22, 74:20, 98:20 did you [14] - 5:21, 6:3, 6:12, 7:6, 21:6, 28:18, 29:3, 62:15, 68:3, 75:17, 82:4, 82:17, 85:9, 98:25 different [12] - 11:14, 18:25, 34:12, 34:23, 35:24, 59:10, 62:2, 80:9, 84:5, 94:22, 101:23, 102:10 difficult [1] - 86:15 direct [5] - 85:23, 86:2, 87:19, 90:15, 90:18 directed [1] - 87:13 direction [2] - 82:6, 85:16 directly [3] - 18:3, 20:9, 58:2 **directs** [1] - 86:9 discovery [1] - 7:11 discussed [3] - 67:8, 99:23, 101:6 discussing [1] - 45:19 discussion [1] - 84:15 disposes [1] - 8:4 dispute [1] - 84:4 disrespectful [1] -65:21 **distribution** [2] - 22:21, 22:22 distributions [1] - 30:7 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:2, 1:2 do they [1] - 48:7 do you [50] - 4:22, 8:21, 9:7, 11:13, 13:23, 16:10, 19:25, 22:2, 23:8, 23:12, 23:15, 24:6, 26:9, 31:2, 34:13, 34:18, 36:5, 41:4, 41:7, 42:9, 43:3, 43:11, 43:13, 43:18, 47:6, 47:9, 47:14, 48:12, 49:9, 49:21, 50:14, 56:20, 59:7, 59:11, 62:9, 68:24, 75:14, 76:6, 78:14, 90:24, 98:12, 101:12, 101:17, 103:6, 103:8, 109:9, 109:14, 109:25, 110:13, 110:15 doc [1] - 12:22

107:10, 108:5, 108:6 document [19] - 12:24, 13:16, 39:17, 46:25, 50:5, 50:14, 51:14, 52:12, 52:16, 63:18, 64:17, 74:10, 75:5, 75:12, 76:2, 76:19, 79:23, 79:25, 105:7 **Document** [1] - 113:10 documentation [1] -70:12 documented [1] - 80:8 Documents [1] - 113:8 documents [14] - 7:6, 7:13, 12:17, 40:11, 40:22, 45:8, 45:18, 46:11, 46:15, 46:19, 47:16, 70:4, 70:7, 78:4 DOCUMENTS [2] -113:23, 113:24 Dodd [1] - 20:4 doesn't [5] - 55:25, 56:16, 98:7, 98:9, 104:3 dollar [5] - 23:14, 32:15, 32:20, 33:2, 33:15 **dollars** [11] - 9:4, 9:5, 9:16, 10:14, 14:16, 30:17, 31:4, 31:9, 31:10, 31:14, 32:6 door [1] - 63:6 doors [1] - 59:19 down [6] - 11:3, 16:5, 50:25, 76:21, 78:10, 78:13 downstream [2] -17:17, 18:2 **Drive** [1] - 4:12 duly [3] - 4:3, 112:5, 114:11 duties [2] - 21:16, 21:17 Ε

easily [1] - 69:14
effect [2] - 3:12, 3:15
efficiently [1] - 67:13
effort [1] - 90:6
Egleson [17] - 75:10,
80:16, 81:2, 81:20, 82:3,
91:9, 91:18, 92:4, 93:3,
94:10, 95:4, 95:13,
95:22, 96:15, 97:22,
98:20, 99:3
egleson [1] - 97:17
Egleson's [1] - 78:23
egleson's [1] - 94:3
eight [14] - 7:23, 10:13,
10:21, 11:6, 14:6, 14:14,

earlier [2] - 61:23, 68:12

early [1] - 34:25

30:17, 31:11, 31:17, 32:6, 32:8 eight-and-a-half [1] -7:23 eight-year [9] - 10:13, 10:21, 11:6, 14:6, 14:14, 27:13, 30:11, 30:17, 32:8 **Einstein** [5] - 61:8, 61:16, 61:21, 62:23, 70:19 eleven [2] - 31:18, 109:25 Ellis [1] - 84:17 else's [1] - 94:21 employee [3] - 24:17, 24:21, 66:25 employees [5] - 16:6, 27:10, 27:12, 57:2, 80:20 employment [1] - 25:8 en [1] - 66:23 end [3] - 100:4, 100:7, 100:13 enough [3] - 19:6, 43:25, 49:19 entered [2] - 36:24, 37:18 entire [1] - 13:16 entities [32] - 15:21, 16:7, 16:14, 18:15, 18:19. 18:24. 19:8. 24:4. 33:25, 36:16, 36:25, 37:19, 38:5, 38:8, 38:10, 38:17, 38:20, 38:23, 42:8, 43:13, 43:20, 55:10, 55:18, 61:7, 61:15, 62:24, 77:10, 91:20, 101:24, 102:3, 102:19 entities' [1] - 82:7 entitled [2] - 30:9, 75:9 entity [7] - 16:18, 24:6, 54:17, 91:14, 98:10, 103:14, 103:17 entry [1] - 106:3 equity [1] - 30:7 ESQ [3] - 2:11, 2:16, 2:17 **essentially** [1] - 34:2 established [6] - 16:4, 17:17, 55:20, 67:23, 79:24, 91:11 establishes [2] - 66:19, estoppel [4] - 106:14, 107:11, 108:6, 108:15 evaluate [2] - 25:12, 68:11 every [1] - 94:25 everybody [1] - 90:14

docket [1] - 106:3

doctrines [4] - 106:14,

everything [1] - 90:5 evidence [14] - 39:20. 40:16, 41:13, 41:18, 42:10, 42:15, 51:23, 52:21, 53:3, 53:11, 53:25, 54:9, 67:23, 92:3 **exact** [1] - 59:5 exactly [2] - 13:20, 75:22 Examination [1] - 111:7 **EXAMINATION** [3] -1:15, 4:6, 113:20 examination [2] -114:10, 114:12 examine [1] - 88:21 **examined** [1] - 4:5 example [1] - 93:15 except [1] - 3:21 **excuse** [2] - 14:19, 81:8 execution [3] - 72:12, 94:15, 94:18 exercise [3] - 80:4, 109:10, 109:16 **EXHIBIT** [2] - 113:6 Exhibit [4] - 39:15, 50:13, 52:15, 78:5 Exhibit 1 [3] - 12:15, 13:22, 49:5 Exhibit 2 [5] - 12:21, 13:8, 13:12, 13:13, 14:6 Exhibit 3 [3] - 50:6, 51:12, 52:6 Exhibit 4 [2] - 52:10, 52:13 Exhibit 5 [5] - 63:16, 63:19, 63:24, 66:14, 74:16 **Exhibit 6** [5] - 74:8, 74:11, 105:19, 105:21, 106:3 **Exhibit 7** [7] - 75:3, 75:6, 75:9, 76:5, 76:9, 76:16, 96:5 Exhibit 8 [2] - 105:8, 105:11 **Exhibit B** [1] - 13:8 **EXHIBITS** [1] - 113:4 Exhibits [2] - 12:18, 113:16 expectation [2] - 69:7, 69:10 experts [1] - 69:6 66:25, 70:19, 71:14, explain [2] - 86:21, **explore** [1] - 90:7 extent [3] - 35:17,

107:12, 110:8

extra [2] - 75:15, 75:25

F **FABACHER** [1] - 1:8 face [1] - 23:8 fact [5] - 50:20, 54:10, 67:12, 92:15, 103:22 facts [9] - 41:17, 42:14, 53:5, 53:13, 67:4, 67:24, 82:3, 82:17, 85:8 factual [7] - 91:17, 108:3, 108:7, 109:14, 110:2, 110:9, 110:21 Fair [3] - 20:13, 21:19, 109:7 fair [3] - 19:6, 43:25, 49:19 false [7] - 70:21, 70:22, 73:8, 74:19, 78:20, 85:5 familiar [2] - 17:12, 53:4 **FDCPA** [4] - 54:19, 55:6, 55:13, 55:21 Federal [1] - 1:18 fee [6] - 22:20, 62:8, 62:13, 62:18, 62:23, 68:21 fees [3] - 51:5, 51:20, 51:25 field [2] - 49:11, 49:22 fields [3] - 49:8, 94:20, **figure** [1] - 86:18 file [4] - 40:20, 41:10, 54:20, 59:3 filed [6] - 38:3, 38:19, 42:6, 50:21, 78:4, 78:20 files [3] - 60:8, 61:20, 70:17 filing [1] - 3:7 final [1] - 101:7 financial [3] - 8:8, 62:3,

70:16

28:21

85:5

98:16

financing [2] - 28:19,

find [2] - 17:15, 87:6

findings [2] - 70:21,

fine [3] - 12:11, 56:8,

finish [2] - 65:17, 108:2

firm [7] - 60:20, 61:2,

first [7] - 4:3, 23:22,

44:13, 64:7, 68:8, 86:24,

First [2] - 52:4, 52:23

finds [1] - 44:2

fire [1] - 60:18

Firm [1] - 61:8

fits [1] - 17:15

85:11, 95:9

112:5

five [6] - 9:9, 9:18, 23:14, 78:14, 100:2, 109:24 five-year [1] - 100:2 Floor [1] - 1:21 **follows** [1] - 4:5 force [1] - 3:15 foregoing [1] - 112:8 **form** [64] - 3:21, 8:25, 9:24, 10:10, 11:15, 14:8, 14:22, 15:25, 17:4, 18:9, 19:2, 19:11, 20:17, 20:23, 21:23, 22:7, 23:17, 23:25, 26:4, 26:12, 32:9, 33:3, 33:18, 34:5, 34:14, 35:16, 37:13, 38:11, 39:6, 40:8, 41:16, 42:11, 42:23, 43:7, 43:21, 44:11, 45:4, 45:11, 47:3, 47:11, 48:3, 48:18, 48:24, 54:22, 55:15, 57:11, 59:16, 60:21, 65:19, 67:25, 70:24, 71:24, 77:16, 79:5, 79:16, 80:14, 93:21, 94:12, 95:25, 96:17, 99:5, 102:14, 103:9, 104:17 forth [1] - 114:11 **foundation** [3] - 10:11, 41:24, 96:18 **four** [3] - 9:16, 78:14, 109:24 Frank [1] - 20:4 fraudulent [1] - 67:11 free [1] - 111:3 Freehills [1] - 1:20 **FREEHILLS** [1] - 2:9 **frivolous** [1] - 91:6 front [3] - 79:23, 80:2, 88:13 full [2] - 56:2, 64:7 fully [4] - 27:6, 53:3, 56:25, 67:9 function [1] - 19:21 fund [2] - 26:11, 26:14 funded [1] - 26:15 funding [4] - 34:2, 35:13, 35:19, 35:21 **FURTHER** [2] - 3:20, 112:8 further [1] - 114:14

G

gather [1] - 85:15 gave [1] - 112:6 general [6] - 13:2, 100:24, 101:8, 101:9, 101:13, 102:3

generally [21] - 8:6, 14:13, 14:24, 40:3, 40:6, 40:13, 42:5, 42:8, 43:14, 43:18, 44:8, 45:2, 47:24, 48:7, 102:5, 102:6, 102:13, 102:17, 102:22, 103:21, 103:23 generate [1] - 32:7 generated [4] - 30:13, 31:14, 34:3, 66:23 gentleman [1] - 23:20 give [3] - 87:5, 88:23, 106:17 given [8] - 41:10, 41:14, 41:21, 42:4, 50:8, 62:7, 112:10, 114:13 good [2] - 4:14, 4:15 got [7] - 31:3, 36:14, 77:22, 85:8, 90:6, 90:7, 97:21 govern [1] - 14:4 granted [2] - 64:8, 66:18 granting [1] - 64:2 great [1] - 42:17 guess [3] - 60:16, 63:8, 87:24 guys [1] - 109:19 **GUZMAN** [2] - 1:3, 2:5 Guzman [16] - 39:13. 39:21, 40:17, 41:2, 50:14, 50:17, 50:22, 51:23, 52:4, 52:17, 52:22, 54:2, 54:11, 63:25, 109:9, 109:15

Н

guzman's [3] - 46:10,

78:19, 93:16

half [1] - 7:23 hampered [1] - 90:3 hand [1] - 114:20 happen [1] - 89:7 happened [3] - 33:14, 33:17, 59:6 happening [1] - 64:13 happens [1] - 86:25 hard [2] - 107:17, 108:2 Harris [59] - 17:2, 17:9, 20:14, 21:20, 29:11, 29:23, 36:14, 36:20, 38:2, 38:9, 38:16, 38:18, 38:24, 41:11, 41:14, 41:20, 41:21, 42:6, 45:22, 48:15, 54:18, 54:20, 55:5, 55:6, 55:12, 56:22, 58:17, 58:23, 59:2, 59:13, 59:21, 60:3, 60:18, 60:23, 61:16,

61:21, 62:6, 62:21, 63:3, 67:20, 68:22, 70:15, 70:18, 85:3, 85:10, 92:11, 92:16, 94:9, 94:23, 95:5, 95:9, 95:15, 95:20, 96:8, 96:13, 96:25, 97:8, 98:19, 100:6 **HARRIS** [2] - 1:7, 1:7 Harris' [1] - 97:18 Harris's [1] - 61:3 have you [6] - 4:16, 55:19, 66:13, 76:4, 76:8, 76:18 he's [11] - 35:25, 47:19, 56:3, 56:5, 64:24, 65:2, 90:6, 90:7, 104:18, 108:23, 109:17 head [1] - 5:15 hear [2] - 78:2, 84:17 held [5] - 1:19, 18:3, 18:4, 46:16, 84:15 HERBERT [1] - 2:9 Herbert [1] - 1:20 hereby [2] - 112:4, 114:9 **HEREBY** [1] - 3:5 herein [3] - 3:7, 112:11, 114:11 hereunto [1] - 114:19 hierarchy [2] - 16:18, 17:14 **higher** [3] - 62:20, 63:2, 68:21 hired [2] - 69:7, 69:9 hiring [1] - 55:6 hold [1] - 84:7 holding [5] - 8:3, 9:21, 9:22, 18:24 **Honor** [1] - 90:12 hopelessly [1] - 54:23 **hotels** [2] - 8:13, 10:6 **hour** [2] - 66:10, 107:20 hours [4] - 6:18, 65:12, 66:3, 107:21 house [2] - 6:7, 6:8 how did [1] - 28:9 how do [2] - 72:23, 88:12 how many [4] - 8:16, 8:18, 23:23, 36:8 huh [1] - 5:16 hundreds [1] - 67:5

ı

I'd [1] - 83:21 idea [13] - 8:18, 10:6. 26:9, 37:24, 41:3, 41:7, 41:9, 42:18, 53:9, 79:8, 97:4, 109:12, 109:19

identification [7] -12:18, 50:6, 52:13, 63:19, 74:11, 75:6, 105:8 identify [2] - 12:23, 76:12 idiotic [1] - 106:24 **important** [2] - 60:13, 73:3 improper [1] - 87:4 improperly [3] - 86:13, 87:9, 88:14 IN [1] - 114:19 in-house [2] - 6:7, 6:8 inability [1] - 64:24 inappropriate [2] - 87:7, 89:16 inappropriately [1] -86:24 **INC** [2] - 1:8, 2:14 include [4] - 20:12, 21:2, 21:3, 21:6 included [1] - 46:9 includes [2] - 13:4, 49:11 including [1] - 13:4 income [6] - 8:22, 9:8, 9:10, 9:13, 32:7, 32:12 increased [1] - 35:6 incurred [2] - 51:4, 51:19 indicated [1] - 7:16 indicates [1] - 105:13 indicating [1] - 89:15 indicating) [1] - 77:24 indirectly [4] - 18:4, 18:5, 58:3, 58:14 **individual** [1] - 24:25 individuals [2] - 17:19, 17:21 **INFORMATION** [2] -113:23, 113:24 information [11] -41:20, 42:21, 43:6, 43:16, 47:21, 68:14, 69:21, 69:22, 69:25, 70:3, 97:16 infrastructure [1] -60:24 input [1] - 77:22 install [1] - 71:10 instances [2] - 25:13, 79:2 instruct [2] - 96:13, 104:4 instructed [10] - 81:13, 82:9, 82:12, 82:21, 82:24, 83:7, 107:15, 108:13, 108:18, 110:11

instructing [1] - 83:4

instruction [6] - 82:16,

84:6, 91:2, 91:23, 108:8, 108:12 instructions [1] - 82:9 intended [1] - 91:24 interest [5] - 17:18, 17:20, 24:10, 57:10, 57:25 interested [1] - 114:17 intermediaries [1] -27:6 intermediary [1] - 25:24 interpret [2] - 79:18, 80:18 interview [1] - 80:16 introduce [1] - 62:4 invest [9] - 10:22, 11:5, 15:7, 15:10, 15:11, 15:13, 15:14, 16:4, 25:18 invested [3] - 10:13, 14:15, 32:5 investigation [1] -20:10 investing [1] - 22:25 investment [28] - 8:3, 9:21, 10:8, 11:11, 11:12, 14:5, 15:7, 15:23, 24:16, 29:7, 29:9, 30:8, 30:12, 30:17, 30:24, 31:15, 32:8, 32:15, 32:20, 33:2, 33:14, 33:16, 35:2, 35:7, 35:18, 36:3, 60:6 **investments** [10] - 8:5, 8:7, 8:8, 8:10, 8:12, 10:5, 25:12, 25:13, 31:4, 35:19 investor [4] - 11:18, 15:4, 15:8, 22:21 investors [5] - 29:12, 29:20, 29:25, 57:17, 57:19 **involve** [1] - 21:17 involved [6] - 22:5, 22:10, 25:21, 36:20, 40:23, 40:24 involvement [2] - 21:18, 28:18 **IS** [2] - 3:5, 3:20 is it your [1] - 13:6 is that [53] - 7:17, 10:18, 11:12, 12:9, 14:3, 14:6, 16:23, 17:3, 17:10, 17:25, 18:8, 18:14, 18:20, 23:5, 24:11, 25:19, 26:23, 28:7, 28:24, 35:8, 35:21, 36:18, 37:20, 38:5, 38:10, 39:20, 40:7, 40:14, 44:21, 46:11,

77:10, 79:4, 79:15, 86:25, 87:14, 93:19, 95:9, 95:23, 97:9, 100:12, 104:25, 105:17, 106:19, 110:6 is there [1] - 41:12 is this [8] - 80:6, 80:24, 81:18, 84:25, 94:7, 96:4, 103:20, 106:11 issue [6] - 48:23, 66:7, 77:23, 84:17, 87:17, 90:8 issues [1] - 110:10 **IT** [3] - 3:5, 3:20, 71:8 item [3] - 50:25, 96:5, 105:13 items [1] - 104:23 J Jacobs [15] - 17:22, 23:21, 24:9, 24:17, 25:12, 25:16, 25:22,

25:23, 27:3, 30:4, 57:16, 57:18, 58:10, 101:14, 101:15 January [7] - 32:21, 33:10, 33:16, 58:19, 96:9, 97:7 **JEFFREY** [1] - 2:16 Jennifer [1] - 6:11 Jersey [1] - 4:13 **JESSICA** [1] - 2:20 jobs [2] - 29:17, 37:17 JOHN [1] - 1:8 joint [2] - 12:25, 16:21 **JOSE** [1] - 2:5 JOSE [1] - 1:3 Joseph [2] - 27:19, 101:21 Judge [12] - 3:13, 64:4, 67:21, 70:20, 72:2, 73:13, 73:17, 77:16, 77:22, 84:10, 84:16, judge [6] - 74:18, 83:3, 83:4, 83:5, 83:9, 83:11 judge's [2] - 71:2, 72:8 **judgments** [5] - 36:15, 36:23, 66:20, 67:11, 72:6 **July** [11] - 76:24, 79:10, 80:7, 81:2, 81:20, 92:10, 92:25, 93:12, 95:23, 97:6, 99:25

K

Kane [2] - 6:11, 6:16 keep [2] - 16:16, 45:8 kept [1] - 85:3 KERRY [1] - 1:8

Page 121 to 121 129

58:19, 58:21, 59:22,

61:9, 61:17, 69:25,

72:15, 73:9, 76:25,

Kerry [1] - 17:10 Keshavarz [1] - 84:21 KESHAVARZ [53] - 2:4, 2:6, 4:7, 11:24, 12:11, 12:14, 21:9, 37:12, 50:2, 51:16, 52:9, 55:23, 56:5, 56:14, 58:9, 63:15, 65:15, 65:18, 65:23, 66:6, 66:11, 73:19, 73:25, 74:7, 75:2, 75:16, 75:22, 77:20, 77:24, 81:7, 83:6, 83:9, 83:12, 83:23, 84:8, 84:20, 84:24, 86:6, 87:20, 90:11, 90:22, 90:24, 91:4, 99:16, 105:4, 105:23, 105:25, 106:21, 107:5, 108:20, 110:6, 111:2. 113:21 know [106] - 5:3, 8:19,

8:21, 9:7, 9:12, 13:12, 13:23, 13:25, 16:10, 17:6, 17:11, 19:13, 21:12, 22:2, 23:8, 23:12, 23:15, 23:18, 23:19, 24:3, 24:6, 24:7, 24:8, 24:23, 25:7, 26:9, 26:16, 27:24, 30:25, 31:2, 35:9, 35:25, 36:10, 36:19, 37:22, 38:14, 39:9, 39:16, 40:2, 40:12, 40:15, 40:23, 41:4, 41:8, 42:9, 42:25, 43:3, 43:9, 43:11, 43:14, 43:18, 44:14, 47:6, 47:9, 47:14, 47:19, 47:20, 48:4, 48:10. 48:12. 49:9. 49:13, 49:14, 49:21, 50:10, 50:15, 50:18, 52:18, 55:7, 55:25, 56:2, 56:20, 59:5, 59:7, 59:11, 62:19, 65:8, 71:16, 75:12, 75:17, 76:15, 78:17, 79:18, 80:17, 81:6, 81:9, 82:8, 82:16, 88:23, 91:14, 93:2, 93:6, 95:21, 98:23, 99:16, 99:24, 100:9, 100:10, 100:17, 100:18, 102:21, 104:3, 104:6, 106:6, 107:2, 107:13 knowledge [37] - 27:14,

37:6, 37:11, 39:24,

39:25, 41:12, 41:19,

48:20, 49:2, 49:17,

52:24, 53:5, 53:12,

53:19, 54:4, 54:10,

42:3, 43:17, 44:14, 45:6,

49:25, 52:2, 52:3, 52:7,

54:14, 56:7, 56:9, 56:10,

56:11, 56:15, 67:3, 95:11, 95:18, 98:8, 101:16, 110:9, 110:14 **knowledgeable** [1] -69:12

laches [4] - 106:14, 107:10, 108:6, 108:15 large [1] - 35:12 larger [1] - 62:22 last [3] - 19:16, 49:7, 49:12 late [3] - 65:13, 66:10, 107:22 later [1] - 102:10 latter [1] - 35:3 Laura [1] - 27:21 law [7] - 60:19, 66:25, 67:12, 70:19, 71:14, 85:11, 95:9 LAW [1] - 2:4 Law [1] - 61:8 lawsuit [5] - 50:21, 78:8, 78:19, 92:8, 104:10 lawsuits [5] - 38:3, 38:18, 54:21, 59:4, 63:9 lawyer [4] - 56:3, 71:23, 90:4, 106:17 LC [1] - 19:7 learned [1] - 94:10 least [1] - 97:7 left [2] - 24:19, 62:5 legal [7] - 13:5, 38:12, 39:7, 46:22, 54:13, 106:18, 109:2 let's [5] - 7:15, 80:23, 83:3, 83:12, 83:25 Let's [1] - 56:17 Leucadia [62] - 4:23, 6:8, 6:9, 7:17, 7:21, 7:22, 7:25, 8:2, 8:17, 8:23, 9:8, 9:20, 10:7, 10:22, 11:5, 14:5, 14:10, 14:15, 14:18, 15:16, 15:20, 17:25, 19:15, 19:21, 20:4, 21:17, 24:18, 24:19, 25:6, 25:10, 25:14, 25:18, 25:25, 26:2, 26:10, 26:14, 27:7, 28:6, 28:12, 30:16, 30:24, 31:3, 32:5, 32:7, 32:13, 32:19, 32:24, 34:4, 35:21, 41:5, 44:25, 45:8, 45:13, 47:9, 54:16, 55:4, 55:11, 58:14, 78:7, 101:10, 101:11, 101:18 level [1] - 16:5

LEVITT [8] - 2:17,

96:19, 98:22, 99:7, 99:11, 105:21, 105:24, 111:5 Lexington [2] - 1:21, 2:10 liability [3] - 13:2, 18:12 liable [1] - 109:17 licensed [1] - 69:6 **LICHTMAN** [21] - 2:16, 12:6, 12:13, 37:2, 63:21, 64:4, 68:5, 71:5, 72:10, 73:15, 74:23, 75:14, 75:17, 76:3, 77:11, 79:7, 81:15, 82:11, 90:23, 93:25, 95:17 limited [2] - 13:2, 18:11 lines [3] - 18:20, 20:8, 78:14 list [2] - 85:7, 102:2 literally [1] - 28:22 litigation [9] - 22:3, 22:6, 22:15, 38:9, 59:14, 73:2, 91:10, 91:22, 92:6 little [1] - 90:3 living [1] - 69:5 **LLC** [19] - 1:7, 1:7, 2:10, 13:3, 18:6, 18:16, 26:18, 26:25, 27:9, 28:2, 28:5, 28:10, 30:2, 31:23, 35:13, 45:8, 55:11, 58:7, 102:20 **LLP** [3] - 1:20, 2:9, 2:13 load [2] - 69:16, 71:10 loaded [1] - 94:20 loans [1] - 30:19 location [1] - 81:24 locations [4] - 67:8, 79:3, 79:13, 80:10 lock [1] - 33:21 long [5] - 6:15, 6:21, 7:20, 47:6, 47:9 longer [2] - 33:9, 33:11 look [10] - 13:10, 13:21, 71:13, 71:22, 72:23, 76:12, 80:23, 81:2, 96:5, 98:25 looked [2] - 72:22, 80:21 looking [2] - 98:18, 105:12 looks [1] - 80:20 lose [1] - 72:20 losing [1] - 69:17 lost [1] - 72:17 louder [1] - 82:20 Louis [4] - 17:22, 25:4, 27:3, 57:16 lowbrow [1] - 65:21

14:18, 14:19, 15:9, 15:13, 15:16, 15:17, 15:22, 16:5, 16:19, 16:21, 18:6, 18:11, 18:14, 18:15, 18:18, 18:23, 20:15, 21:22, 22:22, 22:25, 23:9, 24:4, 24:6, 24:10, 25:21, 26:15, 26:18, 26:19, 26:20, 26:22, 26:25, 27:9, 28:2, 28:4, 28:10, 28:14, 28:15, 28:19, 28:23, 29:4, 29:6, 29:14, 29:19, 31:5, 31:23, 33:25, 34:25, 35:13, 35:20, 35:22, 36:4, 36:9, 36:16, 36:24, 37:18, 38:4, 38:7, 38:10, 38:17, 38:19, 38:22, 39:17, 39:21, 40:3, 40:16, 41:2, 41:4, 41:21, 42:7, 42:19, 42:20, 43:4, 43:13, 43:20, 44:3, 44:18, 44:25, 45:7, 45:13, 45:23, 46:4, 46:6, 46:11, 46:16, 46:18, 46:20, 46:24, 47:8, 47:22, 47:24, 48:14, 49:10, 49:21, 50:16, 50:21, 51:22, 52:3, 52:20, 53:2, 53:10, 53:21, 53:25, 54:3, 54:8, 54:11, 54:12, 54:16, 54:17, 55:3, 55:9, 55:10, 55:17, 56:10, 56:12, 56:14, 56:21, 56:23, 57:10, 57:19, 57:25, 58:16, 58:25, 59:12, 59:20, 59:25, 60:5, 60:9, 60:17, 61:6, 61:14, 61:19, 62:24, 67:18, 67:19, 69:23, 69:24, 71:12, 71:19, 71:22, 73:6, 74:16, 74:17, 77:10, 78:7, 79:10, 80:6, 80:10, 80:24, 80:25, 81:19, 81:25, 82:2, 82:6, 83:20, 85:2, 91:14, 91:20, 92:7, 92:10, 92:12, 92:15, 93:2, 93:8, 94:5, 94:8, 95:3, 95:6, 95:14, 95:22, 96:12, 96:14, 97:9, 97:13, 97:14, 97:20, 97:21, 98:5, 98:17, 98:19, 100:22, 101:19, 101:23, 102:5, 102:19, 102:20, 103:2, 103:6, 103:15, 103:16, 103:24, 104:24, 105:3, 105:24, 106:3, 106:12, 107:8, 109:5, 113:8

LR [225] - 1:7, 1:16,

2:10, 11:12, 12:21, 13:3,

Lutz [1] - 17:10 **LUTZ** [1] - 1:8

M

Magistrate [1] - 73:13 maintained [1] - 73:3 major [1] - 19:18 majority [2] - 35:12, 67:2 managed [3] - 17:2, 95:19, 96:24 Management [2] -16:23, 100:22 management [2] -17:12, 19:20 manner [3] - 19:10, 59:15, 67:11 March [2] - 1:12, 114:20 mark [7] - 12:14, 50:2, 52:9, 63:15, 74:7, 75:2, 105:4 marked [13] - 12:17, 12:21, 13:13, 50:5, 50:13, 52:12, 63:18, 63:24, 74:10, 75:5, 75:9, 105:7, 105:10 marking [2] - 63:22, 75:20 marriage [1] - 114:16 masse [1] - 66:23 Matawan [1] - 4:12 materials [1] - 7:10 matter [8] - 22:9, 37:5, 60:11, 89:2, 98:7, 98:9, 100:2, 114:18 matters [1] - 4:19 matured [1] - 35:11 mean [25] - 5:18, 5:24, 9:14, 11:13, 19:25, 21:7, 28:21, 34:13, 34:18, 34:21, 36:5, 62:9, 68:24, 69:16, 70:10, 88:23, 94:17, 94:23, 97:11, 98:12, 98:13, 100:7, 101:18, 103:6, 103:8 meaning [2] - 46:21, 101:9 means [4] - 34:15, 54:15, 79:19, 104:12 meant [2] - 70:9, 70:11 mechanism [1] - 34:2 mechanisms [1] - 15:24 Mederos [12] - 17:23, 25:4, 25:5, 25:10, 25:11, 25:17, 25:20, 27:4, 30:4, 57:16, 57:18, 58:10 meet [2] - 6:3, 6:12 meeting [5] - 6:15, 6:21,

6:24, 7:4, 7:14

meetings [1] - 7:2 mel [1] - 38:2 Mel [60] - 17:2, 17:8, 20:14, 21:20, 29:11, 29:22, 36:14, 36:20, 38:9, 38:16, 38:18, 38:23, 41:11, 41:13, 41:20, 41:21, 42:6, 45:22, 48:15, 54:18, 54:20, 55:5, 55:6, 55:12, 56:22, 58:17, 58:23, 59:2, 59:13, 59:21, 60:2, 60:18, 60:23, 61:3, 61:16, 61:21, 62:5, 62:21, 63:3, 67:20, 68:21, 70:15, 70:17, 85:3, 85:10, 92:11, 92:16, 94:9, 94:23, 95:5, 95:8. 95:15. 95:19. 96:8. 96:13, 96:25, 97:7, 97:18, 98:19, 100:6 **MEL** [2] - 1:7, 1:7 member [2] - 47:25, 48:16 mentioned [1] - 40:21 Merit [1] - 78:21 merit [4] - 20:11, 52:16, 70:23, 74:20 merits [1] - 66:22 MICHAEL [1] - 1:8 Michael [1] - 17:9 middle [4] - 86:14, 87:15, 88:7, 90:10 Mike [1] - 61:25 million [13] - 10:14, 11:10, 14:16, 30:16, 31:4, 31:9, 31:14, 32:6, 32:15, 32:20, 32:25, 33:15 mind [1] - 100:14 minute [1] - 13:9 minutes [1] - 107:21 mischaracterizes [8] -24:13, 26:5, 41:24, 57:12, 70:25, 72:8, 97:25, 103:11 mischaracterizing [1] -73:17 Miss [1] - 6:16 missing [1] - 14:2 misunderstood [1] -MLOTOK [2] - 1:9, 2:14 monday [1] - 6:20 money [19] - 11:5, 15:10, 22:20, 29:8, 29:11, 29:18, 31:3,

32:11, 32:14, 32:19,

72:17, 72:18, 72:20,

32:25, 52:4, 63:5, 72:15,

94:24, 95:7 monies [2] - 33:20, 60:13 monitor [1] - 55:12 **MOODY** [1] - 2:20 mortgage [1] - 8:11 motion [3] - 64:8, 66:18, 91:6 move [1] - 37:14 moving [1] - 72:12 Mr [44] - 6:22, 24:9, 24:17, 25:5, 25:10, 25:11, 25:16, 25:17, 25:20, 25:22, 25:23, 39:13, 39:21, 40:17, 41:2, 46:10, 50:17, 50:22, 51:23, 52:4, 52:22, 53:3, 53:9, 53:12, 54:2, 54:9, 54:11, 78:18, 78:19, 79:2, 80:16, 80:17, 81:20, 90:24, 93:3, 93:15, 93:16, 93:17, 94:3, 97:17, 98:15, 109:9, 109:15 MR [215] - 4:7, 8:24, 9:14, 9:24, 10:10, 11:8, 11:15, 11:20, 11:24, 12:3, 12:6, 12:11, 12:12, 12:13, 12:14, 13:9, 13:14, 13:18, 14:8, 14:22, 14:25, 15:25, 16:15, 17:4, 18:9, 19:2, 19:11, 20:17, 20:23, 21:5, 21:9, 21:11, 21:23, 22:7, 23:16, 23:25, 24:12, 26:4, 26:12, 30:14, 32:2, 32:9, 32:23, 33:3, 33:18, 34:5, 34:14, 35:15, 35:22, 36:17, 37:2, 37:3, 37:10, 37:14, 38:11, 39:6, 39:12, 40:8, 41:16, 41:23, 42:11, 42:14, 42:23, 43:7, 43:21. 44:4. 44:11. 45:4. 45:11, 46:21, 47:3, 47:11, 47:17, 48:3, 48:18, 48:24, 50:2, 51:8, 51:13, 51:16, 52:9, 53:14, 54:22, 55:15, 55:19, 55:23, 56:2, 56:5, 56:12, 56:14, 57:11, 58:8, 58:9, 59:16, 60:21, 63:15, 63:21, 64:4, 64:12, 64:16, 64:22, 65:10, 65:15, 65:17, 65:18, 65:20, 65:23, 65:25, 66:6, 66:9, 66:11, 67:25, 68:5, 70:24, 71:5, 71:24, 72:7, 72:10, 73:10, 73:15, 73:19,

73:25, 74:7, 74:23, 74:24, 75:2, 75:14, 75:16, 75:17, 75:19, 75:22, 76:3, 76:6, 76:11, 77:11, 77:12, 77:18, 77:20, 77:21, 77:24, 77:25, 79:5, 79:7, 79:16, 79:21, 80:14, 81:5, 81:7, 81:8, 81:15, 82:5, 82:11, 82:25, 83:6, 83:7, 83:9, 83:10, 83:12, 83:14, 83:19, 83:23, 83:25, 84:8, 84:24, 85:12, 85:17, 87:20, 90:11, 90:22, 90:23, 90:24, 91:3, 91:4, 91:5, 92:19, 93:21, 93:25, 94:2, 94:11, 95:17, 95:25, 96:16, 96:19, 97:11, 97:24, 98:7, 98:22, 99:4, 99:7, 99:11, 99:16, 102:14, 103:9, 103:25, 104:11, 104:17, 105:4, 105:21, 105:23, 105:24, 105:25, 106:16, 106:21, 106:23, 107:5, 107:12, 108:8, 108:11, 108:16, 108:20, 108:22, 110:6, 110:8, 111:2, 111:5 **mR** [5] - 37:12, 84:20, 85:20, 86:6, 113:21 multiple [6] - 34:11, 34:22, 41:6, 43:19, 79:13, 80:8 myself [1] - 27:22

N

name [8] - 4:8, 23:20, 25:3, 36:24, 37:18, 38:4, 38:19, 101:12 names [1] - 17:11 National [4] - 4:23, 8:2, 19:21, 20:4 **nature** [2] - 70:8, 91:13 necessary [1] - 104:10 need [2] - 89:17, 103:16 needed [1] - 94:25 needs [1] - 20:5 never [2] - 72:22, 109:12 **NEW** [2] - 1:2, 114:4 newer [1] - 102:9 next [1] - 45:16 Nicholas [3] - 75:10, 91:9, 91:18 nine [1] - 109:25 NITTOLI [2] - 1:17, 112:15 Nittoli [3] - 4:10, 27:22,

42:4

97:12 nod [1] - 5:15 none [1] - 108:17 None [1] - 113:25 **nonsense** [1] - 73:12 **normal** [1] - 5:16 north [1] - 9:9 Notary [3] - 1:22, 4:4, 114:7 **NOTARY** [1] - 112:22 note [2] - 73:15, 81:15 **noted** [1] - 91:19 notes [1] - 5:18 nothing [1] - 72:16 Notice [1] - 113:14 notice [4] - 93:7, 93:9, 104:20, 105:11 Number [3] - 39:15, 50:13, 52:15 number [12] - 18:18, 28:14, 36:10, 37:5, 37:7, 37:9, 48:14, 50:14, 58:16, 59:12, 61:20, 105:13 **NUMBER** [1] - 113:7 numbered [20] - 18:24, 25:22, 31:6, 35:2, 36:7, 36:16, 36:25, 37:19, 38:4, 38:7, 38:17, 38:19, 38:23, 42:7, 43:13, 55:10, 55:18, 62:24, 101:23, 102:10 numbers [3] - 12:2, 54:17, 110:16 NY [1] - 2:9

0

O'HARE [1] - 2:13

oath [1] - 3:12

object [57] - 9:24, 10:10, 11:15, 14:8, 14:22, 15:25, 17:4, 18:9, 19:2, 19:11, 20:17, 20:23, 21:23, 22:7, 23:25, 26:4, 26:12, 32:9, 33:3, 33:18, 34:5, 34:14, 35:15, 38:11, 39:6, 40:8, 41:16, 42:11, 42:23, 43:7, 43:21, 44:11, 45:4, 45:11, 47:3, 47:11, 48:3, 48:18, 48:24, 54:22, 55:15, 57:11, 59:16, 60:21, 67:25, 70:24, 71:24, 72:7, 79:5, 79:16, 80:14, 93:21, 95:25, 99:5, 102:14, 103:9, 104:17 objected [2] - 64:21,

64:23

objection [39] - 8:24, 11:8, 12:7, 12:8, 14:25, 23:16, 24:12, 37:2, 37:3, 41:23, 44:4, 65:19, 68:5, 71:5, 72:10, 73:11, 73:16, 74:23, 74:24, 77:11, 77:12, 79:7, 81:16, 82:11, 92:19, 93:25, 94:2, 94:11, 94:12, 95:17, 96:16, 96:17, 96:19, 97:24, 98:22, 99:4, 99:7, 102:15, 103:25 objections [2] - 3:21, 104:20 objects [1] - 37:13 obtain [4] - 47:22, 47:24, 66:19, 67:10 obtained [1] - 49:22 obtaining [2] - 32:19, occasions [2] - 67:6, 93:19 **OF** [4] - 1:2, 2:4, 114:4, 114:5 off-load [1] - 69:16 off-the-record [1] -84:14 offer [1] - 69:14 offered [1] - 61:25 offhand [2] - 27:24, **OFFICE** [1] - 2:4 officer [7] - 19:23, 20:2, 20:5, 24:22, 28:2, 102:11, 102:18 officers [13] - 27:15, 27:17, 27:18, 57:6, 57:9, 57:17, 101:22, 101:25, 102:7, 102:20, 103:2, 103:20, 103:24 offices [1] - 1:19 okay [13] - 12:10, 14:20, 32:13, 39:11, 44:20, 50:25, 52:19, 76:3, 76:17, 78:12, 90:13, 98:16, 106:8 one-page [1] - 52:16 one-sided [1] - 80:21 ones [2] - 102:9, 102:10 open [1] - 20:9 opened [1] - 28:15 opening [4] - 28:13, 28:17, 28:23, 29:5

operated [1] - 17:8

operates [1] - 17:13

operating [3] - 8:9,

operation [1] - 95:19

operations [3] - 36:20,

15:3, 97:3

58:23, 96:25 opinion [2] - 71:2, 72:8 optional [1] - 72:24 order [3] - 11:23, 74:15, 88:88 **Order** [7] - 1:18, 63:25, 65:7, 71:3, 77:17, 78:5, 113:11 original [6] - 3:9, 3:17, 14:3, 14:7, 45:3, 48:16 Orlando [2] - 27:19, 101:21 others [1] - 27:23 otherwise [2] - 81:12, outcome [1] - 114:17 outside [4] - 45:21, 45:23, 45:25, 46:4 oversee [3] - 19:20, 19:23, 22:19 owed [4] - 39:21, 40:17, 52:4, 52:22 owes [3] - 41:15, 54:2, 54:11 owned [13] - 15:19, 17:16, 17:24, 21:21, 26:20, 26:22, 26:25, 27:3, 27:6, 55:17, 58:12, 58:13, 61:6 owners [1] - 58:6 ownership [5] - 24:10, 25:2, 57:9, 57:22, 57:24 owns [1] - 24:10 Ρ

P.M [2] - 1:13, 111:6 Page [1] - 75:11 page [15] - 12:2, 52:16, 64:6, 65:7, 66:14, 68:7, 68:8, 76:21, 78:10, 96:5, 105:12, 106:5, 106:9 **PAGE** [3] - 113:6, 113:20. 113:24 pages [6] - 12:4, 12:22, 13:21, 13:23, 13:25, 76:7 paid [2] - 62:22, 73:4 paragraph [10] - 51:6, 51:11, 52:5, 64:7, 64:10, 64:17, 65:5, 66:17, 67:15, 106:10 parent [1] - 19:22 **PARNAGIAN** [1] - 2:13 part [16] - 7:10, 11:11, 13:7, 13:23, 21:16, 32:14, 33:7, 36:21, 37:20, 41:11, 48:8, 48:12, 49:7, 60:14, 92:5, 97:3 particular [2] - 30:15,

108:5

plaintiff's [1] - 84:20

Please [1] - 4:8

PLAINTIFF'S [1] - 113:4

please [11] - 5:6, 5:19,

parties [3] - 3:7, 78:8, 114:15 partner [1] - 24:15 party [6] - 12:8, 56:13, 86:11, 91:15, 92:7, 94:6 passage [2] - 103:4, 103:7 past [1] - 8:12 pay [3] - 51:24, 62:8, 90:19 paying [1] - 62:21 payment [4] - 49:7, 49:12, 51:3, 51:18 **Pemberton** [1] - 4:12 **people** [5] - 8:16, 8:18, 62:2, 102:9, 103:22 percent [18] - 15:10, 15:12, 15:14, 17:16, 17:18, 17:20, 24:10, 24:16, 25:2, 30:10, 35:7, 35:8, 51:5, 51:20, 51:25, 57:9, 57:21, 58:12 percentages [1] - 35:5 **performed** [1] - 96:8 perhaps [2] - 102:2, 102:8 period [8] - 10:13, 10:21, 11:6, 14:6, 14:14, 27:13, 30:12, 30:18 person [5] - 7:2, 24:9, 25:24, 56:20, 86:19 person's [1] - 25:3 personal [5] - 53:5, 53:12, 54:10, 54:14, 67:3 personally [1] - 53:4 **Philip** [1] - 27:19 **phone** [6] - 7:2, 73:14, 83:13, 83:14, 83:15, 83:16 **phrased** [1] - 59:10 **pieces** [1] - 41:13 place [2] - 93:18, 112:11 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 1:4 Plaintiff [3] - 1:17, 2:4, Plaintiff's [26] - 12:17, 12:21, 39:14, 50:3, 50:5, 50:13, 52:10, 52:12, 52:15, 63:16, 63:18, 63:24, 74:8, 74:10, 75:3, 75:5, 75:9, 86:7, 87:21, 87:25, 105:7, 105:11, 106:2, 106:13, 107:9,

39:15, 39:16, 52:17, 64:11, 74:2, 75:12, 93:24, 99:18, 105:5 PLRC [10] - 15:18, 15:23, 16:10, 17:15, 17:16, 17:24, 27:3, 27:5, 58:12, 58:13 **point** [7] - 32:18, 59:12, 63:4, 88:5, 93:6, 93:8, 99:22 policies [1] - 109:6 policy [2] - 47:15, 47:18 portfolio [12] - 11:13, 15:11, 15:12, 24:5, 25:22, 41:5, 41:11, 48:9, 48:13, 70:5, 70:13, 72:13 portfolios [39] - 10:17, 10:22, 11:7, 15:5, 18:25, 22:24, 23:4, 23:9, 23:14, 23:22, 23:23, 25:16, 25:19, 26:3, 31:21, 33:15, 33:16, 36:7, 40:4, 40:5, 41:6, 42:21, 43:12, 43:16, 43:19, 44:2, 44:8, 44:18, 44:24, 45:3, 45:9, 45:19, 46:9, 46:19, 46:25, 47:8, 47:23, 49:10, 49:23 portion [1] - 29:13 position [2] - 68:15, 68:18 possessed [1] - 68:13 **possession** [1] - 61:3 possible [2] - 89:12, 102:25 possibly [1] - 107:2 potential [2] - 22:24, 25:16 practical [1] - 89:2 **Practices** [3] - 20:14, 21:20, 109:7 precluded [1] - 89:18 preparation [7] - 6:4, 6:13, 6:16, 6:22, 7:3, 7:7, 7:14 prepare [1] - 5:22 PRESENT [1] - 2:19 present [2] - 7:5, 15:4 presents [1] - 67:11 president [9] - 4:25, 7:17, 28:3, 28:4, 28:6, 28:10, 28:11, 29:4, 103:15 president/treasurer [1] - 19:15 pretty [1] - 50:9 principals [1] - 17:2 prior [10] - 24:13, 26:6, 54:19, 55:6, 60:3, 60:18, 61:4, 61:11, 97:25,

103:11 private [1] - 20:7 privilege [22] - 85:19, 86:4, 86:12, 86:17, 86:19, 86:20, 86:22, 87:3, 87:9, 87:17, 88:7, 88:10, 88:12, 88:18, 88:22, 88:24, 88:25, 89:4, 89:17, 89:21, 89:23, 90:2 privileged [2] - 90:5, 108:11 **probably** [3] - 5:3, 31:8, 31:17 procedurally [1] - 89:2 **Procedure** [1] - 1:19 procedures [1] - 109:6 proceed [1] - 86:8 process [13] - 48:21, 67:6, 67:7, 71:11, 78:19, 79:14, 81:23, 93:19, 95:16, 96:7, 96:14, 97:9, processor [1] - 69:3 produce [1] - 88:20 produced [1] - 7:10 product [1] - 109:3 production [3] - 12:22, 39:17, 50:14 professionals [1] -69:12 profitable [1] - 30:9 program [3] - 35:4, 35:11, 66:24 programs [8] - 31:6, 34:12, 34:22, 35:2, 35:3, 36:4, 36:6, 69:13 **prohibited** [1] - 48:15 properly [2] - 87:18, 94:20 proposals [2] - 44:22, 44:25 proposed [3] - 44:15, 44:17, 44:18 protective [1] - 11:23 provided [2] - 70:5, 70:12 Public [3] - 1:23, 4:4, 114:8 **PUBLIC** [1] - 112:22 **public** [1] - 20:3 publicly [2] - 65:11, publicly-traded [1] punitive [1] - 92:12 purchase [20] - 10:8, 18:12, 26:2, 29:8, 30:18, 36:9, 40:24, 44:3, 44:19,

48:13, 48:22, 49:3, 70:4, 70:11 purchased [10] - 23:9, 23:13, 40:4, 41:6, 41:12, 44:9, 44:15, 47:8, 47:23, 70:13 purchaser [2] - 52:22, 71:9 purchases [7] - 26:11, 42:22, 43:6, 44:7, 47:2, 49:11, 49:24 **purported** [1] - 67:7 purportedly [1] - 67:10 purports [1] - 77:3 purpose [1] - 103:13 pursuant [1] - 1:17 putting [3] - 31:3, 79:23, 80:2

45:18, 45:25, 48:9,

Q

qualified [1] - 68:12 question [142] - 5:5, 5:9, 5:12, 8:25, 9:2, 9:25, 10:2, 10:3, 10:11, 11:3, 11:16, 13:15, 14:9, 14:11, 14:23, 16:2, 17:5, 18:10, 19:3, 19:5, 19:12, 20:18, 20:21, 20:24, 21:11, 21:12, 21:14, 21:24, 22:8, 22:13, 23:17, 24:2, 26:5, 26:13, 32:3, 32:10, 32:17, 33:4, 33:19, 34:6, 34:15, 34:17, 34:18, 35:25, 36:17, 37:13, 37:15, 38:12, 38:15, 39:7, 39:13, 40:9, 41:17, 42:12, 42:16, 42:24, 43:8, 43:22, 43:24, 44:12, 45:5, 45:12, 45:16, 46:23, 47:4, 47:12, 48:4, 48:5, 48:6, 48:11, 48:19, 48:25, 49:15, 49:20, 53:14, 53:17, 54:8, 54:23, 55:16, 56:25, 57:12, 59:10, 59:17, 60:22, 61:10, 65:2, 67:18, 68:2, 70:2, 70:25, 71:25, 73:21, 73:24, 74:4, 79:6, 79:17, 80:6, 80:15, 80:24, 81:16, 81:18, 81:24, 82:14, 82:16, 82:22, 83:22, 84:2, 84:4, 84:5, 84:11, 85:2, 85:8, 85:13, 86:11, 89:5, 91:13, 92:22, 93:22, 93:23, 94:7, 94:13, 96:2, 96:4, 96:12, 96:18, 98:4,

99:6, 99:17, 99:18, 99:20, 102:15, 103:5, 103:10, 103:20, 104:18, 104:22, 105:19, 106:11, 107:4, 107:7, 110:5 **questions** [8] - 31:20, 50:9, 50:10, 55:22, 67:12, 87:12, 108:24, 111:5 **quote** [1] - 53:3

R

raised [1] - 105:15 rate [2] - 35:4, 63:2 read [29] - 20:19, 20:21, 36:12, 51:6, 51:11, 51:14, 53:14, 53:17, 64:10, 64:21, 64:24, 65:4, 65:7, 66:14, 66:25, 67:15, 67:24, 73:19, 73:21, 73:25, 74:4, 76:7, 83:21, 84:2, 93:24, 96:10, 99:18, 99:20. 106:5 readback [1] - 20:25 reading [6] - 64:13, 64:18, 64:24, 65:2, 66:4, 66:16 reason [4] - 95:3, 95:5, 95:12, 100:12 reasonable [7] - 5:11, 37:6. 51:4. 51:19. 51:24. 109:10, 109:16 reasons [1] - 95:10 recall [4] - 100:16, 100:19, 101:3, 101:12 receive [1] - 30:6 received [3] - 20:6, 93:7, 93:9 recess [2] - 56:18, 74:13 recommend [1] - 25:18 recommended [1] record [23] - 4:9, 11:20, 51:12, 51:15, 64:11, 64:14, 64:18, 64:25, 65:5, 65:21, 66:5, 66:17, 66:18, 67:5, 67:24, 72:3, 83:24, 84:13, 84:14, 91:7, 96:7, 108:23, 114:12 records [4] - 60:8, 61:2, 69:18, 78:24 Recovery [1] - 16:23 recovery [1] - 16:25 referred [6] - 20:20, 53:16, 73:20, 74:3,

99:19, 101:9

40 of 44 sheets

45:2, 45:10, 45:14,

11:17, 15:11, 15:14,

referred-to [5] - 20:20, 53:16, 73:20, 74:3, 99:19 referring [4] - 34:24, 57:15, 70:3, 70:6 refuse [1] - 65:4 regard [1] - 108:25 regarding [4] - 21:18, 22:6, 108:24, 109:10 regardless [2] - 89:8, 103:18 relate [1] - 28:10 related [5] - 29:14, 46:19, 46:25, 91:20, 114:15 relating [2] - 53:6, 70:7 relation [2] - 101:15, 101:16 relationship [5] - 15:15, 25:9, 26:17, 34:9, 60:2 remaining [1] - 73:5 remedied [1] - 87:10 remedy [1] - 89:19 remotely [1] - 32:3 **rep**[1] - 107:6 repeat [6] - 10:3, 14:12, 32:17, 55:2, 61:10, 110:15 rephrase [2] - 5:7, 5:10 report [1] - 94:4 Reporter [14] - 12:19, 20:22, 50:7, 52:14, 53:18, 63:20, 66:8, 73:22, 74:5, 74:12, 75:7, 84:2, 99:21, 105:9 reporter [2] - 5:17, 83:21 repository [3] - 69:21, 69:22, 69:24 represent [3] - 77:14, 78:3, 97:13 representation [1] -77:19 representative [8] -53:21, 56:6, 71:19, 97:14, 104:9, 104:14, 104:19, 105:14 represented [1] - 91:21 representing [1] - 105:3 request [2] - 87:21, 88:19 REQUESTED [1] -113:23 reserved [1] - 3:22 resides [1] - 97:18 resolved [2] - 67:13, 90:9

respective [1] - 3:6

respond [2] - 87:11,

responsibilities [1] -

19:19 responsible [3] - 22:19, 28:13, 29:10 rest [1] - 106:25 result [4] - 81:6, 81:10. 82:8, 107:13 retained [2] - 47:10, 113:16 retaining [1] - 54:20 retention [1] - 47:18 return [1] - 30:23 returned [2] - 33:20, 33:23 returns [1] - 30:25 revenue [5] - 9:14, 9:15, 9:17, 9:18, 31:13 revenues [2] - 30:12, review [13] - 7:6, 7:12, 11:25, 15:6, 39:15, 45:24, 46:2, 52:17, 75:12, 76:4, 76:8, 76:12, 76:18 reviewed [3] - 7:9, 23:21, 23:24 reviewing [2] - 39:19, **RICHMOND** [1] - 114:5 right [38] - 7:18, 10:19, 13:17, 13:20, 14:17, 17:3, 17:10, 17:25, 18:8, 18:20, 24:11, 25:19, 26:23, 28:7, 28:24, 30:20, 31:7, 35:8, 35:21, 36:16, 38:8, 38:20, 40:7, 40:14, 44:19, 46:12, 46:18, 46:21, 46:22, 54:3, 58:19, 59:23, 63:10, 63:13, 77:10, 78:8, 79:15, 85:20 risk [5] - 69:2, 72:12, 72:24, 94:15, 94:18 **ROCCO** [2] - 1:16, 112:15 Rocco [3] - 4:10, 27:22, 97:12 role [4] - 22:18, 27:25, 28:9, 28:11 room [5] - 99:12, 100:25, 101:4, 101:5, roughly [6] - 8:21, 9:8, 15:9, 23:12, 31:2, 32:21 rule [2] - 88:5, 90:15 ruled [1] - 67:22 Rules [1] - 1:18 rules [1] - 5:3 ruling [5] - 63:12, 68:6, 73:7, 83:17, 87:22

16:22, 16:25, 17:8, 22:24, 25:15, 25:25, 26:3, 26:23, 27:2, 29:12, 30:2, 31:24, 35:3, 35:6, 40:5, 44:2, 44:7, 44:13, 49:16, 56:23, 56:24, 95:19, 96:24 rushmore [1] - 15:3 S sale [2] - 43:12, 46:8 **sales** [2] - 43:19, 45:9 same [28] - 3:12, 3:15, 3:17, 6:24, 13:24, 14:25, 28:5, 46:18, 48:6, 49:20, 67:8, 74:24, 77:12, 79:3, 79:13, 80:9, 81:22, 81:24, 93:18, 94:2, 101:25, 102:6, 102:13, 102:17, 102:20, 102:22, 103:24, 108:8 **SAMSERV** [2] - 1:8, 2:14 Samserv [14] - 77:4, 77:7, 93:5, 95:15, 96:8, 96:14, 96:21, 97:8, 98:19, 98:21, 98:24, 99:2, 99:24, 100:6 Samserv's [4] - 78:24, 79:12, 81:21, 85:7 saw [2] - 95:4, 98:20 saying [2] - 56:15, 68:22 Scott [2] - 5:24, 83:19 **SCOTT** [1] - 2:11 sealing [1] - 3:7 second [2] - 64:5, 64:6 seconds [1] - 76:13 seeing [1] - 95:13 **seems** [1] - 37:5 seen [3] - 37:4, 79:25, 91:12 selected [1] - 95:20 seller [3] - 40:20, 40:25, 71:9 **sellers** [2] - 44:8, 47:7 sent [1] - 20:7 **sentence** [1] - 62:10 separate [3] - 87:24, 88:4, 110:22 September [14] - 58:24, 59:18, 59:22, 60:3, 60:18, 61:13, 61:22, 61:23, 67:22, 68:8, 70:16, 71:15, 92:17, 100:8

79:14, 81:23, 95:16, 96:14, 97:9, 100:7 servers [1] - 67:6 serves [1] - 70:22 **service** [10] - 3:16, 62:12, 72:6, 73:9, 77:8, 79:4, 80:8, 85:5, 96:7, 104:19 serving [2] - 74:19, 93:19 settlement [6] - 36:22, 37:20, 37:23, 60:15, 61:5, 61:12 seven [2] - 100:10, 109:24 seven-year [1] - 100:10 **sewer** [2] - 72:5, 85:5 shake [1] - 5:15 share [2] - 29:12, 35:18 she's [1] - 5:18 **short** [3] - 56:17, 56:18, 74:13 **shortcut** [1] - 109:4 **show** [4] - 39:14, 66:3, 88:9, 88:15 showed [3] - 65:13, 79:9, 81:22 showing [8] - 12:20, 50:12, 63:23, 66:8, 75:8, 79:13, 105:10, 106:2 shown [1] - 91:8 **shows** [1] - 67:5 shush [1] - 81:8 side [3] - 80:23, 87:4, 88:14 sided [1] - 80:21 sides [1] - 80:19 signed [5] - 3:10, 3:12, 3:15, 66:24, 76:24 **significant** [4] - 60:23, 68:14, 72:12, 94:15 significantly [1] - 62:20 silly [1] - 83:2 similar [1] - 102:4 sir [18] - 4:14, 6:14, 7:18, 7:19, 12:24, 21:4, 21:25, 22:4, 23:11, 50:12, 52:18, 65:9, 75:13, 75:24, 76:10, 76:15, 78:10, 106:6 **six** [3] - 100:2, 100:10, 109:24 six-year [1] - 100:2 slightly [1] - 59:9 **SMITH** [1] - 2:9 Smith [1] - 1:20 solely [1] - 11:18 **somebody** [1] - 86:5 someone [10] - 49:16, 62:7, 68:19, 68:20,

serve [1] - 67:7

server [7] - 78:20,

Rushmore [27] - 11:12,

89:22

talked [5] - 10:4, 15:22,

47:21, 69:20, 102:25

68:25, 86:9, 88:9, 88:17, 94:16, 94:21 **something** [8] - 5:16, 11:14, 18:19, 18:20, 56:11, 86:16, 103:8, 107:25 sometime [3] - 24:19, 58:24, 93:11 **sometimes** [1] - 86:18 **somewhere** [1] - 97:19 sophisticated [2] -66:24, 69:13 sorry [2] - 18:22, 93:23 sought [2] - 83:18, 109:17 **SOUTHERN** [1] - 1:2 speak [1] - 81:14 speaking [14] - 8:6, 8:21, 9:8, 14:24, 15:9, 23:12, 31:2, 40:3, 40:13, 42:5, 42:8, 84:19, 103:21, 103:23 specific [4] - 41:13, 42:10, 85:7, 90:17 **specifically** [2] - 87:16, 100:23 specified [1] - 112:11 **speculation** [1] - 42:15 **spelled** [1] - 15:2 spoke [1] - 77:22 spreadsheet [3] - 40:6, 40:14, 42:9 SS [1] - 114:4 stamped [5] - 12:22, 52:17, 63:25, 68:8, 75:10 stands [1] - 16:10 start [1] - 107:25 started [1] - 7:16 **state** [3] - 4:8, 83:23, 90:25 **STATE** [1] - 114:4 State [3] - 1:23, 4:4, 114:8 statement [2] - 53:11, 68:11 **statements** [7] - 48:7, 48:8, 48:17, 70:8, 74:18, 74:21, 91:17 **STATES** [1] - 1:2 steps [17] - 5:21, 42:19, 42:25, 43:4, 43:9, 54:18,

55:4, 55:11, 74:17,

74:21, 80:11, 80:25,

Steve [1] - 101:14

97:21

3:20

81:25, 82:4, 82:5, 82:18,

STIPULATED [2] - 3:5,

stipulation [1] - 12:7

stop [2] - 107:18, 108:2

stopped [2] - 31:19, 59:13 Street [2] - 2:5, 2:15 strength [1] - 68:11 **structure** [4] - 24:24, 25:7, 26:16, 34:11 **structured** [1] - 19:10 subco [1] - 26:19 **SUBCO** [1] - 18:7 subcos [1] - 18:7 Subscribed [1] - 112:18 subsidiaries [1] - 18:3 subsidiary [7] - 15:17, 15:18, 15:19, 17:17, 17:25, 27:6, 58:14 successfully [1] - 69:16 **suddenly** [1] - 56:10 sue [1] - 43:20 **sued** [4] - 39:22, 40:18, 41:2, 43:13 suggest [2] - 85:14, 107:17 **suing** [2] - 41:15, 54:12 suit [1] - 42:7 **support** [2] - 70:4, 78:4 sure [19] - 10:4, 16:8, 16:17, 29:15, 32:18, 50:9, 55:3, 56:24, 61:11, 77:25, 85:24, 86:4, 86:8, 87:14, 88:5, 91:5, 97:4, 110:17, 110:18 surprised [1] - 78:2 **sworn** [5] - 3:10, 4:3, 112:5, 112:18, 114:11 Sykes [22] - 22:2, 22:6, 22:15, 33:7, 36:22, 37:5, 37:20, 37:23, 60:11, 61:4, 61:11, 63:9, 64:2, 78:8, 91:10, 91:22, 92:2, 92:5, 92:8, 94:6, 98:6, 100:2 system [3] - 71:10, 94:21, 97:18

Т

systematic [1] - 70:21

systems [1] - 71:8

take [20] - 5:21, 13:9, 13:11, 29:4, 42:20, 54:17, 55:4, 55:11, 56:17, 71:8, 74:17, 74:20, 76:14, 80:11, 80:25, 82:2, 82:4, 82:18, 88:2, 97:21 taken [9] - 1:17, 4:17, 5:3, 43:2, 43:4, 43:10, 56:19, 74:14, 82:6 taking [1] - 5:18 talk [3] - 80:17, 80:19,

talking [2] - 36:2, 47:19 tell [6] - 7:24, 14:21, 25:2, 66:16, 90:4, 94:17 tells [1] - 39:5 ten [12] - 17:19, 23:14, 24:10, 24:15, 25:2, 30:9, 31:18, 35:23, 57:9, 57:21, 109:25, 110:19 tend [1] - 102:4 tens [2] - 66:20, 72:5 term [2] - 31:24, 100:15 terminate [1] - 60:2 terms [17] - 11:22, 11:25, 34:12, 34:23, 35:11, 35:24, 37:23, 48:22, 48:23, 51:3, 51:18, 62:3, 62:14, 62:18, 70:15, 87:10, 89:10 testified [1] - 4:5 testify [7] - 53:25, 55:24, 91:25, 104:14, 104:23, 105:14, 112:5 testimony [13] - 7:3, 7:7, 24:14, 26:6, 41:25, 57:13, 72:9, 87:23, 98:2, 103:12, 112:6, 112:10, 114:13 Thank [3] - 37:12, 90:22. 111:2 thank [7] - 9:19, 12:13, 20:24, 84:8, 90:21, 90:23, 104:7 **THE** [9] - 9:15, 13:17, 84:16, 84:22, 85:18, 85:21, 86:9, 88:3, 90:13 there's [2] - 69:2, 77:6 thereafter [1] - 93:2 thereby [2] - 51:4, 51:19 thereto [1] - 104:21 thing [1] - 86:24 things [6] - 66:4, 70:8, 71:4, 73:18, 89:9, 89:17 think [15] - 7:11, 11:24, 13:14, 26:22, 49:18, 53:8, 63:2, 73:12, 82:15, 82:23, 87:8, 87:15, 88:25, 99:8, 102:5 third [3] - 106:10, 107:8, 108:4 thousands [6] - 61:5, 61:14, 66:20, 66:21, 72:5, 73:8 three [3] - 78:13, 109:24 through [31] - 10:24, 12:23, 16:7, 18:2, 18:19, 18:21, 18:22, 19:8, 20:7,

27:5, 34:2, 39:18, 39:19, 49:4, 49:5, 50:11, 58:3, 58:11, 58:19, 64:20, 75:11, 92:17, 96:9, 101:24, 102:6, 102:19, 103:3, 106:5, 107:23, 108:21, 110:19 timber [2] - 8:10, 10:5 **TIME** [1] - 1:13 time [32] - 3:22, 13:11, 28:5, 30:13, 32:18, 35:8, 54:8, 60:11, 64:20, 67:8, 69:22, 70:13, 71:17, 76:14, 79:3, 79:13, 80:9, 81:24, 83:11, 86:15, 88:17, 89:25, 93:19, 100:9, 103:3, 103:4, 103:7, 103:22, 107:19, 108:21, 111:3, 112:10 title [1] - 4:24 today [8] - 5:22, 6:4, 6:13, 6:17, 6:23, 7:7, 71:19, 105:12 **TODD** [1] - 1:8 together [2] - 13:19, 80:22 topics [2] - 53:24, 55:24 total [2] - 23:23, 31:8 totally [2] - 17:6, 73:16 toward [1] - 100:4 towards [1] - 100:13 TRACY [2] - 114:7, 114:23 Tracy [1] - 1:22 traded [2] - 65:11, 66:2 transaction [1] - 42:4 transactions [4] - 42:6, 44:16, 44:17, 70:7 transcript [5] - 11:21, 12:5, 12:9, 112:9 transfer [5] - 22:19, 69:16, 70:17, 94:16, 95:8 transferred [5] - 42:10, 61:7, 61:15, 61:20, 72:20 transferring [2] - 69:3, 94:19 transition [2] - 62:5, 102:12 treasurer [9] - 4:25, 7:17, 22:18, 28:6, 28:11, 65:11, 65:25, 103:14, 103:19 trial [2] - 3:22, 56:9 TRIAL [1] - 1:15 tried [1] - 64:20 true [27] - 36:21, 38:5, 38:10, 44:21, 46:13, 50:23, 53:11, 61:13, 61:17, 65:16, 66:12, 69:21, 69:25, 73:9, 74:6,

U

U-L-B-R-A-N-D-T [1] - 27:22

types [1] - 47:15

typical [1] - 34:10

U.S.A [2] - 52:5, 52:23 uh [1] - 5:16 uh-huh [1] - 5:16 Ulbrandt [1] - 27:21 uncollected [1] - 4:20 under [8] - 20:4, 38:3, 43:20, 51:3, 51:18, 89:20, 89:24, 89:25 underlying [1] - 70:6 understand [19] - 5:6, 9:2, 9:25, 19:4, 22:12, 22:23, 28:20, 29:15, 32:2, 34:16, 34:20, 43:23, 54:13, 56:25, 73:23, 80:4, 93:22, 96:6, 104:11 understanding [10] -

understanding [10] 13:6, 23:3, 23:7, 37:8,
37:16, 37:21, 38:6,
40:10, 45:20, 69:19
understood [3] - 5:11,
90:11, 98:16
undertaken [1] - 92:3
unitary [1] - 67:9
UNITED [1] - 1:2

unlike [1] - 106:24

unsigned [1] - 3:14

urgent [1] - 84:12

V

vacate [1] - 36:23 vacated [1] - 37:19 Valenti [1] - 1:22 VALENTI [2] - 114:7, 114:23 validity [1] - 43:5 value [1] - 23:8 varied [1] - 35:11

variety [1] - 8:14

various [12] - 8:4, 36:15, 36:24, 37:18, 38:4, 38:7, 38:17, 38:22, 61:6, 61:14, 62:24, 77:9 vast [1] - 67:2 vehicle [1] - 60:6 **venn** [2] - 16:13, 16:15 venture [4] - 12:25, 16:22, 35:20, 35:23 verbalize [1] - 5:19 verify [2] - 42:20, 91:16 versus [1] - 94:23 vice [10] - 4:25, 7:16, 19:14, 28:3, 28:4, 28:6, 28:9, 28:11, 29:4, 103:15 violated [2] - 54:19, violations [3] - 20:13, 21:19, 109:6

W

wait [1] - 65:12

waited [1] - 66:2 waive [1] - 43:14 waived [1] - 3:9 waiver [4] - 106:15, 107:11, 108:7, 108:15 **WALDMAN** [1] - 1:8 Waldman [1] - 17:9 **Wall** [1] - 2:15 want [11] - 11:21, 21:12, 21:13, 55:22, 56:10, 65:2, 76:6, 90:25, 92:23, 106:22, 110:15 wanted [2] - 15:6, 46:7 warranties [1] - 43:15 was he [2] - 24:21 was it [1] - 7:11 was that [6] - 6:19, 11:11, 32:22, 87:21, 93:17, 95:6 wasting [1] - 83:11 we'd [1] - 107:20 we've [3] - 8:12, 45:19, week [2] - 6:20, 29:9 weekly [1] - 22:19 were you [7] - 22:5, 22:10, 22:14, 72:14, 72:19, 101:4, 108:13 weren't [2] - 57:16, 62:7 what are [4] - 18:7, 19:18, 34:22, 79:22 what did [1] - 70:10 What is [11] - 4:11, 4:24, 12:23, 15:15, 26:17, 35:22, 63:21, 84:22, 96:22, 106:11, 108:3

what was [7] - 25:9, 27:25, 42:3, 62:5, 68:5, 71:16, 105:21 what were [2] - 30:12, 62:18 what's [8] - 12:20, 37:8, 50:12, 63:23, 75:8, 105:10, 107:7, 108:7 when did [3] - 7:12, 32:13, 58:25 when you [13] - 9:20, 32:5, 39:16, 52:18, 65:8, 75:13, 76:11, 76:15, 98:11, 100:15, 101:17, 103:5, 106:6 where is [1] - 83:13 **WHEREOF** [1] - 114:19 Whereupon [16] -12:16, 20:20, 50:4, 52:11, 53:16, 56:18, 63:17, 73:20, 74:3, 74:9, **whereupon** [1] - 83:17 who are [3] - 17:21,

74:13, 75:4, 84:14, 99:19, 105:6, 111:6 whereupon [1] - 83:17 who are [3] - 17:21, 27:17, 58:6 who is [6] - 6:10, 45:17, 65:24, 86:11, 94:6, 106:17 who was [2] - 40:25, 101:20 wholly [3] - 15:19, 17:24, 58:13 why [26] - 19:7, 19:8, 32:22, 32:23, 32:24, 33:5, 54:6, 54:7, 60:17, 61:22, 67:18, 68:3, 70:20, 71:22, 79:21,

94:8, 95:14, 96:12, 97:20 wide [1] - 67:14 WILLIAM [2] - 1:9, 2:14 willing [1] - 62:13 window [2] - 10:13,

80:10, 83:2, 85:2, 85:9,

85:24, 86:22, 88:11,

100:3 wineries [2] - 8:12, 10:5 wire [3] - 29:8, 29:10, 29:18

wit [2] - 51:5, 51:20 within [3] - 3:8, 53:6, 114:8

69:17, 80:18, 88:13

Witness [1] - 111:7

witness [25] - 1:16,
3:10, 3:16, 3:18, 4:3,

without [5] - 61:2, 69:4,

3:10, 3:16, 3:18, 4:3, 21:15, 46:22, 55:20, 84:25, 85:23, 86:10, 87:11, 87:19, 89:9, 89:13, 90:16, 90:18, 91:8, 91:12, 91:23, 91:24, 106:17, 107:2, 114:10, 114:13 WITNESS [3] - 9:15, 13:17, 114:19 witness' [4] - 26:6, 57:13, 72:9, 97:25 witness's [2] - 24:13, 103:11 witnesses [1] - 41:25 wondering [6] - 21:10, 21:13, 24:5, 60:16, 63:8, 100:14 work [5] - 4:22, 8:16, 25:5, 60:20, 109:3 worked [1] - 14:21 working [1] - 7:20

Υ

wrong [2] - 69:20, 90:18

wouldn't [2] - 32:12,

write [1] - 11:3

72:14

year [13] - 9:17, 10:13, 10:21, 11:6, 14:6, 14:14, 27:13, 30:11, 30:17, 32:8, 100:2, 100:10 **years** [7] - 7:23, 19:16, 31:11, 31:17, 31:18, 32:6, 102:8 yelling [1] - 65:24 yes [43] - 4:18, 5:8, 5:13, 5:20, 6:2, 6:5, 6:9, 7:19, 8:15, 9:6, 9:11, 16:20, 21:2, 22:4, 23:7, 27:16. 28:8. 33:6. 35:18. 39:3, 44:6, 46:14, 46:17, 51:16, 53:23, 54:5, 62:17, 62:25, 63:4, 64:9, 65:6, 66:15, 67:17, 76:10, 76:20, 76:23, 78:16, 84:8, 92:23, 93:10, 96:11, 105:18, 105:25 **YORK** [2] - 1:2, 114:4 York [11] - 1:20, 1:21, 1:22, 1:23, 2:6, 2:11, 2:15, 4:4, 114:9

YORK [2] - 1:2, 114:4 York [11] - 1:20, 1:21, 1:22, 1:23, 2:6, 2:11, 2:15, 4:4, 114:9 you'd [1] - 49:6 you've [1] - 110:14 Young [2] - 17:9, 61:25 young [4] - 53:3, 53:9, 53:12, 54:9 YOUNG [1] - 1:8 yourself [2] - 65:8,

106:6

Z
Zalman [5] - 17:22, 23:21, 27:3, 57:15, 101:15
0
°[4] - 111:10

DIAMOND REPORTING (877) 624-3287 info@diamondreporting.com